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Abstract

This dissertation concerns the Northern Adelbert languages, a group of 23 Papuan languages spoken in Madang province, Papua New Guinea. The dissertation has two main components, synchronic descriptions of Northern Adelbert languages, and an investigation of the diachronic changes in these languages. The synchronic descriptions outline the basics of each languages' phonology and verb morphology, with a focus on several languages which were previously poorly documented: Barem (ISO 639-3: buq), Manep (mkr), Mokati (wnb), Karian (bql), and Gavak (dmc). The diachronic component of the dissertation presents a reconstruction of Proto-Northern Adelbert phonology and lexicon, the ancestral language of the Northern Adelbert languages, as well as reconstructions of interstage proto-languages for various subgroups of Northern Adelbert, and presents a tree-structure classification for the family based on shared innovations.
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Abbreviations and conventions

1 first person
2 second person
3 third person
COM commitative
D voiced obstruent
DEM demonstrative
DES desiderative
DS different subject
DU dual
FUT future
INST instrumental
IPFV imperfective
IRR irrealis
HOD hodiernal
N nasal
NEG negator
NFUT nonfuture
NMLZ nominalizer/gerund
PFV perfective
PL plural
PRG present progressive
PROS prospective aspect
PST past
Q question particle
R realis
REM remote past
SG singular
SS same subject
T voiceless obstruent
V vowel

In example sentences, brackets [] are used for words in other languages (usually Tok Pisin or English).

Reconstructed proto-forms are marked with a single asterisk *, and unattested or unacceptable forms are marked with a double asterisk **.

In reconstructions, parentheses (x) indicate it is not clear whether a segment was present. (x/y) indicates either x or y was present, but it is not clear which.
Orthographic conventions

Most Northern Adelbert languages are not commonly written by their speakers, although orthographies have been developed for some languages. For those languages with grammars and dictionaries, I adopt the orthographies used in them. Most of the Northern Adelbert languages have similar phonemic inventories, and are for the most part easily represented by the same orthography used for Tok Pisin. Orthographic choices have therefore usually been fairly obvious, and the orthographies developed by different groups for different languages are often similar. For languages that have not had an orthography developed, I try to adopt as uniform a representation as possible, although for some languages it has been necessary to use some special symbols, such as the digraph <qk> in Barem (see Chapter 2). The table below lists the orthographic symbols used for Northern Adelbert languages throughout this dissertation, with the approximate IPA equivalent of the sounds they represent. Any additional special symbols are discussed in the chapters for those individuals languages.

This orthography is meant to be relatively shallow. The use of an orthographic symbol does not necessarily mean that particular sound or contrast is phonemic in the language. For example, in many Northern Adelbert languages, [b] and [mb] are allophones of an underlying prenasalized voiced bilabial stop /mb/, while in others these sounds are contrastive. In both cases, <b> and <mb> are used. The chapters on individual languages and language groups give more information on how surface forms relate to underlying phonemic representations in each language.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Orthographic symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[i]</td>
<td>&lt;i&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[e, ɛ]</td>
<td>&lt;e&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[u]</td>
<td>&lt;u&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[o]</td>
<td>&lt;o&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ɑ]</td>
<td>&lt;a&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ɛ]</td>
<td>&lt;ɛ&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[p]</td>
<td>&lt;p&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[b]</td>
<td>&lt;b&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[t]</td>
<td>&lt;t&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[d]</td>
<td>&lt;d&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[k]</td>
<td>&lt;k&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ʔ]</td>
<td>&lt;'&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[g]</td>
<td>&lt;g&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[m]</td>
<td>&lt;m&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[n]</td>
<td>&lt;n&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ŋ]</td>
<td>&lt;ŋg&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[dʒ]</td>
<td>&lt;j&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ɸ]</td>
<td>&lt;f&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[β]</td>
<td>&lt;v&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[s]</td>
<td>&lt;s&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[r]</td>
<td>&lt;r&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[w]</td>
<td>&lt;w&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[j], [ʒ]</td>
<td>&lt;y&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The languages for which I adopt another author's orthography are Pamosu (Tupper 2012), Mauwake (Berghäll), Waskia (Ross & Paol 1978, Barker & Lee 1985), Maia (Hardin et al 2007), and Usan (Reesink 1987). The differences between the orthographic symbols shown above and the orthographies used in these works are small, so they can be summarized here. Tupper (2012) uses <ng> to represent the sequence [ŋg], as this does not contrast with a velar nasal in Pamosu. As some other Northern Adelbert languages do contrast these, I use <ŋ > for [ŋ], and <ngg > for [ŋg]. Mauwake has long vowels, which are written as digraphs <ii >, <aa >, etc. For Usan, <â > represents schwa, and <q > represents a glottal stop. For other languages, I use <ə > and <' >, respectively.
1. Introduction

This dissertation concerns the Northern Adelbert languages, a group of twenty-one Papuan languages spoken in Madang Province, Papua New Guinea. In this dissertation, I apply the comparative method to reconstruct the phonology and lexicon of Proto-Northern Adelbert, the ancestral language from which all the modern Northern Adelbert languages descend. I outline the sound changes that have taken place in each of the Northern Adelbert languages, and classify them into subgroups based on shared innovations. My goal is to reconstruct as much of Proto-Northern Adelbert phonology, lexicon, and verbal morphology as possible, given current understanding of these languages.

In working towards this goal, it has been necessary to do much of the research from the ground up. While the Northern Adelbert languages have been included in previous large-scale classifications of Madang languages, this project is the first to focus exclusively on the Northern Adelbert languages, to use the comparative method to identify regular sound correspondences among them, and to propose a classification based on shared innovations. Since McElhanon & Voorhoeve (1970), it has been widely assumed that the languages of Madang, which include Northern Adelbert, are a branch of the large Trans-New Guinea phylum, a hypothesized language family that encompasses hundreds of languages throughout New Guinea. However, this classification is based on lexical similarities and areal traits, rather than rigorous application of the comparative method. The reconstruction of Northern Adelbert presented in this dissertation provides a more solid basis for examining hypotheses about putative higher order subgroups such as Madang and Trans-New Guinea.

In addition to the reconstruction of Proto-Northern Adelbert, a second goal of this dissertation is to contribute to the documentation of Northern Adelbert languages, most of which are in danger of no longer being spoken within the next few generations. With this goal in mind, I have included sketches
of the synchronic phonology and verbal morphology of several Northern Adelbert languages for which data was previously very limited.

Although the New Guinea region is one of the most linguistically diverse in the world, Papuan languages are underrepresented in all fields of linguistics. As the Northern Adelbert languages are all severely understudied, I hope that this dissertation will be an important step for the documentation and study of languages of Madang and New Guinea. For the languages Mokati, Karian, Manep, Barem, and Gavak, this dissertation contains the most thorough description of these languages to date. Additionally, the audio and video recordings created over the course of my dissertation research include elicitation sessions, narratives, and conversations of at least eleven different languages, providing valuable documentation. These recordings are archived in the Kaipuleohone Language Archive and the Endangered Languages Archive (ELAR), and it is my hope that speakers of Northern Adelbert languages, as well as other researchers, will find them to be of value.

This dissertation touches upon various phenomena in Northern Adelbert languages that will be of interest not only to historical linguists and Papuanists, but researchers working in other fields as well. In my descriptions of Northern Adelbert languages my goal has been to present the data in as theory-neutral a manner as possible. However, I touch on several topics that I believe will be of value in furthering linguistic theory in different areas. Here are two examples, both from Barem. First, Barem hast preaspirated or prespirantized velar stops, a typologically rare feature. While preaspiration is rare to begin with, Barem [ʰk] is found almost exclusively in word-initial position, a perhaps unique distribution, as most other languages with preaspiration limit it to word-medial or word-final position (Silverman 2003). Clayton (2010) suggests that the relative rarity of preaspiration cross-linguistically, especially word-initially, is partly due to a limited number of diachronic processes that may give rise to it. Clayton proposes that voiceless geminates are one of the only three identified sources of preaspirated stops crosslinguistically. I demonstrate in this dissertation that Barem [ʰk] does indeed
derive from historic *kk, to my knowledge the first time that this process has been shown to have occurred word-initially. Another example of a typologically interesting phenomenon discussed in Barem is that indirect objects, but not direct objects, are marked for agreement on the verb, which is cross-linguistically uncommon (Comrie 2003, Siewierska 2003). Especially unusual is that this occurs with several verbs of physical or mental transfer ("ask, "tell", etc), whereas crosslinguistically this feature is usually limited to the verb "give". A number of other interesting phenomena from other Northern Adelbert languages are described for the first time in this dissertation.

Section 1.1 of this chapter provides further background on the Northern Adelbert languages, and section 1.2 discusses the speakers of Northern Adelbert languages and their physical environment and society. Section 1.3 gives an overview of some of the previous research on Northern Adelbert languages, and in Section 1.4 I discuss my methodology for this study. Section 1.5 describes some common traits seen in Northern Adelbert languages, in order to orient the reader for the synchronic descriptions of individual languages. These descriptions are the subject of chapters 2-6, with one chapter dedicated to each branch of Northern Adelbert. The focus of these descriptions is on phonology and verbal morphology, and they vary in the depth of description, depending on the quality and amount of data available for particular languages.

In Chapters 7-11, I present my reconstructions of Proto-Northern Adelbert (PNA), and the proto-languages for each subgroup. Chapter 7 first gives some background on previous historical linguistic research in the area, including some of the classifications that have been proposed for languages of Madang that include the Northern Adelbert languages. I then present my own classification of the Northern Adelbert languages, the reconstructed PNA phonology and lexicon, and illustrate the changes that have taken place in each subgroup relative to PNA. Chapters 8-11 present the reconstructed lexicon for the proto-languages of each of the Northern Adelbert subgroups, and
outline the sound changes that have taken place in individual languages. Chapter 12 concludes by suggesting some wider connections between Northern Adelbert and other Madang languages.

1.1 The Northern Adelbert Languages

The Northern Adelbert languages are spoken in a contiguous area in the Northern part of Madang Province, Papua New Guinea. This region is home to both Papuan and Austronesian languages. The label "Papuan" does not presuppose that these language are all genetically related, but is simply a convenient label for the non-Austronesian languages of the region. The Northern Adelbert languages are Papuan, and are widely considered to belong to the Madang branch of the Trans New Guinea phylum (see Chapter 7).

The Northern Adelbert family can be divided into five main branches, each branch composed of a group of languages that are more closely related to each other than to the other Northern Adelbert languages. In some cases, these branches can be further divided into subgroups. The Gavak language is the smallest branch, with only one language. The largest branch is Kumil-Tibor, with eight languages. The branches of Northern Adelbert and their constituent languages are:

- Manep-Barem: Manep, Barem
- Kumil-Tibor:
  - Kumil: Mauwake, Bepour, Moere
  - Tibor: Pamosu, Hember Avu, Mokati, Mawak, Kowaki
- Numugen: Usan, Karian, Yaben, Yarawata, Parawen, Ukuriguma
- Kaukombar: Maia, Mala, Miani, Maiani
- Gavak

Diversity and endangerment

The New Guinea area is one of the most linguistically diverse in the world, and in Madang Province alone there are over 100 languages (Pawley & Hammarström 2018). New Guinea is also
linguistically one of the least documented regions, as only a small fraction of these languages have been the subject of more than preliminary linguistic study. For several Northern Adelbert languages, the only documentation is a wordlist of basic vocabulary (Z’graggen 1980b).

Figure 1.1a Map of Northern Adelbert languages

All of the Northern Adelbert languages are facing pressures which may lead to them no longer being spoken in the next few generations. The biggest of these pressures is the growing use of Tok Pisin, one of the national languages of Papua New Guinea, and the lingua franca of the area. Most children in the area use Tok Pisin as their primary language. In my visits to various communities, I
rarely heard children speaking any of the indigenous languages, and parents usually spoke to their children in Tok Pisin. In many communities, Tok Pisin is the primary language for people of all ages.

A note on language names

The issue of language names requires some explanation. For most Northern Adelbert languages, the names listed in Ethnologue (Simons & Fennig 2020) are based upon the work of John Z’graggen, who refers to most of the languages he worked on by the name of one of the villages where it is spoken. Z’graggen explains that he chose this method because the people in Madang often do not have names for their languages (Z’graggen 1971a: 11). In a later publication, Z’graggen (1975a: 5) acknowledges that speakers dislike the use of village names as language names, and invites speakers to give their own language names to replace the village names he has designated as labels for languages. Many speakers I worked with echoed this sentiment, and rejected Z’graggen’s labels, stating that those were the names of places, not languages. I, and other researchers working in the area in recent years, have found that people often do have agreed-upon names for their languages. Although Z’graggen’s names are common in the literature, I opt to use the language names provided by speakers themselves, a practice followed in recent work on Madang languages, including Daniels (2020), Tupper (2012), Berghäll (2015).

Table 1.1b lists the names I use for Northern Adelbert languages, as well as other languages in the region which do not belong to Northern Adelbert but are mentioned in this dissertation. The second column shows the name used to refer to the language in Z’graggen’s publications, or other publications where relevant, and the ISO 639-3 code is listed in the third column. For consistency’s sake, the updated language names are used throughout the remainder of the dissertation, even when referencing works which used Z’graggen’s names. For branches of Northern Adelbert, I have retained most of

---

1 This may be a relatively recent development that was not as common at the time Z’graggen conducted his surveys. Although most speakers I worked with readily provided a label for their language, others hesitated to give it a name.
Z'graggen's labels when these groups more or less correspond with mine.\footnote{Although, like Ross (2000), I have removed the -an suffix from these names (i.e. Tibor, rather than Tiboran).} The exception to this is the Manep-Barem branch, which I have opted to simply name after its two members.

Table 1.1b Northern Adelbert language names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language name</th>
<th>name per Z'graggen (1980)</th>
<th>ISO 639-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amako</td>
<td>Korak</td>
<td>koz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barem</td>
<td>Bunabun (Z'graggen 1980)</td>
<td>buq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bunubun (Capell 1952)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bargam</td>
<td>Mugil</td>
<td>mlp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bepour</td>
<td>Bepour</td>
<td>bie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gavak</td>
<td>Dimir</td>
<td>dmc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hember Avu</td>
<td>Musar (Z'graggen 1980)</td>
<td>mmi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amben (Petir et al 1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vanembere (Capell 1952)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karian</td>
<td>Bilakura</td>
<td>bql</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kobol</td>
<td>Koguman</td>
<td>kgu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kobol</td>
<td>Koguman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kowaki</td>
<td>Kowaki</td>
<td>xow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maia</td>
<td>Pila, Saki</td>
<td>sks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maiani</td>
<td>Tani</td>
<td>tnh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mala</td>
<td>Pay</td>
<td>ped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miani</td>
<td>Tani (Z'graggen 1980)</td>
<td>pla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Banar (Capell 1952)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manep</td>
<td>Malas</td>
<td>mkr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauwake</td>
<td>Ulingan</td>
<td>mhl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mawak</td>
<td>Mawak</td>
<td>mj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moere</td>
<td>Moere</td>
<td>mvq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mokati</td>
<td>Wanambre</td>
<td>wnb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pal</td>
<td>Abasakur</td>
<td>abw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamosu</td>
<td>Hinihon</td>
<td>hih</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parawen</td>
<td>Parawen</td>
<td>prw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukuriguma</td>
<td>Ukuriguma</td>
<td>ukg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usan</td>
<td>Wanuma</td>
<td>wnu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waskia</td>
<td>Waskia</td>
<td>wsk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaben</td>
<td>Yaben</td>
<td>ybm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarawata</td>
<td>Yarawata</td>
<td>yrw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.2 People and environment

Northern Adelbert languages occupy a relatively small geographic area, and while the various Northern Adelbert speech communities share many cultural traits, they are not culturally uniform. The biggest divide is between communities in inland mountain areas, who tend toward a more traditional way of life, and those that live closer to the coast, who have more access to infrastructure and tend to participate more heavily in the cash economy.

Geography and climate

The Northern Adelbert languages are spoken in Madang Province, Papua New Guinea, in an area stretching along the coast from Cape Croisilles in the southeast, nearly reaching the city of Bogia in the northwest, and reaching inland to encompass the northern section of the Adelbert Mountains.

Much of the coastline is comprised of long, sandy beaches, with offshore coral reef that provide a source of fish. The land along the coast remains flat for a short distance before turning into the foothills, which in turn transition into Adelbert Mountains, characterized by steep slopes, narrow ridges, and numerous cliffs and gorges. Forest covers most of the mountainous areas, as well as some parts of the foothills and flat coastal areas (Haantjens et al 1976, Löffler 1977). The hills and coastal areas are otherwise comprised of large swaths of tall swordgrass (*kunai* in Tok Pisin) or more managed terrain, such plantations, gardens, and villages.

The climate is tropical, with relatively steady and warm temperatures throughout the year. Temperatures along the coast are usually in the range of 20-30°C (68-89°F), while the mountainous inland areas are cooler, with a range of 15-22°C (59 -72°F) (Short, 1976). The wet season begins in December and ends around April. Rain can fall for days at a time, and flash floods are not uncommon in coastal communities, sometimes reaching catastrophic levels that can destroy entire areas.
Infrastructure

Travel along the coast is relatively easy, and is facilitated by a highway which traverses the entire length of the coast in the area where speakers of Northern Adelbert languages live, from Bogia to Madang. Residents of coastal areas consequently have easier access to the facilities in these cities, such as shopping centers and health services. In the town of Tokain, where I stayed during my fieldwork, people commonly took day trips by PMV ("private motor vehicle") to Madang city for shopping and other errands, typically leaving in the morning and returning around sundown.

PMVs are the most common mode of medium-distance travel for the vast majority of people living along the coast highway who don't have their own vehicle. They are large privately owned vehicles, such as vans or cargo trucks, that run semi-regular routes and schedules between their home village and one of the major cities, charging a flat rate for the journey. The comings and goings of PMVs are a frequent topic of conversation.

For residents in the mountainous inland areas, travel to one of the larger cities is considerably more of an ordeal, as most inland communities are not accessible by road, and the only way to get to the coast is to walk. Depending on how far inland one lives, this journey could take up to two to three days. In Gildipasi, there are several communities of people who came from inland areas but have resettled near the coast in order to have easier access to infrastructure. My fieldwork on Mokati, Hember Avu, Pamosu, and Karian took place in these coastal communities, with speakers who hadn't regularly lived in their home territories for decades.

Demographics

There is great variation in the populations of various language groups. At the upper end are languages such as Mauwake and Maia, with populations over 4,000. In the middle range are languages
with between 1,000 and 2,000 speakers, such as Barem, Usan, and Pamosu. The smallest languages have only a few dozen speakers or less. These include Karian, Bepour, and Moere. In all languages, the number of speakers of the native language is much smaller than the community population, as Tok Pisin is the primary language for most younger speakers.

**Economy**

Traditionally, speakers of Northern Adelbert languages have relied on subsistence agriculture, and many still do so today. Most people today grow and hunt most of their own food, but supplement this with purchased groceries. The main crops are yams, banana, and taro, and other crops include sago, cassava, sweet potato, and Singapore taro, as well as the leafy green vegetables known as *aibika* and *aupa* in Tok Pisin\(^3\). Betel nut is a ubiquitous crop that is important economically, culturally, and socially. Betel nut is exchanged and chewed together at all important gatherings, as well as everyday casual meetings with acquaintances. Exchanging betel nut is a key part of building and maintaining relationships and resolving conflicts (Sharp 2012).

Protein is provided by hunting small game such as bandicoots, wallabies, flying foxes, and larger game such as wild pigs and cassowaries. Coastal communities fish in the ocean, while both mountain and coastal communities collect crayfish from rivers. Sea turtles are also a traditional source of meat for coastal communities, and are highly prized. However, sea turtle populations have declined, and turtles are rarely eaten now. Domestic pigs and chickens are raised by some families, although pigs are generally only sold for cash or killed for feasts on special occasions. Berghäll (2015) writes that hunting is becoming less significant for the coastal Mauwake people, as game becomes increasingly scarce, while Tupper (2012) reports that wild game remains a central part of the diet of Pamosu speakers, who live in a mountainous inland region where game is plentiful. From my own

---

\(^3\) *Abelmoschus manihot* and *Amaranthus tricolor*, respectively.
observations of coastal Manep, Barem, and Gavak communities, most families rely only partly on hunting and fishing for their protein sources, supplementing this with tinned fish and meat.

Many people's participation in the cash economy is minimal, and few have regular paid jobs. Most people's need for cash is also minimal, since they grow their own food, and build their homes out of timber and other materials collected in the forest. In addition to its cultural importance, betel nut is also an important source of cash for lowland Northern Adelbert communities. Many families grow betel nut to sell it in the highlands, where betel nut doesn't grow, at a substantial markup. In Madang Province in 2000, over half of rural households reported selling betel nut to earn income (Sharp 2012: 68). Some people also grow coconuts, coffee, cocoa, and vanilla as cash crops, or sell food and other items at local markets.

1.3 Previous research on Northern Adelbert languages

The earliest mentions in print of Northern Adelbert language are German publications containing short wordlists of some languages in the Kaukombar subgroup (Hollrung 1887, Zöller 1890, Schmidt 1900). Chinnery (1923) also contains a short wordlist of the Saki dialect of Maia. Capell (1952) wrote brief sketches of several languages in the region, including the Northern Adelbert Languages Miani, Mauwake, Barem, and Hember Avu.

The most in-depth survey of Madang languages so far is that conducted by John Z'graggen, a missionary working at the Catholic Mission Station at Mugil, near Cape Croisilles. From 1964 to 1973, he did firsthand research on nearly every language in the province. The results of this research are summarized in his PhD thesis (Z'graggen 1969), and several later publications (Z'graggen 1971a, 1975a-b, 1980a-d). The most important of these for this dissertation is Z'graggen (1980b), which contains comparative wordlists of around 300 items for nearly all of the Northern Adelbert languages.
(as well as several other languages outside the Northern Adelbert group). For several of the Northern Adelbert languages, Z’graggen's publications are still the only primary published data available. In addition to his written publications, some of Z’graggen's recordings of elicitation sessions with speakers of various Northern Adelbert languages have been digitized and are available online at the Pacific and Regional Archive for Digital Sources in Endangered Cultures (PARADISEC) (Z’graggen 1971b).

In the decades following Z’graggen’s survey, various researchers affiliated with academic institutions or the missionary organization SIL have worked in depth on individual Northern Adelbert languages, and have produced larger wordlists, grammatical and phonological descriptions, and dictionaries. May and Loeweke (1982a, 1982) are sketches of the phonology and grammar of the Kaukombar languages Maia, Maiani, Miani, and Mala. Hardin builds on their work with a more in-depth description of Maia grammar (2002), and a Maia dictionary (Harden et al 2007). Berghäll produced a Mauwake grammar (2015) and dictionary (Järvinen (= Berghäll) Kwan, & Aduna, 2001). Tupper (2012) is a grammar of Pamosu with an extensive wordlist, and Reesink (1987) is a grammar of Usan. With the addition of my own work on Manep, Barem, and Gavak, there is now at least one relatively well-described language for each branch of Northern Adelbert.

There have been a number of comparative studies which have proposed different classifications of the languages of Madang Province, including Z’graggen (1971), Ross (2000), and Pawley and Hammarström (2018). These classifications all agree that the Northern Adelbert languages are related, and belong to the Madang branch of the Trans New Guinea phylum. However, none of these classifications treat the Northern Adelbert languages as a coherent subgroup. These classifications are discussed in more detail and compared with my own in Chapter 7.
1.4 Methodology

This dissertation relies heavily on data gathered during primary fieldwork in Madang Province during the summers of 2016-2019. My fieldwork began at the invitation of the Gildipasi Konsevesen Komiti, a grassroots cultural revitalization organization started by a group of several communities, including Manep, Barem, Karian, Mokati, Yamben, and Waskia speaker communities. This Gildipasi Konsevesen Komiti is concerned with threats to the environment, culture, and languages of their member communities. Beginning in 2000, they initiated environmental conservation projects, founding marine and forest conservation zones. In 2013, their work expanded to cultural conservation, and in 2016, to language conservation, with the initiation of the language documentation project that has led to this dissertation (Gildipasi Konseven Komiti, 2016).

In 2013, I spent a month working with speakers of the Qkuan Kambuar dialect of Barem, and became acquainted with other language communities in the area. I returned every summer from 2014-2016 for two to three months, and worked with speakers of seven different Northern Adelbert languages: Barem, Manep, Karian, Mokati, Hember Avu, Pamosu, and Mala (as well as a number of other languages in the area that don't belong to Northern Adelbert). I worked with speakers of some of these languages for only a single afternoon, while others were the focus of many months' work over the course of a few years. We investigated their languages in a variety of ways. Sometimes we worked one-on-one in targeted elicitation sessions to investigate the phonology and verbal morphology of their languages. Other times we worked in small groups to collect extended vocabulary lists. We also made audio and video recordings of conversations and narratives, which were then transcribed and translated.

The analysis of the recordings and field notes produced during these trips form the backbone of the Barem, Manep, Karian, and Mokati descriptions presented in my dissertation. The descriptions of
some other languages are based on my analysis of Z'graggen's recordings and wordlists, while others summarize the most relevant points from publications that describe these languages in greater depth.

The lexical data used to reconstruct PNA and the proto-languages for each subgroup come from a variety of sources, listed in Table 1.4a.

Table 1.4a: Sources of lexical data for this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amako</th>
<th>author's fieldwork</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barem</td>
<td>author's fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capell (1952)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bargam</td>
<td>Hepner 2006, Hepner 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bepour</td>
<td>Z'graggen (1971b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gavak</td>
<td>author's fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hember Avu</td>
<td>author's fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capell (1952)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Petir et al (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karian</td>
<td>author's fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kowaki</td>
<td>Z'graggen (1971b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maianu</td>
<td>May &amp; Loeweke (1982a-b), May (1994a), &quot;Maianu&quot; (1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mala</td>
<td>author's fieldwork, May &amp; Loeweke (1982a-b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miani</td>
<td>May &amp; Loeweke (1982a-b), May (1994b), &quot;Miani&quot; (1975), Capell (1952)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manep</td>
<td>author's fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moere</td>
<td>Z'graggen (1971b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mokati</td>
<td>author's fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamosu</td>
<td>Tupper (2012), author's fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usan</td>
<td>Reesink (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waskia</td>
<td>author's fieldwork, Ross &amp; Paol (1978), Barker &amp; Lee (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaben</td>
<td>Z'graggen (1971b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I rely on lexical data found in previous publications, such as Z'graggen's (1980a-d) wordlists, as well as other dictionaries and descriptions of Northern Adelbert languages, and data collected during my own fieldwork. I also consulted Z'graggen's recordings of various Northern Adelbert languages archived at
PARADISEC (Z'graggen 1971b) which contain much information that is not available in his published books, including additional lexical data. Table 1.4a lists the sources of lexical data for each language in this study in addition to Z'graggen's (1980a-d) published wordlists, which were consulted for each language. The comparative method is the primary tool I rely upon for my reconstruction of the PNA lexicon and phonology. In theory, the comparative method is a straightforward process: one identifies regular sound correspondences, and posits a proto-phoneme for each unique correspondence. This process relies on the assumption that the data are clean and reliable. For many of the Northern Adelbert languages, this is often decidedly not the case, and the only data available are phonetically transcribed wordlists or scratchy recordings. Even when applying the comparative method to relatively well-documented languages, there are bound to be unexplained exceptions and irregularities. This is even more the case when working with poorly documented languages. The data for any given word in a language may be the record of just a single token from a single speaker. Such data will inevitably contain speech errors, speaker idiosyncrasies, transcription errors, mistranslations, and so on. These errors can be difficult to identify, especially for languages without multiple sources of data to check against each other.

On the whole, I am confident that I have been reasonably rigorous with the sound correspondences and reconstructions I present in this dissertation. However, I have tolerated occasional irregularities in correspondences, especially for languages with poorer quality data. In the notes for each reconstruction, I call attention to reflexes which don't fit the correspondence exactly, so that readers may judge for themselves the strength any particular reconstruction.

This includes some languages which are excluded from the Northern Adelbert group, but are more distantly related, discussed in Chapters 7 and 12. For languages not listed in Table 1.4a, Z'graggen (1980b) was the only source of data.
On some occasions, I have used evidence from languages outside the Northern Adelbert group in reconstructing a particular form. In all cases, this evidence comes from the languages Waskia and Amako, which are sister languages that are more distantly related to the Northern Adelbert languages.\footnote{In Pick (), I included Amako-Waskia as a branch of Northern Adelbert. I discuss the reasons why I do not now consider them to be part of Northern Adelbert proper in Chapter 7. However, they are demonstrably related to the Northern Adelbert languages, and in Pick (2012) I outline regular correspondences which demonstrate this.}

### 1.5 Overview of common traits in Northern Adelbert languages

In this section I provide an overview of some phonological and grammatical traits that are commonly found in Northern Adelbert languages, and which recur in many of the descriptions of individual languages in Chapters 2-6. The section ends with a discussion of the widespread practice of name taboo, and its potential effects on language change.

#### 1.5.1 Phonology of Northern Adelbert languages.

In terms of phonology, the Northern Adelbert languages are, as a whole, typical of Trans New Guinea languages. Pawley & Hammarström (2018) and Foley (2000) characterize a typical TNG consonant phoneme inventory as having two stops series and a nasal series, with three contrasting points of articulation (bilabial, alveolar/dental, and velar), and small numbers of fricatives, liquids, and glides. A five-vowel system (/i, u, e, o, a/) is the most common vowel system in TNG languages, and is found in most of the Northern Adelbert languages.

**Stops**

Pawley & Hammarström (2018) note that TNG languages most commonly have two stop series: a voiceless series, and either a plain voiced series, or a prenasalized voiced series (single segments with...
multiple articulatory gestures, where the stop portion is preceded by a homorganic nasal gesture). A smaller number of TNG languages either have only a voiceless series, or have a three-way distinction between voiceless, voiced, and prenasalized voiced stops.

This characterization of TNG as a whole also fits the Northern Adelbert languages in particular. Fifteen of the twenty-one Northern Adelbert languages have two series of stops. The languages in the Tibor subgroup all have a voiceless series and prenasalized voiced series, while the Kumil language Mauwake has a voiceless series and plain voiced series. In Gavak, as well as some of the languages of the Kaukombar subgroup, voiced stops are sometimes realized with prenasalization, and sometimes without, and this seems to be a matter of free variation. The Kumil language Moere also has two series of stops, but is unusual in that it contrasts plain voiceless stops with prenasalized voiceless stops.

Only two Northern Adelbert languages, Bepour and Miani, have a single (voiceless) stop series. Four languages, Manep, Barem, Usan, and Karian, have a distinction between voiceless, plain voiced, and prenasalized voiced stops (or nasal-stop sequences)\(^6\). However, in these four languages, the contrast between plain voiced and prenasalized-voiced is marginal, and probably a recent development\(^7\). In Barem and Manep, for example, there is only a two-way distinction (voiceless vs. prenasalized voiced) in vocabulary inherited from PNA.

For most Northern Adelbert languages with two series of stops, the voiced stops have both plain voiced and prenasalized voiced allophones, and these allophones are also reconstructed for PNA (see Chapter 7). For some languages, like Gavak, plain voiced and prenasalized voiced stops are in free variation in at least some positions. In other languages, plain voiced and prenasalized voiced allophones are in complementary distribution according to a predictable pattern: plain voiced

\(^6\) Reesink (1987) analyzes prenasalized voiced stops in Usan as unitary phonemes, while in my descriptions of Barem, Manep, and Karian, I consider homorganic nasal-stop sequences to be two phonemes, a nasal followed by a stop.

\(^7\) It is probably no coincidence that these four languages are relatively well-documented compared to other Northern Adelbert languages. If the distinction between plain voiced and prenasalized voiced stops exists only marginally in other, more poorly documented languages, it would likely not be evident in the available data.
allophones are found word-initially, while prenasalized voiced allophones are found postvocally. For example, Tupper (2012) analyzes Pamosu as having underlying prenasalized voiced stops, which lose their nasalization in word-initial position. Word-medially and word-finally, they surface with prenasalization. This pattern is also followed by the other languages in the Tibor subgroup as well. In Gavak, Mala, and Maiani, post-vocalic voiced stops freely vary between plain and prenasalized allophones, but only plain voiced allophones are found word-initially.

In a number of Northern Adelbert languages, the phonetic realization of voiced stops is also affected by another voiced stop in the environment. If two voiced stops are separated by only a vowel, they are both realized as plain voiced. For example, in Barem, nd is found in the words kindor 'breadfruit' and umund 'child', as there is no other voiced stop in the environment. However, in the words badar 'roots' and gaid 'sky', where there are two voiced stops in a row, we find d rather than nd. This same pattern is also found in Manep and Mala, although loanwords have introduced exceptions.

This pattern is also evident in diachronic changes that have taken place in some languages. For example, in Mawak, PNA *b, *d, and *g are reflected as voiceless stops word-initially, as in PNA *gemaŋ 'liver' > kema, and in sequences of two historically voiced stops, as in PNA *bug- 'to sit' > Mawak pok-.

Elsewhere, historically voiced stops are reflected as prenasalized voiced, as in *kuduruk 'fly' > kunduruk. The voiceless stop reflexes in Mawak were historically plain voiced allophones of PNA *b *d, and *g, whereas the prenasalized voiced stop reflexes in Mawak were historically prenasalized voiced allophones of *b, *d, and *g.

This pattern of avoidance of multiple nasal-stop sequences in a single word in Northern Adelbert languages may reflect a wider cross-linguistic tendency. Blust (2012) gives examples of several Austronesian and Australian languages which disallow two nasal-stop sequences in the same word, and which use different strategies to resolve these sequences when they arise. One strategy,
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8 The particulars of the restriction on multiple NC sequences in a word vary across these languages, and can also depend on place of articulation and voicing of the stop.
adopted by the Austronesian language Timugon Murut, is to delete the nasal portion of one nasal-stop sequence, so that /CVN-CVNCV(C)/ surfaces as CV-CVNCV(C). Another Austronesian language Ngaju Dayak resolves these disallowed sequences using a different strategy, through coalescence of one of the underlying nasal-stop sequences into a surface nasal, so that /CVN-bVNCV(C)/ surfaces as CV-mVNCV(C). Blust connects these processes with a cross-linguistic tendency (discussed in MacEachern (1999, 2002)) for languages to avoid multiple 'marked' sequences, such as geminates, aspirated stops, or ejectives, within the same word, and suggests this tendency may be one of the driving forces behind processes of dissimilation. The Northern Adelbert languages, however, resolve these disfavored sequences through loss of nasalization in both nasal-stop sequences, which usually results in surface segments which are phonetically more similar than they otherwise would have been. This may lend support to Blust's suggestion that dissimilation may in some cases be driven by an avoidance of consecutive marked segments, rather than avoidance of consecutive elements which share a featural identity, as suggested by the Obligatory Contour Principle.

Most Northern Adelbert languages follow the tendency of TNG languages to have three place distinctions for the stops: bilabial, alveolar, and velar. Several languages (Hember Avu, Barem, and most of the Numugen languages) have /b/ but lack /p/, or have /p/ only in borrowed vocabulary. Usan and Moere also have glottal stops in addition to velars, while Bepour and Kowaki have a glottal stop but no velar stops.

**Nasals**

Pawley and Hammarström (2018) note that TNG languages commonly have three nasals, /m, n, ŋ/, although many languages lack /ŋ/, and for those which do have a velar nasal, it is often disallowed in word-initial position. A small number of TNG languages also have a palatal nasal /ɲ/. The Northern Adelbert languages fit these generalizations quite well. All Northern Adelbert languages have /m/
and /n/, while only four of them (Barem, Manep, Maiani, and Gavak) have phonemic /ŋ/, although in some languages, such as Hember Avu and Moere, a velar nasal is found as a word-final allophone of /g/. Of the four languages with phonemic /ŋ/, only Gavak allows it in word-initial position. In Barem, Manep, and Mala, /ŋ/ is found in word-final position. In Barem and Manep, /ŋ/ developed from *g in certain environments, or is found in borrowed vocabulary, while Mala /ŋ/ is a reflex of word-initial PNA *k.

Fricatives

TNG languages typically have only one or two fricatives, usually /s/ or /h/, though /t/ and /v/ are not uncommon (Pawley & Hammarström 2018, Foley 2000). Most Northern Adelbert languages have /s/, and many have a bilabial fricative as well. Several Kumil-Tibor languages have a glottal fricative, either as an allophone of /k/ or as a distinct phoneme. A number of Northern Adelbert languages also have a voiced palatal affricate. Some Kaukombar languages appear to have no phonemic fricatives, though /s/ is found as an allophone of /t/.

Liquids

TNG languages typically have one lateral and one rhotic phoneme. This is the case for several Northern Adelbert languages, including Manep, Mauwake, and Gavak. Other Northern Adelbert languages have only one liquid, either a rhotic or a lateral, having merged PNA *r and *l.

Glides

Almost every Northern Adelbert language has two glides, a labiovelar /w/ and a palatal /y/, which is the pattern seen in many TNG languages. Mala, which only has /w/, is an exception. In many Northern Adelbert languages, phonemic glides have both glide and fricative allophones. In Barem, for

9 Gavak is also the only language which regularly retains /ŋ/ as a reflex of PNA *ŋ. In Barem and Manep, /ŋ/ developed from *g in certain environments, or is found in borrowed vocabulary, while Mala /ŋ/ is a reflex of word-initial PNA *k.
example, /w/ is realized as a voiced bilabial fricative [β] word-finally or adjacent to a high front vowel. In Mauwake, /w/ can be realized as [v] or [β], depending on the quality of adjacent vowels.

**Vowels**

Five vowel systems of /i, e, u, o, a/ are the most predominant vowel systems in TNG languages. It is not uncommon for TNG languages to have an additional mid-central vowel as well. With the exception of languages in the Numugen subgroup, all Northern Adelbert languages have the common five vowel system. In the Numugen subgroup, Usan has a six vowel system, with an added mid-central vowel. Although it is rare for TNG languages to have a vowel system with less than five vowels (Pawley & Hammarström, 2018), four vowel systems are found in the other Numugen languages. Karian, Yaben, Parawen, and Yarawata lack the mid vowels /e/ and /o/, but have a mid-central /ə/, while Ukuriguma has a vowel inventory of /i, u, o, a/. A few languages, such as Mauwake and Usan, have a length distinction in their vowels.

**Stress**

Suprasegmental phonology, including stress, has only been described for a small number of Northern Adelbert languages. According to Berghäll (2015: 42), stress is predictable in Mauwake, falling on the second syllable (in words of two syllables or longer). In Pamosu as well, stress is mostly predictable, and depends on the length of a word, its morphological makeup, and the structure of the final syllable (open or closed). Generally speaking, there is final stress on words with closed final syllables, and antepenultimate stress on words with open final syllables (Tupper 2012: 99-102).
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10 My analyses of Parawen, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata phonology are based solely on the phonetic transcriptions of Z’graggen’s wordlists, so are extremely tentative. Although these Numugen languages do not have phonemic mid vowels, mid vowels can appear in surface forms. For example, Karian and Yaben have surface [o], which seems to be in free variation with [aw], and is probably best analyzed as underlying /aw/. In Parawen and Yarawata, /a/ is usually realized as a front mid vowel [e] before /i/.
However, Tupper notes that there are numerous exceptions, for example *itu 'flower' [i.'tu], which has an open final syllable but nonetheless has final stress. On the other hand, Reesink (1987: 39-40) characterizes stress in Usan as phonemic, and illustrates this with minimal pairs that differ only in stress, such as [go.'bi] 'a tree', and ['go.bi] 'taro seedling'.

In the pages that follow, I have little else to say about stress in Northern Adelbert languages, or in Proto-Northern Adelbert. This is not because I have deemed it unimportant--on the contrary, I suspect that stress may be relevant in resolving some of the unexplained or irregular changes that have taken place in certain Northern Adelbert languages. For example, the difference seen in the reflexes of Barem *ksik 'wild' < PNA *kasik and *kain 'mosquito' < PNA *kasin could potentially be explained by a difference in stress placement in the reconstructed forms. Unfortunately, the available data on stress in most languages are minimal or nonexistent, and if stress plays a role in the reconstruction of Proto-Northern Adelbert, this must await future research.

1.5.2 Grammar of Northern Adelbert languages

Below I provide an overview of some of the common grammatical characteristics shared by Northern Adelbert languages.

Word order

In all Northern Adelbert languages, the unmarked order of major constituents is SOV. This is unsurprising, as all TNG languages have SOV as the basic word order (Pawley & Hammarström 2018).

Northern Adelbert languages also follow the usual ordering of agreement affixes on the verb, with
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11 Reesink does not make clear whether in Usan stress placement generally follows certain patterns, but has exceptions, as in Pamosu, or whether it is completely unpredictable.
objects marked by prefixes on the verb, and subjects marked by suffixes. However not all languages mark objects with affixes on the verb.

Northern Adelbert languages typically have postpositions, rather than prepositions, and adjective and other modifiers typically follow the noun phrase they modify.

Northern Adelbert verbs

Figure 1.5a shows the common ordering of verb affixes in Northern Adelbert languages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>obj-</th>
<th>root</th>
<th>-aspect</th>
<th>-tense/subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

In all Northern Adelbert languages, tense- and subject-marking affixes follow the verb root. In those languages which have aspectual markers on the verb, these always follow the verb root and precede the tense and subject markers.

Not all Northern Adelbert languages mark direct objects on the verb, but some mark objects with verb prefixes. Manep and Mauwake are examples of languages which do not mark direct objects on the verb. In some languages, objects are only marked on a subset of transitive verbs. For example, Barem does not usually use object-marking prefixes, but does for the verbs 'to see' and 'to show' (see Chapter 3). Additionally, as described below, in most Northern Adelbert languages some verb stems have the person and/or number of a direct object included in the meaning of the verb stem.

Individual languages may of course have other kinds of affixes which don't fit into the template in Figure 1.5a. For example, Pamosu (Tupper 2012: 347) and Mauwake (Berghäll 2015: 140) both have a distributive suffix which immediately follows the verb root.
A common Northern Adelbert trait is that verbs are inflected with fusional suffixes that mark tense and subject. This is illustrated by the Gavak and Mokati verbs below, where in the 3SG.PST suffixes, the meanings of 3SG and past tense are conveyed by the same form.

(101) unggur-er 
     die-3SG.PST 
     'he died'

(102) um-end 
     die-3SG.PST 
     'he died'

In a few languages, such as Mauwake and Karian, some inflected verbs are less fusional, with separate morphemes for the subject and tense. This is illustrated by the Mauwake verb below, where the past tense morpheme is separable from the 3SG subject marker.

(103) um-o-k 
     die-PST-3SG 
     'he died'

However, even in these languages, there are some conjugations where the semantic components of tense and subject are not entirely separable into two morphemes. In a few languages, including Maia and the Qkuan Kambuar dialect of Barem, the final suffix on the verb may be better analyzed as marking realis or irrealis mood, rather than tense.

**Verb classes**

In many Northern Adelbert languages, verbs can be divided into different classes, which follow different conjugational patterns. Usan, for example has seven major classes of verbs, some with smaller subclasses that behave slightly differently (Reesink 1987). Between the classes there are numerous differences in the conjugational paradigms that they follow. Mauwake, on the other hand, has only two verb classes, and the differences in the conjugational patterns followed by the two classes are small, mostly depending on whether the past tense marker takes the form -e or -a. Furthermore, in Mauwake,
the verb class is largely, but not entirely, predictable from the phonological shape of the stem. Barem is similar to Mauwake, in that there are few affixes whose vowel is either -e or -a, depending on the verb class (Berghäll 2015). As in Mauwake, verb class often correlates with the phonological shape of the verb stem, but this correlation is not perfect.

A phenomenon tied in with verb class is that in most Northern Adelbert languages, at least some verbs have multiple related stems, with different stems used with different sets of conjugational affixes. For example, in Pamosu, the verb 'to sit' has four different stems: pukam- (used with the past tense), puk- (used with the present tense) pukem-, and puka- (used with various other conjugations). Similarly, Manep has two verb stems for 'to sit': bung- is used with past and present tense, and bungom- is used with future tense and imperatives and other conjugations, as illustrated below.

104) bung-an
    sit-2/3SG.PST
    'you/he sat'

105) bungom-iden
    sit-2/3SG.FUT
    'you/he will sit'

In the Tibor languages, there are numerous verbs with multiple stems, and verbs can be divided into classes depending on the patterns they follow for how the stems are formed, and how they are distributed across the conjugational paradigm. The forms of these stems are often related to each other (as with the Pamosu stems for 'to sit' listed above), but not always in a predictable way (see Chapter 3). Again, Mauwake is one of the languages with more regularity. Mauwake verb stems are largely invariant, with the possible exception of a few irregular verbs like ik- 'to be', whose cognates in other Northern Adelbert languages have the largest number of stems of any verb.

For some verbs, the different stems depend on the person and number of the direct object. The verbs which behave this way tend to be drawn from the same small set, which includes 'to see', 'to hit/shoot', 'to chase/follow', 'to give', and 'to tell'. For example, Gavak has four stems for 'to hit': ur- 'hit
3SG', *inggar* - 'hit 1SG', *nanggar* - 'hit 2SG', and *gar* - 'hit PL'. Manep follows the same pattern as Gavak, with four stems for 'to hit', a plural stem, and one for each person in the singular. In most cases, these verb stems are clearly derived from fossilized object-marking prefixes that are not productive. All verbs which behave this way are transitive verbs in which suppletion indicates person and number of the direct object. This is in line with Durie's (1986: 357) observation that cross-linguistically verb stem suppletion seems to invariably select for the number of the absolutive argument.

**Clause chains and switch reference**

In most Northern Adelbert languages there is a distinction between medial verbs and final verbs, which combine to form clause chains. The number of medial verbs in a clause chain is in theory unlimited, but each clause chain has only one final verb. The inflectional suffixes available to medial verbs and final verbs are often different. Final verbs are typically inflected with suffixes indicating subject and tense, according to the template in Figure 1.5a above, while medial verbs are inflected with switch reference markers that indicate whether the subject of the following verb is the same or different as the subject of the verb it is marked on.

Switch reference systems are prevalent in TNG languages, which are known to have some of the most elaborate switch reference systems that have been described (Pawley & Hammarström 2018). Haiman and Munro (1983: x) define switch reference in the following way: "*Canonical switch-reference is an inflectional category of the verb, which indicates whether or not its subject is identical with the subject of some other verb.*"

In Northern Adelbert languages, switch reference markers often also mark the subject of the medial verb they appear on. In Usan, the suffix *-ine* indicates that the subject of the medial verb it appears on is 1SG, and that the following verb has a different subject, while the suffix *-a* indicates that the medial verb it appears on has a 2/3SG subject and that the subject of the following verb is different.
Switch reference markers often make fewer distinctions than the subject/tense markers on final verbs. For example, the Karian different subject marker -arə marks both second and third person, which are kept distinct in the subject/tense markers on final verbs.

Not all Northern Adelbert languages mark switch reference with verbal suffixes. Manep uses conjunctions that are independent words to mark switch reference (see Chapter 2). Conjunctions marking switch reference are also found in Waskia (Ross & Paol, 1978), one of Manep's neighboring languages, which is related to the Northern Adelbert languages.

**Name Taboos**

A common cultural practice throughout many Melanesian societies is name taboo, whereby individuals are prohibited from uttering the names of certain relatives. This practice is found in both Austronesian and Papuan languages throughout the region (Simons 1982, Holzknecht 1988, Foley 1986: 42). For some communities, the name taboo extends to any homophonous words or associated linguistic forms. Since names in Melanesian societies are often derived from meaningful words in the language, there are many words which are tabooed for any particular individual. I worked with speakers of Northern Adelbert languages who were prohibited from saying common words such as 'knife', 'hand', and 'mouth', for example.

The practice of name taboos has been observed in several Northern Adelbert languages, including all of those that are relatively well documented. Reesink (1987: 11) states that the use of one's in-laws' names is prohibited in Usan, and I have observed this is also the case in Barem, Manep, and Gavak. In Mauwake, also, it is forbidden to use the names of one's in-laws. In Mauwake families, parents give their child the name of one of their relatives, and children may be given multiple

---

12 What counts as an 'in-law' for taboos includes Ego's spouse's siblings and parents, as well as the Ego's siblings' spouses. In the kinship systems of most Northern Adelbert languages, the notion 'sibling' also includes a paternal uncle's children and a maternal aunt's children. In small communities, the result is that a large fraction of the community that one interacts with are in-laws.
other names as well. A name assigned by one parent is taboo for the other parent, since it refers to one of their in-laws. Once that child is married, it will be taboo for anyone who is the child's in-law as well, along with all of their other names (Berghäll 2015). Since a married Mauwake speaker will have multiple in-laws, each with multiple names, some of which will be passed down over generations, it is easy to see how in this way, a particular word can persist as taboo for a large number of people over time. Meinerzag (2015) writes that Pamosu speakers are prohibited from saying the names of one's maternal uncles, as well as their children. In addition to these strict taboos, Pamosu speakers find the use of personal names in general, even one's own, to be embarrassing and cause for discomfort. As in Mauwake, personal names are often transferred across generations.

Name taboos raise the question of what strategies speakers use to refer to something (or someone) without being able to name it directly. Holzknecht (1988) discusses strategies that speakers of Markham languages (a group of Austronesian languages spoken in Morobe and Madang Provinces) use to avoid tabooed words. These strategies include the use of synonyms, the use of a semantically related word (for example 'light' to refer to taboo 'fire'), or borrowing from a neighboring language or Tok Pisin. She notes that a word borrowed in this way can become nativized, and for many speakers, its origin may be forgotten, or an incorrect origin may be attributed to it. She gives an example from Adzera, an Austronesian language. Speakers of the Sangang area of Adzera often use the word *tati* if the usual word for fire, *dzaf*, is taboo. They claim that *tati* is from the Guruf dialect of Adzera, when in actuality it is from Taap, a Papuan language.

In my own fieldwork, I encountered a few instances where some speakers were unaware that a word was borrowed, while others explicitly stated that the word was borrowed to avoid name taboos. An illustrative example comes from Waskia, which is located along the coast between the Northern Adelbert languages Gavak and Manep. I had only ever heard Waskia speakers use the word *bamban*

---

13 Waskia and its sister language Amako are not Northern Adelbert languages, but are related.
for 'fish', which is identical to the word for fish in both Gavak and Manep. I asked the couple I lived with, Veronica and Agustin Talim (both native Waskia speakers), if they knew a word wal, which is the form that Z'graggen lists for 'fish' in his Waskia wordlist (1980b). Veronica informed me that wal meant fish, and was more commonly used in the past. However, it was taboo for many people, as it was also the name of a prominent community member that had passed away many years prior. Agustin expressed surprise at this, but conceded that Veronica was correct, and that he had forgotten all about the word wal, having not heard it in so long. This was all completely new information to their son Tobi, in his twenties, who although a proficient Waskia speaker, told me he had never heard the word wal before.

This anecdote illustrates why name taboos may be important for historical linguistics, as they can potentially be mechanisms of language change. If Veronica's assessment is correct, the native Waskia word for 'fish' was almost completely replaced over the course of a couple generations, and this change was driven by name taboo.\(^{14}\)

The comparative method traditionally relies on the comparison of basic vocabulary, which is crosslinguistically less prone to borrowing (Tadmore et al. 2010). It has been noted that in Papuan languages, however, borrowing of basic vocabulary is not uncommon (Foley 1986, 2000). It is an open question whether name taboos cause core vocabulary to be borrowed at a higher rate, but this has been argued to be the case for some Austronesian languages in Melanesia (Chowning 1985, Simons 1982). Simons (1982) suggests there are three mechanisms of change caused by taboos: borrowing, deliberate phonological modification of a word, and semantic innovation within the language. These mechanisms should be kept in mind when examining language change in Papuan languages, particularly with regard to the application of the comparative method. If Papuan languages do borrow basic vocabulary at a

\(^{14}\) It is clear that wal is the native Waskia word, as it has a cognate wa in Waskia's sister language Amako. Barker & Lee (2008) list wal, but not bamban, in their Waskia dictionary, which is based on the variety of Waskia spoken on Karkar island. I worked with Waskia speakers on the mainland, who generally are not in frequent contact with Waskia speakers on Karkar.
higher rate, this is potentially a problem for the application of the comparative method, which relies on the assumption that the items being compared are all directly inherited from a common proto-form. Simons (1982) and Holzknecht (1988) bring up the consideration that multiple borrowings may result in regular sound correspondences due to borrowing, and not direct inheritance, resulting in too many phonemes being reconstructed for a proto-language. If reconstructions for a higher-order proto-language are available for comparison, this is one method for identifying these spurious correspondences, but these reconstructions are generally not available for Papuan languages. As Pawley & Hammarström (2018) note, the reconstruction of Proto-TNG is still in its beginning stages.

Another mechanism listed by Simons, semantic innovation, can complicate the application of the comparative method in another way. If some languages shift the meaning of certain words due to name taboo, this would result in a word set of regularly corresponding forms whose meanings do not correspond precisely. Potential cognate sets should therefore not be dismissed out of hand because of discrepancies in meaning.

As Pawley & Hammarström (2018) point out, higher levels of borrowing in Papuan languages do not present challenges qualitatively different from those faced by historical linguists working in any other part of the world. There are methods for distinguishing true cognate forms from spurious correspondences. Borrowed items may sometimes be identifiable because they do not fit the regular phonotactic patterns of the language. For example, Waskia *bamban stands out because Waskia generally lacks nasal-stop sequences (or prenasalized stops) in native vocabulary. On the other hand, borrowed words can be adapted to fit native phonology, in which case they can be harder to detect. With regard to potential cognates whose meanings do not correspond, it is useful to look at patterns of polysemy in related languages. For example, Barem *ivor 'rain' appears to be the reflex of PNA *iper 'salt, ocean'. While a connection between 'rain' and 'salt, ocean' initially seems tenuous, it seems more
likely once we consider that in some other Northern Adelbert languages there is polysemy between 'ocean' and 'water', or between 'water' and 'rain'. 
2. Manep-Barem

This chapter gives an overview of the synchronic phonology and verb morphology of two Northern Adelbert languages, Manep and Barem, which together form a subgroup of Northern Adelbert. This provides the background necessary for the reconstruction of Proto-Manep-Barem, and for understanding the sound changes that have taken place in both languages, which is the subject of Chapter 8.

2.1 Barem

The Barem people live on the northwest coast of Madang Province, and are centrally located within the Northern Adelbert group. Their neighbors are Manep speaking communities to the southwest, across the Dibor river, and Korak speakers to the northwest. The homeland of the Barem people is Masor mountain, north and inland of the Dibor river. While many Barem speakers still reside inland near Masor mountain, a greater number now live along the coast, from the area around the Dibor in the south, to Bunabun village, the largest Barem-speaking settlement, in the north. There are at least four distinct dialects: Bunabun (spoken north of the Dibor near the coast), Asumbin (spoken in inland areas), Qkuan Kambuar (with only a few speakers, residing around the Dibor river and in Tokain village to the south), and Kimbu Kambuar (now extinct; the Kimbu people live inland from Tokain village). According to Ethnologue (Eberhard, Simons & Fenig 2020) there were 1,190 speakers as of 2003.

The Qkuan Kambuar dialect is severely endangered. The few remaining speakers are middle-aged or older, and are not in daily contact with each other. When they do meet, they usually speak to
each other in Waskia or Tok Pisin. All Qkuan Kambuar speakers are conversant in the Bunabun dialect as well, and will often switch between the two dialects. Bunabun speakers, on the other hand, generally have little or no knowledge of Qkuan Kambuar.

The Bunabun dialect is less endangered, and more vital than most other languages in the area. Its speakers comprise the biggest part of the Barem population. From what I observed in 2019, Barem is the primary language of the community. Adults speak to each other in Barem most of the time, and I observed children speaking to each other in Barem. Tok Pisin is also in daily use, but I encountered a few adults who were uncomfortable speaking Tok Pisin, which is unusual for the region.

The Kimbu Kambuar community no longer speak Barem, and their primary language is now Tok Pisin. The middle-aged adults I met with told me that even their grandparents' generation no longer spoke Kimbu Kambuar, but had switched to Qkuan Kambuar. They state that the dialect spoken by their ancestors was distinct from other Barem dialects, and Laurence Kimbu, one of the Kimbu clan leaders, was able to recall a few short words and phrases that support this. Table 2.1a lists the Kimbu Kambuar expressions that Laurence recalled to me, alongside the Qkuan Kambuar and Bunabun cognates. These phrases provide some potentially valuable information for understanding Barem's history (see Chapter 8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kimbu Kambuar</th>
<th>Qkuan Kambuar</th>
<th>Bunabun</th>
<th>gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>gamang</em></td>
<td><em>gaman</em></td>
<td><em>gaman</em></td>
<td>'liver'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ombe</em></td>
<td><em>ombek</em></td>
<td><em>ombek</em></td>
<td>'branch'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>mata urampkan</em></td>
<td><em>matav oramkan</em></td>
<td><em>matav orakan</em></td>
<td>'you're talking'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>aragarag</em></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>'hurry up!'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I did not work with any speakers of the Asumbin dialect, but was told that it is similar to Bunabun.
This description of Barem is based primarily on data collected during my 2016-2018 fieldwork, working with speakers of the Qkuan Kambuar and Bunabun dialects. Most of the data on Qkuan Kambuar comes from elicitation sessions with Josepa Wok, the oldest and most fluent speaker, along with a few recordings of more naturalistic speech. The Bunabun data comes mainly from recordings of conversations and narratives collected in 2019, which were transcribed and translated into Tok Pisin by myself, Collins Kumuang, and Lukas Kumuang, and can be accessed online at the Endangered Languages Archive. I also consulted the Barem wordlist in Z'graggen (1980b), as well as Capell (1952), which includes a short wordlist and two and half page description of Bunabun. For Qkuan Kambuar, I also consulted a handbook of short stories collected by Qkuan Kambuar youth sometime in the 1990's as part of a literacy project headed by Nicholas Faracas (Stories). In this project, young members of the community interviewed their elders and wrote stories they were told in Qkuan Kambuar. The orthography used in this book also forms the basis of the orthography I use here, with a few adaptations.

The Qkuan Kambuar and Bunabun dialects have many differences in their vocabulary, phonology, and inflectional verb morphology. When a statement in this chapter refers to "Barem", it applies to both dialects unless otherwise noted. Where a description applies to only one dialect, this is made clear. Most of the example sentences are from the Bunabun dialect. Those drawn from Qkuan Kambuar are noted with (QK) on the first line.

The structure of the remainder of the section is as follows. In Section 2.1.1, I give the Barem phoneme inventory, discuss the major allophones of each phoneme, and outline the Barem orthography, and Section 2.1.2 discusses syllable structure and word structure. In Section 2.1.3, I discuss morphophonological processes that apply in the inflection of Barem verbs. Section 2.1.4 gives an overview of Barem verbal morphology, including the marking of subject, object, and indirect object on the verb, TAM marking, and switch reference.
2.1.1 Barem phonemes

Tables 2.1.1a-2.1.1b show the Barem phoneme inventory. In many respects, this inventory is typical of Papuan languages. Barem, like many Papuan languages, has a small number of place distinctions, a two-way distinction between voiceless and voiced oral stops (with the voiced series often realized with prenasalization), and a small number of fricatives and liquids. (Foley 2000). Barem also has the five-vowel system that is typical of many Papuan languages (Foley 2000). In the sections below, I discuss the major allophones for each phoneme and the environments which condition them.

Table 2.1.1a: Barem vowel phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>/i/</td>
<td>/u/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>/e/</td>
<td>/o/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td>/a/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1.1b: Barem consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/, /b/</td>
<td>/t/, /d/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/k/, /d/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/ng/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>affricate</td>
<td>/j/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td>/f/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trill</td>
<td></td>
<td>/r/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vowels

Phonetically, /e/ is usually pronounced as a lax vowel [ɛ] when followed by a consonant. /i/ often lowers to /e/ word-initially in the Bunabun dialect, as in /in+me/ > inume~enume 'I slept'. Common vowel sequences are /ai/, /au/, /ia/, /ua/, and /ie/, and /io/ and /uo/ are attested in a small number of words.
Voiceless stops

Barem has three voiceless stops, /p/, /t/, and /k/. Voiceless stops are sometimes realized as fricatives word-initially when preceding another voiceless stop, for example /tkun/ 'moon' > [θkun~tkun~skun], /ptiw/ 'sago' > [ɸtiβ~ptiβ] and /kta/ 'coconut' > [xtɑ~ktɑ]. It is not quite clear whether /t/ and /s/ contrast before /k/. Variation has been recorded for some words, as just shown with /tkun/ 'moon', while others, such as /skar/ 'rock, reef', have only been recorded with [s]. I have assumed that lack of variation indicates underlying /sk/, while variation indicates underlying /tk/.

For /k/, the fricative allophone is mandatory when preceding another /k/, as in /kka/, 'dog' > [xkɑ]. Speakers seem to regard this [xk] sequence as a distinct sound from both plain voiceless /k/ and the fricative allophone [x] which may appear before /t/, and many have expressed pride in it as a characteristic sound of Barem which is not found in neighboring languages. They've chosen to represent this sequence orthographically as <qk > , although the most parsimonious analysis would regard this as underlying /kk/ rather than a distinct prespirantized stop phoneme.

A voiceless stop may also spirantize word-medially if the cluster of two voiceless stops straddles a morpheme boundary, for example /iyok+ke/ 'I disliked it' > [ijoxke] (see Section 5). If the word-medial cluster is within the same morpheme, spirantization does not occur, for example /atkaw/ 'just' > [atkaβ], but not *[əθkɑβ].

Voiced stops

Barem has three voiced stops /b/, /d/, and /g/, and one voiced affricate /j/.

With some exceptions, discussed below, voiced stops and their corresponding homorganic nasal-stop clusters are in complementary distribution. In general, plain voiced stops are found word-initially and in consonant clusters, while homorganic nasal-stop clusters are found intervocalically and
word-finally. There are two further caveats: first, instead of word-final [ŋg] we find [ŋ]. Second, when two voiced stops are separated by only a vowel, they are both plain voiced, no matter their position in the word. So, for example, we find medial /b/ in words such as *dabuw*- 'to shine', where it is preceded by another voiced stop, but /mb/ in words such as *kambuar* 'speech', where there is no other voiced stop in the environment. In most words with two voiced obstruents, one of them is word-initial. However, there are words with two medial (plain) voiced consonants, such as *kidiban* 'lizard' and *magubem* 'eagle'. Such words indicate that the lack of prenasalization is due to both voiced obstruents affecting each other, rather than a 'spread' of plain voicing from an initial plain voiced consonant to the following medial one. Table 2.1.1c illustrates this distribution of voiced stops and nasal-stop sequences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>word-initial: plain voiced</th>
<th>intervocalic: nasal-stop</th>
<th>word-final: nasal-stop</th>
<th>two voiced stops in sequence: plain voiced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/d/</td>
<td>[dur] 'tail'</td>
<td>[kindor] 'breadfruit'</td>
<td>[fond] 'wall'</td>
<td>[badar] 'roots'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/g/</td>
<td>[gaman] 'liver'</td>
<td>[kimgur] 'shadow'</td>
<td>[jan] 'water'</td>
<td>[dagok] 'throat'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distribution of plain voiced stops and homorganic nasal-stop clusters described above applies to most of the Barem lexicon, including all the vocabulary items that can be traced to PNA and Proto-Manep-Barem (except for some inflectional affixes). However, there are some words that do not fit the pattern. Words such as wagi 'cuscus' and ked- 'to love' have plain-voiced segments intervocally, where nasal-stop clusters are expected. Other words, such as ganggar 'bad' and embugan 'thigh', have nasal-stop clusters in an environment where plain voiced stops typically occur. Some of these exceptional items can be identified as probable loans, such as wagi 'cuscus' and kanagiv
'knife', which closely resemble the Simbukanam dialect of Manep, *wagi* 'cuscus' and *kanagip* 'knife'. The provenance of other exceptional items, such as *ked* 'to love' and *ganggar* 'bad' is unclear. Furthermore, many inflectional affixes and function words do not fit the pattern, such as the Bunabun inflectional affixes -*mid* '3PL.REM', -*kid* '3PL.REC', and -*Vd* '3SG.REM', and the plural pronoun *indugo*.

It is likely that at an earlier point in Barem's history, plain-voiced stops and prenasalized-voiced stops were predictable allophonic variants. In contemporary Barem, however, there is synchronically a phonemic distinction between, for example, */nd/ and */d/. For this reason, I analyze homorganic nasal-stop sequences as clusters, rather than as allophones of the voiced stops, since this prenasalization is no longer predictable. Loans such as *wagi* 'cuscus' and *kanagip* 'knife' have created a contrast between [ŋg] and [g] where there previously was none. This has effectively created a new phoneme */ŋ/.

**Fricatives**

Barem has two voiceless fricative phonemes, bilabial */f/ and alveolar */s/. Glides also have voiced fricative allophones, discussed in the section on glides below.

For much of Barem vocabulary, */p/ and */f/ are in either complementary distribution or free variation. */f/ is found word-initially and intervocally, as in */fen/ 'navel', and */wafur/ 'far', while */p/ is found in clusters with */s/, such as */psuw-/ 'to carry around the neck', and */spakur/ 'chief'. As mentioned above, in word-initial voiceless stop clusters, there is variation between a fricative and a stop, as in [ɸtiβ~ptiβ] 'sago', or [ɸk~pk-] 'to sharpen, carve'. So far, then, [ɸ] and [p] could be analyzed as allophones of a single phoneme, with [p] found in clusters with */s/, [p] and [ɸ] in free variation in word-initial clusters with */t/ and */k/, and [ɸ] found elsewhere.

---

15 Alternately, we could say a contrast has developed between plain voiced */g/ and prenasalized */ŋg/, rather than between */g/ and */ŋ/. In either case, word-final [ŋ], which developed from *g, could still be considered an allophone of */g/.
However, a number of words do not fit this pattern, such as *piropir* 'butterfly', *sarupe* 'serving spoon', *pon* 'sea turtle' and *par* 'platform', (the last two are loans from Waskia16). Therefore, /p/ and /f/ must synchronically be treated as separate phonemes, which seem to have become distinct through the introduction of loanwords or other innovated vocabulary.

**Sonorants**

Barem has three nasals, /m/ and /n/ and /ŋ/. As mentioned above, /ŋ/ developed phonemic status through the introduction of loanwords that contain [g] without prenasalization. /ŋ/ is therefore contrastive only before /g/. In the Qkuan Kambuar dialect, /ŋ/ contrasts only with its absence. The Bunabun dialect allows heterorganic nasal-clusters, so /ŋ/ also contrasts with the other nasals before /g/, for example /munguan/ 'bird' vs. /muŋgurun/ 'laughter'. Word-finally, we find [ŋ], but not [g], so there is no contrast in this position.

Barem has one liquid, which I refer to here as an alveolar trill. However, speakers of the Qkuan Kambuar and Bunabun dialects state that the pronunciation of this liquid is different in the two dialects. Further research is needed on the phonetics of this liquid across dialects.

**Glides**

Barem has a labiovelar glide /w/ and a palatal glide /j/. /w/ has glide and voiced bilabial fricative allophones, with the fricative occurring adjacent to /i/ (/wi/ 'rope' → [βi]), and syllable-finally (/uyaw/ 'spear' → [uŋβ]), /buwdum/ 'earthquake' → [buβdum]). The glide and fricative allophones are in free variation adjacent to mid-vowels and /u/, (/kawet/ 'fishing net bag' → [kawɛt–kaβɛt], /uwute/ 'many' → [uwute–uβute].

16 The ultimate source of *pon* 'sea turtle' is Austronesian, and likely entered into Waskia from Takia, an Austronesian language which is in close contact with Waskia. Barem/Waskia *par* 'platform' may also ultimately be an Austronesian loan (Proto-Oceanic *paRa* 'storage rack above the hearth'), although Barem *par* typically refers to a bench or platform for sitting, not for storage.
The palatal glide also has a palatal fricative allophone, which can occur adjacent to /i/, as in /iyat/ 'young' → [ijɑt~ iʒɑt]. In the Bunabun dialect, word-initial /j/ deleted preceding /i/, although this is only attested in one word: /yik/ 'sore' → [ik] (Bun.), [jik–ʒik] (QK).

**Barem orthography**

There is no standard Barem orthography. The orthography used here is based on the one developed in a literacy project headed by Nicholas Faraclas, that is used in a book of Qkuan Kambuar stories (Stories). It is shallow in the sense that in several cases, different symbols are used for predictable allophones of a single phoneme. For example, <w > and <v > are both used to represent underlying /w/. The Barem orthography is similar to that adopted for other Northern Adelbert languages in this dissertation, but with the addition of two special symbols, <qk > and <- >. Underlying /kk/ clusters are pronounced as a preaspirated velar stop [ʰk] or prespirantized stop [xk], and represented orthographically as <qk >. Another special symbol is the dash <- >, which is used to differentiate the sequence /ng/ <n-g > from /ŋ/ <ng > and /ŋg/ <ngg >.

**2.1.2 Barem syllable and word structure**

In this section I discuss Barem syllable and word structure, including restrictions on the distribution of individual phonemes.

**Barem syllables**

Barem syllables have a (C)(C)V(N)(C) structure: onsets contain from zero to two segments, while codas may contain zero to two segments.
Barem syllable onsets

If a Barem syllable has a single-segment onset, this may be any consonant, although only one $r$-initial word has been identified so far. Complex onsets contain only voiceless obstruents. Complex onsets may be phonologically stop-stop, fricative-stop, or stop-fricative. No fricative-fricative onsets have been identified. Historically these complex onsets derive from words which began with two voiceless obstruents separated by a vowel which was later lost, leaving a cluster of two adjacent voiceless obstruents.

In $tk$- and $kt$- onsets, the first stop in the cluster may be realized as a fricative, ($/tkun/ 'moon' \rightarrow [θkun], /ktα/ 'coconut' \rightarrow [xta]$) or as a sequence of two stops separated only by the release of the first stop, but no vowel. Figures 2.1.2a-b are spectrograms of two tokens of $kta$ ‘coconut’, both spoken by Josepa Wok, a Qkuan Kambuar speaker. In Figure 2.1.2a, the initial velar stop is realized as a fricative [x], and the alveolar stop is realized as a stop [t]. In Figure 2.1.2b, both /k/ and /t/ are realized as stops.

Note that in both Figures 2.1.2a and 2.1.2b there is no vowel between the stops. This is characteristic of complex onsets in Barem: the initial stop burst is followed immediately by the closure of the second stop, with no epenthetic vowel. Speakers sometimes describe this type of onset as “silent p” or “silent t”, although it is usually audible.
As discussed above, there are a number of words with onsets represented orthographically as `<ft>` whose initial labial can be phonetically realized as either a stop or fricative, as in `fliv`, ‘sago’, [ptiβ̂, φtiβ̂]. These derive historically from `*pVt-`, but whether to consider the initial segment as
underlyingly /p/ or /f/ synchronically is a matter of personal preference, as [p] and [ɸ] were allophones of a single phoneme until the system was disrupted by loanwords with /p/ as an independent phoneme contrasting with /f/.

Another complex onset, represented orthographically as <qk>, can be analyzed as an underlying sequence of two velar stops, but is realized as either a prespirantized or preaspirated velar stop, [xk] or [ʰk]. Although qk- onsets are always phonetically [xk] or [ʰk], there are several reasons to consider them to be phonemically a /kk/ cluster. First, they derive historically from *kVk sequences, from example qka 'dog' from Proto-Manep-Barem *kakas (Manep: kakas). Second, the initial velar in kt- onsets may also be realized as a fricative. Third, synchronically, two adjacent velar stops separated by a word or morpheme boundary may also be realized as [xk], for example /uyek#ki/ 'or not?' > [uʃɛxki].

Fricative-stop onsets consist of an alveolar fricative /s/ followed by any one of the voiceless stops /p/, /t/ or /k/. For example: spuam ‘rattle’, stiri ‘chicken’, skov ‘sand’. Stop-fricative onsets consist of either a voiceless labial or alveolar stop followed by an alveolar fricative /s/, as in psak ‘tree sp.’ and ksim ‘gnat’.

**Barem syllable codas**

Barem codas contain either a single segment, or a homorganic nasal-voiced stop sequence. As mentioned above, these nasal-stop sequences were historically a single phoneme. The palatal glide /y/ and the bilabial fricative /f/ do not occur in coda position. /s/ appears in coda position only after /a/ in native Barem vocabulary, but is found after other vowels in loanwords. Some /s/ codas can be shown to derive historically from *t, as in babaras 'year' < PNA *barat, which suggests that Pre-Barem lacked fricative codas altogether. All other types of segments are found in coda position.
Barem word structure

Most Barem monomorphemic words are of one or two syllables, and three syllable words are not uncommon. Only one apparently monomorphemic word longer than three syllables has been identified: *isiweka* (QK) ‘slow, quiet’. Many three-syllable words have been derived by a process of reduplication. For example: *ararer* ‘two’, *babaras* ‘year’, *didigen* ‘straight’, *gigiruk* ‘crooked’, *mamunjir* ‘ants’. Other three-syllable words are monomorphemic, such as *magubem* ‘eagle’, *kunduruk* ‘flies’, *kamandim* ‘bow’. In the Murukanam dialect, the medial vowel in most three syllable words has been lost. Compare, for example, Qkuan Kambuar *urumik* to Murukanam *urmik* ‘fish’ (See Chapter 8). In most Qkuan Kambuar disyllabic words, only the final syllable may have a coda, although there are exceptions (QK: *buvdum* 'earthquake', *makten* 'behind'). In Bunabun, the loss of medial vowels in three-syllable words has led to many two syllable words with codas in the initial syllable.

2.1.3. Morphophonological processes in Barem

This section outlines morphophonological processes that apply when a verb root is affixed with inflectional morphology. Some of these processes apply only when select morphemes are involved. For example, *e*-rounding (rule 4 below), in which *e* becomes *o* following a labial, applies to some *e*-initial suffixes, but not others, and does not apply in monomorphemic words, such as *kawet* ‘fishing bag’. Other processes apply to all sequences of the relevant type, for example, *w-m* coalescence (rule 1 below). Sequences of underlying */w+m/* are always realized on the surface as [b], and */wm/* sequences are not found in monomorphemic words.

Some of the processes described here are general phonological processes that apply not just at morpheme boundaries, but also within a morpheme. For example, Bunabun vowel insertion (rule 5 below) applies to non-high vowels after a high vowel and a single consonant, as in */in+ed/* → [inːɛd]
'he slept'. The same process has applied to monomorphemic words, as in Proto-Manep-Barem *kibem 'hand drum' > (Bun.) *kimbiem, (QK) *kimbem. Vowel insertion is clearly a synchronically active process, but its application in *kimbiem 'hand drum' can be viewed as a historical change, since the sequence /ie/ in this word does not alternate with a /e/ under any circumstance. Processes like this are discussed both in this section, and again in the section on sound change in Barem in Chapter 8.

1. **w-m coalescence: */w+m/ → b**

   When a w-final root is suffixed with an m-initial suffix, the resulting /wm/ cluster is realized as [b]17.

   (201) /kaw+me/ → kabe
        open+1SG.REM
        'I opened it'

   Recall that when two voiced stop phonemes are separated only by a vowel, both stops are realized without prenasalization. When such a sequence is created by the w-m coalescence, the first voiced stop may be realized with or without prenasalization:

   (202) /iduw+me/ → indube~idube
        go+1SG.REM
        'I went'

2. **r-deletion**

   Verb root-final /r/ deletes before a number of different suffixes. Four different rules are necessary to account for all cases of r-deletion. These are outlined below.

   2a. /r/ → Ø / _ + N

   Verb root-final /r/ deletes before suffixes beginning with a nasal.

---

17 This is always realized without prenasalization.
(203) /or+minbi/ → ominbi
hit+2SG.PFV.SS
'you hit it'

(204) /tanar+mid/ → tanmid's
talk+1PL.REM
'they talked'

(205) /kawar+n+ombin/ → kawanombin
wash+2SG+1PL.IRR
'We will wash it for you'

Note that clusters of /r/ followed by a nasal are permitted in monomorphemic words, for example irnua
'ringworm type' and yarm- 'to wear'.

2b. /r/→Ø/_d

Verb root-final /r/ deletes before suffixes beginning with /d/.

(206) /or+di/ → odi
hit+3PL.PFV.SS
'they hit'

(207) /ambar+da/ → ambada
come+3SG.PFV.SS
'he came'

2c. /r/→Ø/_+V

Verb root-final /r/ deletes before the suffixes -Vn '2SG.REM' and -Vd '3SG.REM'. The vowel takes different forms depending on the root these suffixes attach to (see Section 2.1.4). For r-final roots, they take the forms -an and -ad.

(208) /kur+an/ → kuan
come+2SG.REM
'you came'

18 This example, as well as (212) below, also show medial vowel deletion, described later in the section (rule 8).
Barem has a set of suffixes I call \( R \)-markers which mark several types of arguments on the verb, including indirect objects and beneficiaries (Section 2.1.4). These are \(-i\) '1SG.IDO', \(-n\) '2SG.IDO', \(-t\) '3SG.IDO' and \(-\text{ind}\) 'PL.IDO'. In polysyllabic \( r \)-final verb roots, /\( r \)/ is deleted when followed by an \( R \)-marker.

In monosyllabic \( r \)-final verb roots, \( r \)-deletion is optional. Although the number of relevant examples in the corpus is small, it seems that \( r \) is usually retained in these cases.
3. **vowel epenthesis:** $\emptyset \rightarrow V/-\text{continuant}
+\text{m (optional)}$

An epenthetic vowel is often inserted between morpheme-final stops, nasals and alveolar trill, and a following /m/ within the same word. The quality of this vowel varies, and $[\varepsilon], [o], [\alpha]$ and $[u]$ have all been recorded.

(214) /usund+mind/ → usundumind  
   chase+1PL.REM  
   'we chased it'

4. **e-rounding:**

4a. $e \rightarrow o/[-\text{labial}]+$

In some suffixes, an initial /e/ surfaces as [o] when attached to a root with a final labial consonant. This rule applies to the Qkuan Kambuar suffixes -eme '1SG.R', -eko '3SG.R', -ema '2PL.R', -engamba '2PL.IRR', -em '2PL.IMP', and -era '3SG.SS'. In the examples below, a suffix-initial e rounds when following the labial-final verb roots um- 'die' and taw- 'cut', while it does not round following the verb roots angg- 'see' and tanar- 'talk'.

(215) /um+eko/ → umoko  
   die+3SG.R  
   'he died'

(216) /taw+em/ → tawom  
   cut+2PL.IMP  
   'cut it!'  

(217) /ang+eko/ → anggeko  
   see+3SG.R  
   'he saw'

(218) /tanar+em/ → tanarem  
   talk+2PL.IMP  
   'talk!'
This rule does not apply to two Qkuan Kambuar e-initial suffixes, -engambin '2SG.IRR' and -enggara '3SG.IRR'.

(219) /aw+eŋgara/ → awenggara (QK)
    get+3SG.IRR
    'he'll get it'

The e-rounding rule is not present in the Bunabun dialect, which has only two e-initial suffixes, -embin '2SG.IRR' and -emda '3SG.IRR'. Like their Qkuan Kambuar counterparts -engambin and -enggara, these Bunabun irrealis suffixes do not undergo rounding.

(220) /aw+emda/ → awemda (QK)
    get+3SG.IRR
    'he'll get it'

However, there is some indication that rounding applied in Bunabun in the past. The Qkuan Kambuar 2PL.IMP suffix has the allomorphs -om (after labials) and -em (elsewhere). In Bunabun, the 2PL.IMP suffix is -om, which likely used to alternate as in Qkuan Kambuar, but the -om allomorph was generalized to be used with all verb roots.

Since all of the e-initial suffixes which do not undergo rounding are irrealis suffixes, it is almost possible to say that irrealis suffixes are exempt from e-rounding. However, there is an exception to this generalization in that Qkuan Kambuar -engamba '2PL.IRR' does round.

(221) /aw+eŋgamba/ → awonggamba
    do+2PL.IRR
    'you will do it'

(222) /an+eŋgamba/ → anenggamba
    eat+2PL.IRR
    'you will eat'
The suffix \(-e\), used in serial verb constructions, rounds to \(o\) (with subsequent vowel insertion resulting in \(uo\) in Bunabun, according to rule 5 below) when the preceding vowel is high and back. In (223) below, the serial verb suffix has the form \(-e\) when attached to \(aw\)- 'do', but rounds to \(-o\) when attached to \(kur\)- 'come' and \(tun\)- 'cook'.

(223) \[
\text{inuo biga na betem-kuo-na aw-e aw-e kur-uo kur-uo} \\
\text{1PL ocean TOP throw-3SG.HOD-DS.IPFV get-SER get-SER come-SER come-SER} \\
\text{tun-uo tun-uo an-a-kin.} \\
\text{cook-SER cook-SER eat.IPFV-1PL.HOD} \\
'\text{The ocean throws them up and we go and get them and come and cook and eat them.'}
\]

This \(e\)-rounding is also triggered by the sequences \(ua\) and \(au\).

(224) \[
\text{omben auw-o faw-od.} \\
\text{hand burn-SER finish-3SG.REM} \\
'\text{His hand was completely burned.'}
\]

Unlike the \(e\)-initial suffixes that undergo rounding according to rule 4a, labial final consonants do not trigger rounding on the serial verb suffix \(-e\).

(225) \[
\text{muang kaw-e tam-od.} \\
\text{eye open-SER throw-3SG.REM} \\
'\text{He threw open his eyes.'}
\]

(226) \[
\text{dokom-e indu-bid.} \\
\text{run-SER go-3PL.REM} \\
'\text{They left running.'}
\]

5. Vowel insertion: \(V[+\text{high}]CV[-\text{high}] > V[+\text{high}]CV [+\text{high}]V[-\text{high}]\) (Bun.)

In Bunabun, when a non-high vowel follows a high vowel with one intervening consonant (or a homorganic nasal-stop sequence), a high vowel is inserted before the non-high vowel. The quality of the high vowel depends on the conditioning high vowel and the non-high vowel it affects. If the non-high vowel is /a/ or /o/, then a copy of the conditioning high vowel is created. So if the conditioning...
high vowel is /i/, then /i/ is inserted before the non-high vowel, as in (227) and (228). If the conditioning high vowel is /u/, then /u/ is inserted, as in (229) and (230).

\[(227) \ /\emptyset + i + kan/ \rightarrow ikian\]
\[\text{give+1SG+2SG.R}\]
\[\text{'I gave it to you'}\]

\[(228) \ /iŋgid + omdi/ \rightarrow inggidiomdi\]
\[\text{stay+3PL.IRR}\]
\[\text{'they will stay'}\]

\[(229) \ /\emptyset + u + kan/ \rightarrow ukuan\]
\[\text{give+1SG+2SG.R}\]
\[\text{'he gave it to you'}\]

\[(230) \ /um + ombin/ \rightarrow umuombin\]
\[\text{die+1PL.IRR}\]
\[\text{'we will die'}\]

If the affected vowel is /e/, then /i/ is inserted, regardless of whether the conditioning vowel is /i/, as in (231) or /u/, as in (232) and (233).

\[(231) \ /i + e + d/ \rightarrow inied\]
\[\text{sleep+3SG.REM}\]
\[\text{'he slept'}\]

\[(232) \ /\emptyset + u + me/ \rightarrow umie\]
\[\text{give+1SG+3SG.REM}\]
\[\text{'I gave it to him'}\]

\[(233) \ /urum + e + bin/ \rightarrow urumiembin\]
\[\text{kill+2SG.IRR}\]
\[\text{'you will kill'}\]

The /u/ also acts as a conditioning high vowel, even when immediately followed by /a/, as in (234-235). This suggests that the sequence /ua/ should perhaps be considered a single phoneme with the value [+high].

\[(234) \ /induan + omdi/ \rightarrow iduanuomdi\]
\[\text{hear+3PL.IRR}\]
\[\text{'they will hear'}\]
6. stop devoicing D \rightarrow [-voice]/_T (optional)

Voiced obstruents may devoice before a voiceless stop. In the case of nasal-stop sequences, devoicing may also entail the loss of the nasal, as in (238).

(236) /babad+kid/ \rightarrow babatkid
cut_up+3PL.HOD
'they cut them up'

(237) /ked+kin/ \rightarrow ketkin
love+1PL.HOD
'we loved it'

(238) /akumb+kin/ \rightarrow akupkin
walk+1PL.HOD
'we walk'

7. k > x/_k

A cluster of an underlying velar stop followed by a voiceless velar stop may be realized as a preaspirated [hk] or prespirantized [xk] velar stop (<qk> in orthography).

(239) /uyek+ki/ \rightarrow uyeqki
NEG+Q
'or not'

This may also apply to underlying /g/ which devoices before /k/: 

(240) /bug+kan/ \rightarrow buqkan
sit+2SG.HOD
'you sat'

8. medial vowel deletion V \rightarrow Ø/VC_CV (Bunabun)

In Bunabun, medial vowels in words of three syllables usually delete or reduce to schwa.

(241) /induw+mba/ \rightarrow edba~eduba
go+1SG.SS.IRR
'I will go and...'
2.1.4 Barem verb morphology

Barem has a rich system of inflectional verbal morphology that includes fusional subject and tense/mood-marking suffixes, markers for the direct object, markers for the indirect object (which I refer to as R-markers, as they have a number of other functions as well), and switch reference markers. Barem, like many Papuan languages, makes extensive use of clause chains, in which the final verb in a clause chain is inflected with a different set of markers than medial (non-final) verbs. There are a number of important differences between the Qkuan Kambuar and Bunabun dialects in their verbal morphology, discussed in the relevant sections below.

Table 2.1.4a shows the ordering of Barem affixes on the verb root. Only one affix from each cell can appear on a single verb. Only the verb root and the affixes which occur in the final position are mandatory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBJ</th>
<th>root</th>
<th>R-markers</th>
<th>IPFV</th>
<th>subject + tense/mood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NMLZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Barem subject and tense/mood markers

Final verbs in a Barem clause chain are marked with a fusional marker that expresses both the person/number of the subject and tense/mood. These are the final suffix on the verb. The Bunabun and Qkuan Kambuar dialects have different systems for these markers, so they are discussed separately.

Bunabun subject and tense/mood markers

The Bunabun dialect has four sets of markers which indicate the person and number of the subject, as well as give information on tense/mood. These are the remote past markers, hodiernal markers, irrealis markers, and imperative markers.
Bunabun remote past

Table 2.1.4b gives the Bunabun remote past markers, which also indicate the person and number of the subject.

Table 2.1.4b: Bunabun remote past markers

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1Sg</td>
<td>-me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Sg</td>
<td>-an, -en, -on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Sg</td>
<td>-ad, -ed, -od</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Pl</td>
<td>-min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Pl</td>
<td>-ma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Pl</td>
<td>-mid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remote past markers are used for events that have taken place anytime before the current day, which ranges from the distant past, as in (242), up to the day before the current day, as in (243).

(242)  

nineteen ninety seven  
te, gin onde te ono  
[submission]  
didub-min.  

nineteen ninety seven LOC day that LOC 3SG submission write-1PL-REM  
'In 1997, at that time we wrote him a submission.'

(243)  

noknav  
nawu  
a-bin.  
yesterday 2SG.OBJ get-1PL-REM  
'We got you yesterday.'

The Bunabun 2SG.REM and 3SG.REM suffixes each have three allomorphs. Which one is used depends on the consonant that precedes it. After an alveolar (except r) or palatal, these suffixes take the forms -en and -ed, respectively (244). After a velar, they take the forms -an and -ad (245), and after a labial, they are -on and -od (246). For r-final verb stems, r deletes, and the suffixes take the forms -an and -ad (247) (see section 5).
(244) \textit{an-ed}  \
\textit{eat-3SG.REM}  \
'He ate'  \\

(245) \textit{angg-ad}  \
\textit{see-3SG.REM}  \
'She saw'  \\

(246) \textit{banm-od}  \
\textit{go\_down-3SG.REM}  \
'She went down'  \\

(247) /\textit{tanar-ad}/ \rightarrow \textit{tan-ad}  \
\textit{talk-3SG.REM}  \
'He talked'  \\

Since other \textit{a-} or \textit{e-} initial suffixes do not alternate in this way, there is no obvious candidate that one could propose as an underlying vowel. However, a case could be made that the \textit{o} allomorphs are underlingly /\textit{e}/, since some other \textit{e}-initial suffixes round to \textit{o} after a labial.

**Bunabun hodiernal**

Bunabun hodiernal markers indicate hodiernal tense and the person and number of the subject.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1Sg</th>
<th>2Sg</th>
<th>3Sg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-ke</td>
<td>-kan</td>
<td>-ko, -ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Pl</td>
<td>-kin</td>
<td>-ka</td>
<td>-kid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hodiernal marking indicates that the time of the event is the current day, whether that event is in the past or currently taking place. In (248), the hodiernal is used for an event earlier that day, where \textit{tanarke} 'I spoke' refers to the speaker's telling of a story earlier that afternoon. The hodiernal can also
be used for events just prior to the moment of speech, as in (249), where *tanarke* 'I spoke' refers to something the speaker just said a moment before.

(248) \[ \text{go gie tanar-ke, andav gie tanar-imba}. \]
DEM one speak-1SG.HOD now one speak-1SG.IRR
'I told one (story), now I'll tell another.'

(249) \[ \text{[sixty six]- ganggar tanar-ke, [nineteen sixty nine] inie [grade one]}. \]
sixty six bad speak-1SG.HOD nineteen sixty nine 1SG first grade
'In sixty six- I misspoke, I was in first grade in nineteen sixty nine.'

A hodiernal-marked verb can also refer to something taking place at the present moment, as in (250).

(250) \[ \text{siria go me ked-kin}. \]
behavior DEM NEG like-1PL.HOD
'We don't like that behavior.'

In languages with a hodiernal, the cutoff point for what counts as the "current day" varies depending on a culture's or an individual's conception of when one day ends and a new one begins (Comrie 1985: 89-90). In Barem, hodiernal tense is generally used for events that took place anytime from the previous sundown up to and including the present moment. (251) is from a story in which *avkan* 'you did' refers to events of the previous night, and in (252) the speaker says *kurkan* 'you came' referring to my arrival at his home the previous day at dusk.

(251) \[ \text{ye avi, nene urun ma ge wa av-kan?} \]
hey father 2SG night what one work do-2SG.HOD
'Hey father, what were you doing at night?'

(252) \[ \text{onor ko usiv nene kur-kan}. \]
so good 2SG come-2SG.HOD
'So it's good you came.'

The 3SG.HOD suffix has two allomorphs: *-ka* is used after an alveolar or velar (253-254), and *-ko* is used after a labial (255).

(253) \[ \text{kur-ka} \]
come-3SG.HOD
'He came'

19 In Pamosu, another Northern Adelbert languages with hodiernal tense, the cutoff point is also around sundown of the previous day (Tupper 2012).
Although the basic function of the remote past and hodiernal affixes is to mark remote past and hodiernal tense, respectively, they also have other functions when used in conjunction with different affixes, namely the past imperfective -i, and the different subject markers -ko and -na (see below).

**Qkuan Kambuar realis**

Qkuan Kambuar marks subject and tense/mood differently from Bunabun, both in the forms of the markers and their patterns of use. A major difference is that while Bunabun distinguishes between remote past and hodiernal, Qkuan Kambuar seems to be in the end stages of losing this distinction. Cognates with both the Bunabun remote past and hodiernal markers are present in QK. However, speakers use both sets of markers for events that have taken place at any time in the past, or are currently taking place. Furthermore, for most person/number combinations, QK speakers have one generally agreed-upon marker, with the other having mostly fallen into disuse\(^20\). For example, the 1SG marker -eme (derived historically from the remote past) is used much more frequently than -ke (derived historically from the hodiernal), which appears only a handful of times in the Qkuan Kambuar corpus.

Since both sets of markers have the same function in Qkuan Kambuar, covering any time in the past, as well as the present moment, I refer to them as realis markers. Table 2.1.4d shows both sets of Qkuan Kambuar realis markers, with infrequently used markers in parentheses. Note that Qkuan Kambuar also differs from Bunabun in that 1PL and 3PL are not distinguished.

\(^20\) These simplifications in verb morphology may be related to language obsolescence. Qkuan Kambuar is very endangered, with only a handful of speakers remaining, and obsolescing languages have been known to undergo changes that may otherwise be unexpected (Palosaari & Campbell, 2011).
Table 2.1.4d: Qkuan Kambuar subject and tense/mood markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Realis (historically remote past)</th>
<th>Realis (historically realis)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1Sg</td>
<td>-eme</td>
<td>(-ke)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Sg</td>
<td>(-Vn)</td>
<td>-kan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Sg</td>
<td>(-Vr)</td>
<td>-eko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3Pl</td>
<td>-mind</td>
<td>-gind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Pl</td>
<td>-ema</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The examples below show the use of -eme '1SG.R' for events in the remote past (256), the previous day (257), earlier the same day (258), and the present moment (259, illustrating the loss of the remote past/hodiernal distinction.

(256) *miniv* taiwor *angg-eme*  
long ago cassowary see-1SG.R  
'I've seen a cassowary before'  

(257) *ine* nikona *Akar* kur-eme  
1SG yesterday Tokain come-1SG.R  
'Yesterday I came to Tokain.'  

(258) *ine* an-eme, *nane* an-ak  
1SG eat-1SG.R 2SG eat-2SG-IMP  
'I've eaten, you eat.'  

(259) *ine* buruk an-avmbu *ikaw-ome*  
1SG pig eat-DES dislike-1SG.R  
'I don't want to eat pork.'

**Bunabun imperfective**

In Bunabun, there are two imperfective affixes that are always used in conjunction with the hodiernal to express habitual or ongoing action. The present imperfective affix -a is used together with the hodiernal to express actions that are currently ongoing (260) or habitual (261).
The past imperfective affix -i is also used in conjunction with the hodiernal markers. Together, they indicate that an action was ongoing or habitual in the past. Note that despite the use of hodiernal suffixes, verbs marked in this way can refer to events in the remote past.

Qkuan Kambuar imperfective

Whereas Bunabun has two IPFV markers -a and -i, QK has only one imperfective marker, with the allomorphs -amba and -am (the latter used only before k). The QK imperfective expresses that an action is currently taking place, as in (265) and (266), or habitual, as in (267).
mambu komte in-am-kan
"Why are you always sleeping?"

Barem irrealis

The Barem irrealis endings are used for actions that have not yet taken place. Bunabun and Qkuan Kambuar have different forms for some irrealis endings, but they are functionally equivalent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bunabun</th>
<th>Qkuan Kambuar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1Sg -imba</td>
<td>-imba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Sg -embin</td>
<td>-engambin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Sg -emda</td>
<td>-enggara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Pl -ombin</td>
<td>-ombin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Pl -emb</td>
<td>-engamba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Pl -omdi</td>
<td>-omdi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An irrealis-marked verb can refer to an action that is expected to take place, as in (268), where the speaker is expressing his intentions for the next day with the irrealis-marked iruimba 'I'll go up'. Irrealis-marked verbs can also refer to hypothetical or generic actions, as in (269), where the speaker used the irrealis-marked iruembin 'you'll go up' to give me directions to a place he knew I wouldn't in fact be going.21

(268) inie matinia nawu a-mbua iru-imba.
     1SG     tomorrow  2SG.OBJ     leave-SS.IRR go_up-1SG.IRR
     'Tomorrow I'll leave you and go up (the mountain).'

(269) bubua iru-embin go inuo onde i-kin.
     hill     go_up-2SG.IRR DEM 1PL     there stay-1PL.HOD
     'If you go up the hill, that's where we stay.'

21 The irrealis also has a similar function in other Northern Adelbert languages, including Manep, Barem's sister language. In Mauwake, the 2SG future tense is used in a similar way, to refer to generic or habitual situations, or instructions (Berghäll 2015: 150-151)
Barem imperatives

Imperative markers are used for commands or suggestions. Only forms for 2SG, 2PL, and 1PL have been recorded.

Table 2.1.4f: Barem imperative markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bunabun</th>
<th>Qkuan Kambuar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>-ak</td>
<td>-ak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>-om</td>
<td>-em</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>-avmbo</td>
<td>-amnor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(270) tanar-ak
    speak-2SG.IMP
    'Speak!'

(271) onde kuwur-om
    here come-2PL.IMP
    'Come here!'

Barem direct object marking

Direct objects are not marked on most Barem verbs, as in the verb gur- 'bite' in (272):

(272) qka (ivo) gur-eko (QK)
    dog 1SG bite-3SG.R
    'The dog bit me'

In (272), the 3rd person singular subject qka 'dog' is marked on the verb, but the first person singular direct object is not. The first direct object pronoun ivo is optional, and speakers usually only include it when the direct object is not clear from context.

There are, however, two verbs on which the direct object is obligatorily marked: angg- 'see' and anggar- 'teach/show'. For these verbs, object-marking prefixes are obligatory, and can be used in conjunction with independent object pronouns. While the singular objects markers are clearly related

---

22 anggar- 'show/teach' is probably historically derived from angg- 'see' plus ar- 'become', so it is therefore not surprising that these two verbs exceptionally mark direct objects in the same way.
to the independent object pronouns *ivo*, *nawo*, and *uwo*, the plural object marker *imb-* does not resemble the plural object pronoun *indugu*.

A 3SG direct object is marked in different ways for *angg-* 'to see' and *anggar-* 'teach/show'. *anggar-* uses the 3SG object marker *uw-*, but for *angg-*, a 3SG direct object is not overtly marked. Table 2.1.4g shows the Barem direct object markers, which are the same for Bunabun and Qkuan Kambuar.\(^{23}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Singular</strong></td>
<td>iv-</td>
<td>naw-</td>
<td><em>uw-</em> (for <em>anggar-</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>∅-</em> (for <em>angg-</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plural</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>imb-</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples of direct object marking for *angg-* 'to see':

(273) *mambute iv-angg-am-kan?* (QK)
why 1SG-see-IPFV-2SG.R
'Why are you looking at me?'

(274) *∅-angg-mind* (QK)
3SG-see-1/3.R
'We saw him'

Examples of *anggar-* 'to teach, show':

(275) *ivo iv-anggar-ak!*
1SG 1SG-teach-2SG.IMP
'teach me!'

(276) *imb-angg-ad aka imuo me wa aw-a-kin.*
PL.OBJ-show-3SG.REM but 1PL NEG work make-IPFV.PRS-1PL.R
'She showed us how, but we don’t make them.'

\(^{23}\) An alternate analysis would be to posit different stems for different direct objects, for example *ivangg-* 'to see 1SG' and *imbangg-* 'to see PL', as I have done for Barem’s sister language Manep. However, since these verbs are more easily segmentable in Barem than in Manep, I have opted for analysis of object prefixes in Barem.
R-markers

A third set of markers are used for the indirect object, which in Barem I consider to be any argument that is marked in the same way that the recipient is marked on the verb 'give'. However, these markers can mark a number of other kinds of arguments beyond indirect objects. For this reason, I refer to them with the term 'R-markers' (the R can stand for 'recipient'). R-markers are used to mark (1) the recipient on verbs of physical or mental transfer, such as 'give', kanaw- 'ask' and kima- 'tell', (2) beneficiaries and maleficiaries, and (3) the experiencer of bodily state verbs such as dagok fa- 'to be thirsty' and siriri ma- 'to ache'. These three uses are discussed below.

Table 2.1.4h shows the R-agreement markers, which are the same in both Qkuan Kambuar and Bunabun. There are two forms for the 3SG R-marker: -w is used only on the verb 'give', while -t is used on all other verbs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R-agreement</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Singular</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>-n</td>
<td>-w (for “give”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-t (for other verbs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plural</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-ind</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

'Give' in Barem

The verb 'give' in Barem is special in that there is no overt verb root. The verb forms for 'give' consist of the R-marker, indicating the recipient, and the subject marker, indicating the giver, without a separate root for the meaning of “give”. Another way to think of this is that give has a “zero root” which is not pronounced.24 This unpronounced verb root is indicated with the symbol \(<\emptyset>\) in the

---

24 An alternate analysis to a zero root for 'give' would be to posit several different roots for 'give', with multiple different forms depending on the person and number of the recipient, for example i-'give to 1sg' and n-'give to 2SG'. Multiple verbs for give are found in several related languages, such as Waskia (Ross & Paol, 1978) and Usan (Reesink, 1987). However, it would still be necessary to posit a set of R-markers that are used as affixes on other verbs, and these would essentially be homophonous with the different verbs stems for 'give' (except for the 3SG). Fedden (2010) analyzes Mian, another Papuan language, as also having a zero root for 'give'.
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examples below. In (277), the 1SG recipient of 'give' is marked with the R-marker -i, and the 3SG subject is marked with the final suffix -eko (which also indicates realis). 'Give' in (278) has both a 3SG recipient, marked by -w, and a 3SG subject, marked by -oko.

(277) mamunden iyat sang ∅-i-eko. (QK)
      person young net_bag give-1SG-3SG.R
'The boy gave me a net bag.'

(278) une kundi ∅-w-oko. (QK)
     3SG banana give-3SG-3SG.R
'He gave him bananas.'

kanaw- 'ask' and kima- 'tell'

R-markers also appear on the verbs kanaw- 'ask' and kima- 'tell'. The person being asked or told something can be considered the recipient of the question or statement. In this sense, the function of R-markers on these verbs is similar to how R-markers indicate the recipient for the verb “give”.

(279) kanav-n-ume (QK)
      ask-2SG-1SG.R
'I asked you.'

(280) Anggi kima-t-ume ine Akar induv-imba (QK)
      Anggi tell-3SG-1SG.R 1Sg Tokain go-1SG.FUT
'I told Anggi I will to go Tokain.'

Beneficiaries and maleficiaries.

The R-markers are also used to mark the beneficiary or maleficiary of an action. Note that the beneficiary/maleficiary can be referred to with possessive pronoun forms (as with inor in (281)).

(281) inor25 umbav-i-eko (QK)
      1SG.POSS cook-1SG-3SG.R
'She cooked it for me.'

25 The most common use of 1SG inor (and other pronouns in this paradigm) is to express a possessor, but it is also used to refer to beneficiaries.
(282) *kta ge kivk-n-imba* (QK)
    coconut one break_open-2SG-1SG.IRR
'I'll open a coconut for you.'

R-markers are also used to mark the maleficiary of an action, as in (283).

(283) *Kuwur yam bim-ind-eko* (QK)
    Kuwur for_nothing lie-PL-3SG.R
'Kuwur lied to us.'

**Bodily state expression**

Bodily state expressions describe someone experiencing or undergoing a mental or bodily state. The grammatical subject of these expressions is always a 3SG body part creating or related to the bodily state, as indicated by the subject/tense marker on the verb. Although the independent pronouns used for the experiencer are the forms normally used for the subject, the experiencer is marked by R-markers on the verb.

(284) *nane dagok fa-n-am-ko e?* (QK)
    2SG throat dry-2SG-IPFV-3SG.R Q
'Are you thirsty?' (lit: 'Is your throat drying to you?')

(285) *muang in-t-am-ko* (QK)
    eyes sleep-IPFV-3SG-3SG.R
'She's feeling sleepy.'

**Barem clause chains and switch reference**

Like many Papuan languages, including other Northern Adelbert languages, Barem verbs can be linked together in a clause chain. Barem clause chains consist of one or more medial verbs, and one final verb. The set of suffixes available to medial verbs is different than the set of suffixes available to final verbs. The suffixes used on final verbs are discussed in the first part of this section. The remainder of this section describes the inflection of medial verbs.

Barem medial verbs are inflected with switch-reference markers that indicate whether or not the subject of a verb has the same referent as the subject of the following verb. A medial verb is marked as
same subject if its subject and the subject of the following verb have the same referent. A medial verb is marked as different subject when its subject and the subject of the following verb have different referents (though there are exceptions to this generalization, discussed at the end of the section).

**Barem same-subject marking**

In Barem, same-subject medial verbs are not marked with the fusional subject and tense/mood-marking suffixes that are used on final verbs, but with a different set of suffixes used only on medial verbs. These same-subject suffixes can mark the person and number of the subject, as well as perfective and imperfective aspect or irrealis mood. Table 2.1.4i lists the Barem same-subject suffixes. Where Bunabun and Qkuan Kambuar have different forms, the dialect is given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PFV.SS</th>
<th>IPFV.SS</th>
<th>SS.IRR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1Sg</td>
<td>-memba</td>
<td>-omada</td>
<td>-mba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Sg</td>
<td>-minbi</td>
<td></td>
<td>-mbi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Sg</td>
<td>-da (Bun.)</td>
<td>-era (QK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Pl</td>
<td>-minbi</td>
<td>-omadi</td>
<td>-mba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Pl</td>
<td>-mamba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Pl</td>
<td>-di (Bun.)</td>
<td>-minda (QK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The examples below illustrate the use of some of the same-subject perfective suffixes. (286) illustrates the 1SG.SS marker -memba, (287) illustrates the 3SG.SS marker -da, and (288) illustrates the 3PL.SS marker -di. (288) is also an example of a longer clause chain, with five medial verbs.

(286) [skul] aw-av iyok-memba dokm-memba teka kove ko-me
      school do-NMLZ dislike-1SG.PFV.SS run-1SG. PFV.SS again village.DAT come-1SG.REM
      'I didn't like school and ran away and came back to the village.'
(287) *kambon-da ftiam-da umundian far-ind-ied*  
cook-3SG.PFV.SS serve-3SG PFV.SS children call-PL-3SG.REM  
'She cooked it and served it and called to the children'

(288) *ko-di bugum-di iniar [blok] go [kakao] stamb-di*  
come-3PL.PFV.SS sit-3PL.PFV.SS 1SG.POSS parcel DEM cacao cut-3PL.PFV.SS  
tu-di av-di, arav karen wa a-bid.*  
burn-3PL.PFV.SS get-3PL.PFV.SS alright new_garden work make-3PL.REM  
'They came and settled and cut down my cacao orchard and burned it and took it, alright, and made new gardens.'

Imperfective same-subject markers indicate imperfective aspect on the medial verb, and indicate whether the subject is singular (289) or plural (290).

(289) *in-iomada amin gaman wa aw-od.*  
sleep-SG.IPFV.SS mind liver work do-3SG.REM  
'He was sleeping and had an idea'

(290) *ono ekar-omadi dardi kimb-et-mid*  
3 laugh-PL.IPFV.SS get_up-3PL.PFV.SS say-3SG-3PL.REM  
'They were laughing and got up and said to her...'

There are two same-subject irrealis markers: -mbi, used for 2SG subjects, and -mba, used for all other person/number subjects. These markers indicate that the action of the medial verb has not taken place. They are often followed with a final verb that is either imperative-marked, as in (291) and (292), or irrealis-marked, as in (293) and (294).

(291) *nene fofov indugu ba-bi induw-ak*  
2SG quick PL.OBJ collect-2SG.SS.IRR go-2SG.IMP  
'Hurry up and collect them and go.'

(292) *gaman un-umba misor an-em (QK)*  
liver put-SS.IR betel nut eat-2PL.IMP  
'Relax and chew betel nut.'

(293) *me ko-mbi inie duruang umund awa nakor te a-y-embin*  
NEG come-2SG.SS.IRR 1SG fish.sp child this basket LOC put-1SG-2SG.IRR  
'You won't come and put the duruang fish in my basket for me.'

(294) *davidavir induw-mba aw-omdi*  
children go-SS.IRR get-3PL-IRR  
'The children will go and get it.'
Different-subject marking

Similar to same subject markers, different subject markers indicate either perfective aspect, imperfect aspect, or irrealis mood. The imperfective different subject marker -na follows a medial verb that is inflected with a hodiernal marker. If a medial verb is marked in this way, this indicates that the action is either habitual, as in (295), or was ongoing when the action of the final verb occurred, as in (296) and (297). Although an imperfective-marked medial verb includes a hodiernal suffix, here it does not mark hodiernal tense.

(295) inuo biga na betem-kuo-na aw-e aw-e kur-uo kur-uo
1PL ocean TOP throw-3SG.HOD-DS.IPFV get-SER get-SER come-SER come-SER
tun-uo tun-uo an-a-kin.
cook-SER cook-SER eat.IPFV-1PL.HOD
'The ocean throws them up for us and we go and get them and come and cook and eat them.'

(296) bugum-da ing-guo-na par yam nem to-di idu-bid.
sit-3SG.SS be-3SG.HOD-DS.IPFV bed nothing with get-3PL.SS go.3PL.REM
'He was sitting down and they took the empty bed and left.'

(297) induv-kid-na iviskaka anda dokm-od.
go-3PL.HOD-DS.IPFV quiet go-SER run-3SG.REM
'They were leaving and he ran away quietly.'

A different subject medial verb is marked for perfective aspect with a remote past marker, followed by the perfective different subject marker -ko. Although perfective different subject medial verbs are inflected with the remote past markers, this does not mean that the action of the medial verb took place in the remote past. Rather, the tense of the medial verb is unspecified. In (2100), for example, the action of the medial verb took place moments before the speaker made the statement. In (2101), also, the action of the medial verb took place within the same time as the final verb, which is marked with hodiernal tense, while in (298) and (299), the action of the medial verb did indeed take place in the remote past.

(298) yarm-av asiv Ø-w-od-ko yarm-od.
wear-NMLZ new give-3SG-3SG.REM-PFV.DS wear-3SG.REM
'He gave her new clothes and she wore them.'

(299) bin digidien ta-ind-ied-ko makimun awa inuo te akumb-kin.
problem straight make-PL-3SG.REM-PFV.DS land this 1PL LOC
walk-1PL.HOD
'He straightened out this problem for us and now we walk this land.'

(2100) bugum-od-ko inie andav gumun arawav tarimba.
sit-3SG.REM-PFV.DS 1SG now plate front put-1SG.IRR
'She's seated and I'll put a plate in front of her now.'

(2101) oo, omde wa aw-on-ko inuo kuriw-kin
yes thus work do-2SG.REM-PFV.DS 1PL startle-1PL.HOD
'Yeah, you did that and we got startled.'

The suffix -are is used to mark different subject and irrealis mood on a medial verb.

(2102) skar garan ø-ind-iemda, ø-ind-are [kakao] umbuomba.
money give-PL-3G.IRR give-PL-DS.IRR cacao plant-2PL.IRR
'He'll give you money, he'll give it to you and you'll plant cacao.'

There are two types of situation where same subject marking can be used even though there is a change in grammatical subject. This can happen when one of the subjects is non-agentive subject, or when there is overlapping reference between the subjects of the two clauses. In (2103), there is a change in grammatical subject between the medial clause enda 'she slept' and the final clause, which has the non-agentive subject bagen 'light'. Since no new agentive subject is introduced, same-subject marking is used.

(2103) en-da bagen a-ad
sleep-3SG.SS light become-3SG.REM
'She slept and it dawned.'

Same subject marking can also be used when there is overlapping reference in the subjects of two clauses. For example, in (2104), erdi 'they climbed' uses same-subject marking, even though the subject of the following clause refers to only one of the two men who climbed.
(2104) *ungkurir* *induv-di* *bubuv* *kamba te* *er-di* *kom*
3DU go-3PL.SS ficus top LOC climb-3PL.SS palm_mat
*mutuk-t-ed.* spread-3SG-3SG.REM
'The two of them went and climbed up the ficus and he laid out a palm mat for him.'

**Nominalizer -av**

The suffix -av attaches to a verb stem to form a noun or a gerund. The meanings of nouns formed with -av are not predictable. Examples are *an-av* 'eat-NMLZ' 'food', *in-iav* 'sleep-NMLZ' 'dream', and *yarm-av* 'wear-NMLZ' 'clothes'. The -av suffix is also used productively to form gerunds.

The sentences in (2105) and (2106) show -av on same root *inggid-* 'stay' to form a gerund with the meaning 'staying' in (2105) and to form a noun with a fixed meaning, 'residents' in (2106).26

(2105) *yam* *inggid-iav* *usiv* *uyeq-ko, Biga Birim.*
nothing stay-NMLZ good NEG-DS.IPFV Biga_Birim.
'Staying and doing nothing wasn't good, at Biga Birim.'

(2106) *Rem* *inggid-iav* *kove* *inggid-iomadi, aa, naqkia ambuak* *go,*
Rem stay-NMLZ village.DAT stay PL.IPFV.SS ah before very DEM
go *gar-av* *nambek.*
DEM be_angry-NMLZ big
'The residents of Rem lived in the village and, ah, a very long time ago there was a big war.'

**2.2 Manep**

Manep is spoken in two areas, each with its own dialect. One is a series of small hamlets strung along a road going into the mountains from the Waskia-speaking coastal village of Tokain, ending near the Yamben-speaking village of Yambarik. One of these is Simbukanam village, where Andrew Ilom and Paul Lawoi, my two primary Manep consultants, both live. Manep speakers living in this area have heavy contact with both Waskia and Yamben speakers, and there are many Yamben loanwords in Manep.

---

26 vowel insertion applies to make the form of the suffix -iav (see Section 2.1.4).
The second Manep-speaking area is Malas village, located near the coast, a short distance southeast of the Dibor river, and northwest of Tokain. While Manep is the main indigenous language of Malas, there are also small Karian and Mokati-speaking communities living in this area as well.

In both Manep-speaking areas, Tok Pisin is the primary language of the community. I have not observed anyone in their twenties or younger using Manep with any frequency, although parents sometimes speak to their children in Manep. Prior to my own fieldwork, Manep was extremely poorly documented, with the only published information being Z'graggen's (1980b) wordlist, collected in Malas village. In previous literature, this language has been called Malas, but several of my consultants made it emphatically clear that Malas is not the name of the language, it is Manep. However, most non-Manep speaking people in the area, as well as some Manep speakers in Malas village, do refer to the language as Malas.

The information I present on Manep is based primarily on my own fieldwork conducted from 2016-2019 with consultants from both Simbukanam and Malas. An annotated corpus of Manep recordings can be accessed online at the Endangered Languages Archive (Pick 2019). The only other published data on Manep of which I am aware is the wordlist in Z'graggen (1980b), and recordings of Z'graggen's Malas elicitation sessions (1971b).

The remainder of this describes the essentials of Manep synchronic phonology and verbal morphology. Section 2.2.1 describes the Manep phoneme inventory. Section 2.2.2 discusses Manep syllable and word structure. Section 2.2.3 discusses some of the phonological processes that are synchronically active in Manep, and Section 2.2.4 outlines Manep verbal morphology.
2.2.1 Manep phonemes

Tables 2.2.1a-b list the Manep phonemes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>/i/</td>
<td>/u/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>/e/</td>
<td>/o/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td>/a/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2.1b: Manep consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/</td>
<td>/t/</td>
<td>/k/</td>
<td>/ŋ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/ŋ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>affricate</td>
<td></td>
<td>/j/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td></td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trill</td>
<td>/r/</td>
<td>/l/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vowels and Glides

Manep has five vowels /i, u, e, o, a/ and two glides, /w/ and /y/. Similar to Barem, the front mid vowel /e/ is usually lax [ɛ] before a consonant. The vowel sequences /ia/, /ai/, /ua/, and /au/ are most common, but other sequences are found as well.

Stops

Synchronously, voiced stops contrast with homorganic nasal-stop sequences. Compare, for example, abal 'far' and ambor 'good'. However, in vocabulary inherited from Proto-Manep-Barem, voiced stops and homorganic nasal-stop sequences are in complementary distribution, in a pattern similar to that in Manep's sister language Barem (see section 2.1.1). Proto-Manep-Barem voiced stops are reflected in Manep as plain voiced stops word-initially, or when the preceding or following
consonant is another voiced stop. PMB voiced stops are reflected as nasal-stop sequences following a vowel.27 Word-final *g is reflected as a nasal /ŋ/.28

The phonetic realization of the affricate /j/ varies widely. Variants that have been observed include [dʒ], [ndʒ], [ns], [s], [nz] and [z]. For example, my corpus includes tokens of 'banana' transcribed as [kunsu], [kunzu], and [kundʒu]. Although /j/ can be realized phonetically as a voiceless alveolar fricative [s], it contrasts phonologically with the fricative phoneme /s/. Since the [s] variant of /j/ is not uncommon, this makes /j/ potentially confusable with /s/, and can cause difficulty for deciding whether any given instance of [s] is underlyingly /j/ or /s/. The same can be said of any particular token of [ns], which is potentially ambiguous between underlying /j/ and /ns/. I have assumed that any morpheme that has been recorded only with [s] (and none of the other potential variants of /j/) contains an underlying /s/, and that any morpheme that has only been observed pronounced as [ns] is underlyingly /ns/. In some cases, top-down comparison can help to confirm this, since Manep /j/ derives from Proto-Manep-Barem *d, while /s/ derives from Proto-Manep-Barem *s.29

Fricatives

Manep has a single fricative, /s/. As mentioned above, the affricate /j/ is also sometimes realized as a fricative.

27 While this pattern holds for directly inherited vocabulary, exceptions are found in loanwords, such as bamban 'meat', a borrowing from Gavak.
28 The reflexes of most PMB word-final voiced stops are no longer word-final in Manep, since Manep underwent a change in which monosyllabic content words added final -u (see Chapter 8). Although polysyllabic words were not subject to this change, no polysyllabic words with final *b or *d have been reconstructed for Proto-Manep-Barem. However, the reflex of word-final *g in polysyllabic reconstructed words is ng, as in PMB *musag 'eye' > Manep musang, and *nanag 'tooth' > nanang.
29 There is only one instance of underlying /ns/ that has a clear origin. This is the 2SG indirect object marker -ans, which derives historically from PMB *nas- 'give to 2SG'.
Sonorants

Manep has three nasals, /n/, /m/, and /ŋ/. Most instances of /ŋ/ derive from PMB *g, but /ŋ/ is also found in some morphemes of unclear origin, such as the imperfective affix -eng. Manep has two liquids, /r/ and /l/.

2.2.2 Manep syllable and word structure

This section outlines what constitutes a possible Manep syllable and word, including restrictions on the distribution of particular phonemes.

Manep syllables

Manep syllables have the structure (C)V(C), with the minimal syllable being V and the maximal syllable being CVC.

Manep syllable onsets

Manep syllables either have a single segment onset or no onset. There are no attested words with /ŋ/ or /l/ as the onset of an initial syllable.

Manep syllable codas

Manep syllables may have a single segment coda, or no coda. Glides are not found as syllable codas, and voiced stops generally do not appear as the coda of a final syllable (the only word with a final voiced stop so far identified is gaid 'sky'). In the Malas dialect, /k/ does not appear as a coda on final syllables (final -k is retained in Simbukanam cognates).
Manep word structure

Monomorphemic words (excluding names) have at most three syllables. Polymorphemic words, such as inflected verbs or compound words, may have more than three syllables. The maximal structure of monomorphemic words is CVCCVCCVC, exemplified by ganjimbap 'door'. Word-medial consonant clusters are allowed only if they are composed of a homorganic nasal-obstruent sequence.

Vowel hiatus is allowed in the Malas dialect, as in kusier 'papaya' (/ku.si.ər/). Where Malas has vowel hiatus, the Simbukanam dialect has an intervening /g/ (kusiger 'papaya').

2.2.3 Morphophonological processes in Manep

This section outlines phonological processes in Manep that apply at morpheme boundaries, and which are synchronically active. Historical sound changes in Manep are discussed in Chapter 8.

In addition to the phonological processes outlined in this section, Section 2.2.4 describes non-standard verb conjugations which could also be considered to be the result of phonological processes, such as deletion or assimilation, which apply only to particular verb classes. For example, the final r in the verb potar- 'to shut' deletes in certain conjugations, while the final r in imbar- 'to fly', which belongs to a different verb class from potar-, does not. Furthermore, it is not always easy to draw a boundary between stem and suffix for some conjugations. For this reason, I have found it more convenient to describe these non-standard conjugations in terms of different groups of verbs patterning in different ways, rather than proposing sets of phonological rules which apply only to particular stem+suffix combinations.
Final consonant deletion in compounds

In compound words, the final consonant of the first element of the compound deletes if it would create a disallowed consonant cluster (anything other than a homorganic nasal-obstruent sequence).

(2107) /pipir+janget/ → pipijangger
side+bone
'rib'

(2108) /kasap+gunu/ → kasagunu
spirit+skin
'clothes'

Vowel rounding

There are four suffixes whose initial vowel becomes [o] when attached to a verb root which ends in a labial consonant. These are the 2/3SG.PST suffixes -en and -in, the nominalizing suffix -ep, and the serial verb affix -e.

(2109) /bumum+ep/ → bumumop
meet+NMLZ
'meeting'

(2110) /arumb+en/ → arumbon
fall+2/3SG.PST
'it fell'

This rounding is not a general phonological rule, since it does not apply to other suffixes beginning with /e/ or /i/, only those outlined above.

2.2.4 Manep verb morphology

This section outlines the basics of Manep verb morphology. Like other Northern Adelbert languages, Manep is a synthetic language, with verb roots suffixed with fusional tense/subject markers. Manep verb roots are obligatorily bound, meaning they cannot stand alone as independent words.

---

30 -en is the 2/3SG.PST form for -an class verbs, and -in is the form used with -en class verbs (see Section 2.2.4).
Manep verbs may also be marked with suffixes that mark the person and number of an indirect object or oblique argument. Manep also uses switch reference markers which indicate if the subjects of two adjacent clauses are the same or different.

**Manep verb classes**

Manep verbs can be divided into two major conjugation classes, which I call -an verbs and -en verbs, based on the forms used for the second person plural imperative suffix. While most inflectional and derivational suffixes are the same for both classes, there are a handful of suffixes which have different forms depending for each verb class. Within these two large classes, there are also groups of irregular verbs that follow somewhat different conjugation patterns. There are also a handful of irregular verbs that have their own unique conjugational paradigms, and cannot be classified as either -an or -en verbs. Table 2.2.4a lists the suffixes that take different forms for -an and -en verbs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>-an verbs</th>
<th>-en verbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/3SG.PST</td>
<td>-en</td>
<td>-in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL.IMP</td>
<td>-an</td>
<td>-en</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMLZ</td>
<td>-ap</td>
<td>-ep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial verb</td>
<td>-a</td>
<td>-e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second person plural imperative form is a convenient diagnostic for conjugation class, since it is always -en for all -en verbs, and -an for all -an verbs, while the other suffixes can have different forms for irregular verbs, or are subject to morphophonological processes which can alter their surface forms.

While there are some rough correspondences between the final phoneme of the verb root and its class, verb class is in general not predictable from phonological form. For example, most verb roots
ending in velars are -en verbs, and most verbs ending in /r/ are -an verbs, but there are roughly equal numbers of -en verbs and -an verbs ending in labials.

Table 2.2.4b illustrates the ordering of affixes on a Manep verb. All verbs are obligatorily suffixed with one of the suffixes in the final cell, and these can not co-occur on the same verb. R-markers and the imperfective marker are both optional.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>root</th>
<th>R-markers</th>
<th>IPFV</th>
<th>subject/tense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NMLZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pakin-</td>
<td>-as</td>
<td>-eng</td>
<td>-an</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fusional subject/tense markers**

Finite Manep verbs are suffixed with fusional markers that indicate person and number of the subject, as well as tense (or imperative mood). Manep has a three-way distinction between past, present, and future tense. Manep does not mark a distinction between remote and recent past/hodiernal, as Barem and some other Northern Adelbert languages do.

Table 2.2.4c below shows the Manep subject/tense markers used for regular verbs, as well as the nominalizing and serial verb suffixes. Where different forms are used for -an and -en verbs, both forms are listed in the same cell, with the verb class in parentheses. Some suffixes have different forms in the Malas and Simbukanam dialects. For these, the dialect is given in parentheses as well. Irregular verbs which follow a different conjugation paradigm than the one in Table 2.2.4c are discussed later in this section.
Table 2.2.4c: Manep subject/tense markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1/3PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>-umi (Sim.)</td>
<td>-umoi (Malas)</td>
<td>-en (-an verbs)</td>
<td>-umin</td>
<td>-uman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-en</td>
<td>-in (-en verbs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>-ikimi (Sim.)</td>
<td>-ian</td>
<td>-egan (Sim.)</td>
<td>-ikimini</td>
<td>-ikaman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-ikamoi (Malas)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-ean (Malas)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>-indi</td>
<td>-inden</td>
<td></td>
<td>-indun</td>
<td>-indan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperative</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>-a</td>
<td></td>
<td>-u (1pl)</td>
<td>-an (-an verbs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-en (-en verbs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerund</td>
<td>-ap (-an verbs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-ep (-en verbs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial verb</td>
<td>-a (-an verbs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-e (-en verbs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 2.2.4d and 2.2.4e illustrate the suffixes in Table 2.2.4c with conjugations of ukus- 'to shoot', representative of a regular -en verb, and in- 'to sleep', representative of a regular -an verb (forms shown are the Malas dialect).

Table 2.2.4d: Conjugation of -en verb ukus- 'to shoot'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1Sg</th>
<th>2Sg</th>
<th>3Sg</th>
<th>1/3Pl</th>
<th>2Pl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>ukusumoi</td>
<td>ukusin</td>
<td>ukusumin</td>
<td>ukusuman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>ukusikimoi</td>
<td>ukusian</td>
<td>ukusean</td>
<td>ukusikimin</td>
<td>ukusikaman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>ukusindi</td>
<td>ukusinden</td>
<td>ukusindun</td>
<td>ukusindan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperative</td>
<td>ukusa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ukusu (1pl)</td>
<td>ukusen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerund</td>
<td>ukusep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial verb</td>
<td>ukuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2.4e: Conjugation of -an verb in- 'to sleep'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1Sg</th>
<th>2Sg</th>
<th>3Sg</th>
<th>1/3Pl</th>
<th>2Pl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>inumoi</td>
<td>inen</td>
<td>inemin</td>
<td>inuman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>inikimoi</td>
<td>inian</td>
<td>inean</td>
<td>inikimini</td>
<td>inikaman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>inindi</td>
<td>ininden</td>
<td>inindun</td>
<td>inindan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperative</td>
<td>ina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>inu (1pl)</td>
<td>inan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerund</td>
<td>inap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial verb</td>
<td>ina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Irregular verb conjugations

As mentioned above, many Manep verbs follow conjugation patterns that are different from the standard. Irregular conjugations generally involve loss of material from the right edge of the verb stem, a change in the initial vowel of a suffix, or both. For this reason, it is not always clear where to draw the boundary between verb stem and suffix. I therefore do not indicate morpheme boundaries in the irregular verb paradigms presented below.

*r*-final verbs

The large majority of *r*-final verbs are *-an* verbs. These can end in either /ɑr/ (such as *potar*- 'to shut, cover') or /ur/ (such as *patapur*- 'to throw'). Both groups have irregular past tense conjugations, but they follow different patterns. Irregular conjugations of *r*-final verbs generally involve the deletion of the final /r/ and deletion or a change in quality of the following vowel. There are also a small number of *r*-final *-en* verbs, which follow the standard conjugational pattern for *-en* verbs.

*-an* verbs ending in /ar/

*-an* verbs which end in /ar/ have irregular past tense conjugations (other tenses follow the regular conjugation pattern). Table 2.2.4f illustrates this pattern for *ar*- 'to become', alongside the expected (but unattested) conjugations if it were to follow the standard conjugation pattern for *-an* verbs, marked with a double asterisk.\(^{31}\)

---

\(^{31}\) The verb *bembar*- 'to come' is the only *-an* verb ending in /ar/ that does not follow this pattern. *bembar*- follows the conjugation pattern for standard *-an* verbs, except for the irregular 2/3Sg.PST form *bembarin*, rather than the expected *bembaren.*
Table 2.2.4f: conjugation of -an verbs ending in /ar/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG.PST</th>
<th>2/3SG.PST</th>
<th>1/3PL.PST</th>
<th>2PL.PST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual conjugation</td>
<td>amoi</td>
<td>amun</td>
<td>amin</td>
<td>aman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unattested conjugation</td>
<td><strong>arumoi</strong></td>
<td><strong>aren</strong></td>
<td><strong>arumin</strong></td>
<td><strong>aruman</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many verbs ending in /ar/ derive historically from independent words used in conjunction with ar- 'to become' as a light verb, for example, gadawar- 'to strengthen', from Proto-Manep-Barem *gadaw 'strength' + *ar- 'become'.

-an verbs ending in /ur/

All -an verbs which end in /ur/ also have irregular past tense conjugations. The conjugation pattern for the two monosyllabic verbs ur- 'to hit' and pur- 'to call' is slightly different from the pattern followed by polysyllabic -an verbs ending /ur/. Tables 2.2.4g and 2.2.4h model the conjugation of monosyllabic and polysyllabic -an verbs ending in /ur/, respectively.

Table 2.2.4g: past tense conjugation of ur- 'to hit'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG.PST</th>
<th>2/3SG.PST</th>
<th>1/3PL.PST</th>
<th>2PL.PST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual conjugation</td>
<td>wamoi</td>
<td>wan</td>
<td>wamin</td>
<td>waman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unattested conjugation</td>
<td><strong>urumoi</strong></td>
<td><strong>uren</strong></td>
<td><strong>urumin</strong></td>
<td><strong>uruman</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2.4h: past tense conjugation of pasapur- 'to run'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG.PST</th>
<th>2/3SG.PST</th>
<th>1/3PL.PST</th>
<th>2PL.PST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual conjugation</td>
<td>pasapumoi</td>
<td>pasapuan</td>
<td>pasapumin</td>
<td>pasapuman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected conjugation</td>
<td><strong>pasapurumoi</strong></td>
<td><strong>pasapuren</strong></td>
<td><strong>pasapurumin</strong></td>
<td><strong>pasapuruman</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-en verbs ending in /r/

As mentioned above, unlike r-final -an verbs, r-final -en verbs conjugate regularly. An illustrative example is the pair of homophonous verb stems purur- 'to hide' (an -an verb) and purur- 'to shine' (an -en verb). While purur- 'to hide' has irregular past tense conjugations which delete the
final /r/ (as in puruan 'it hid'), purur- 'to shine' has standard past tense conjugations, without /r/ deletion (pururin 'it shined').

Only a handful of /r/-final -en verbs have been identified. Of these, imbar- 'to fly', bisir- 'to laugh', and purur- 'to shine' follow the standard past tense conjugations for -en verbs, without deletion of /r/. The verbs inggar- 'to shoot' (and related verbs, see section below) and kambor- 'to know, say' have unique conjugational paradigms which also involve deletion of the final /r/ in the verb stem.

Irregular future tense conjugations for /r/-final verbs

Some /r/-final verbs have been observed to use shortened forms for future tense conjugations. These verbs can also follow the standard future tense conjugation pattern, and the two forms seem to be completely interchangeable. The shortened forms delete /r/ from the stem and the /n/ from the future tense suffix. It is not clear whether the shortened forms can be used for all /r/-final verbs, or only some. The two future tense paradigms are illustrated in Table 2.2.4i with the verb bembar- 'to come'.

Table 2.2.4i: standard and irregular future tense conjugations of bembar- 'to come'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1Sg.FUT</th>
<th>2/3Sg.FUT</th>
<th>1/3Pl.FUT</th>
<th>2Pl.FUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard conjugation</td>
<td>bembarindi</td>
<td>bembarinden</td>
<td>bembarindun</td>
<td>bembarindan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortened conjugation</td>
<td>bembidi</td>
<td>bembiden</td>
<td>bembidun</td>
<td>bemidan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

w-final verbs

Polysyllabic verb roots ending in /w/ have irregular present and past tense conjugations, in which the final /w/ of the stem is lost, along with either the vowel preceding the final /w/ of the stem, or the initial vowel of the suffix.\(^{32}\) Table 2.2.4j models the irregular conjugation of w-final verbs with the verb unew- 'to draw water'.

\(^{32}\) Which of these vowels is lost seems to depend on the quality of both vowels, but no clear pattern been worked out.
Table 2.2.4j Conjugation of -en verb unew- 'to draw water'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1Sg</th>
<th>2Sg</th>
<th>3Sg</th>
<th>1/3Pl</th>
<th>2Pl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>unemoi</td>
<td>unen</td>
<td>unemin</td>
<td>uneman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>unikimoi</td>
<td>unian</td>
<td>unean</td>
<td>unikimin</td>
<td>unikaman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>unewindi</td>
<td>unewinden</td>
<td>unewindun</td>
<td>unewindan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperative</td>
<td>unewa</td>
<td></td>
<td>unewun</td>
<td>unewen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerund</td>
<td>unewop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial verb</td>
<td>unewo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the irregular conjugations in Table 2.2.4j, forms which follow the standard conjugation have also been attested for some verbs. For example, both munen and munewon have been recorded for the 2/3SG.PST conjugation of munew- 'to swallow'. For some verbs, only the irregular forms have been recorded. It is therefore not clear whether the standard conjugations are available for all w-final verbs.

bungom- 'to sit' & darem- 'to stand'  

The verbs bungom- 'to sit' and darem- 'to stand' share an irregular conjugation pattern. Both use a shorter form for the stem in past and present tense conjugations (bung- and dar-, respectively). The past tense conjugations also differ from the standard in that they use /a/ rather than /u/ as the initial vowel of the past tense suffixes (for example, daramin 'we stood', rather than expected **darumin). The 2/3.PRES form for bungom- 'to sit' is also irregular, bungoan, rather than expected **bungean.

Table 2.2.4k Conjugation of -an verb darem- 'to stand'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1Sg</th>
<th>2Sg</th>
<th>3Sg</th>
<th>1/3Pl</th>
<th>2Pl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>daramoi</td>
<td>daran</td>
<td>daramin</td>
<td>daraman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>darikimoi</td>
<td>darian</td>
<td>darean</td>
<td>darikimin</td>
<td>darikaman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>daremindi</td>
<td>dareminden</td>
<td>daremindun</td>
<td>daremindan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperative</td>
<td>darema</td>
<td></td>
<td>daremen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerund</td>
<td>daremop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial verb</td>
<td>daremo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Irregular past tense conjugations of 'to give'

In Manep, there are several different verb stems for 'to give', depending on the person and number of the recipient (see section on 'to give' below). These all follow the same irregular pattern for past tense conjugations, with a vowel change from $u$ to $e$ in the past tense suffixes. The 'to give' verbs otherwise conjugate like standard -an verbs. Their conjugation pattern is modeled in Table 2.2.4l with us- 'give to 3SG'.

Table 2.2.4l Conjugation of -en verb us- 'give to 3SG'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1Sg</th>
<th>2Sg</th>
<th>3Sg</th>
<th>1/3Pl</th>
<th>2Pl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>usemoi</td>
<td>usen</td>
<td>usemin</td>
<td>useman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>usikimo</td>
<td>usian</td>
<td>usean</td>
<td>usikimin usikaman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>usindi</td>
<td>usinden</td>
<td>usean</td>
<td>usindun usindan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperative</td>
<td>usa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>usan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerund</td>
<td>usap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial verb</td>
<td>usa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other irregular verbs

Beyond the groups of irregular verbs outlined above, there are also several verbs which follow their own unique conjugational paradigms. These include frequent verbs such as bembar- 'to come', anggew- 'to see', ir- 'to go up', and ingg- 'to be'.

Manep imperfective

Imperfective aspect is marked by the suffix -eng. It indicates ongoing action, as in (2111) or habitual action, as in (2112). There is no tense distinction for verbs marked with -eng. Verbs inflected with -eng always take present tense suffixes for 1SG or plural subjects, and the past tense suffix -an for 2/3SG subjects, regardless of whether the event is past or present.
Verbs with different stems for different objects

Direct objects are not marked on Manep verbs. A direct object may be indicated by a pronoun preceding the verb, but these may also be omitted. However a number of verbs have different stems depending on the person and number of the direct object. Some verbs have two stems, one for singular direct objects and one for plural, while others have separate stems for 1SG, 2SG, 3SG, and plural direct objects. Some of the more frequently used of these verbs are discussed below.

The verb 'to tell' has different stems for use with singular and plural objects. *takan-* or *takang-*\(^{33}\) 'tell.SG' and *tankanjim-* 'tell.PL'.

\[(2113)\]
\[
\text{umansi} \quad \text{takan-} \quad \text{e} \quad \text{weget} \\
\text{husband} \quad \text{tell.SG-SER} \quad \text{NEG} \\
\text{She didn't tell her husband.'}
\]

\[(2114)\]
\[
\text{naik} \quad \text{taik} \quad \text{tankanjim-a} \quad \text{weget} \\
\text{mother} \quad \text{father} \quad \text{tell.PL-SER} \quad \text{NEG} \\
\text{She didn't tell her parents.'}
\]

Similarly, the verb 'to chase, follow' has different stems for singular and plural direct objects, *uworum-* 'follow/chase.SG' and *imberum-* 'follow/chase.PL', as does 'to see': *anggew-* 'see.SG' and *imbensim-*

---

\(^{33}\) All the Manep speakers I worked with consistently use *takan-* , while the speaker in Z'graggen's recordings consistently uses *takang-*.
'see.PL'. While *anggew-* can be used with any singular direct object, there is another stem, *naweng-* that is used specifically with 2SG direct objects.\footnote{Comparative evidence with Barem suggests that *iwend-* 'see 1SG' should exist as well, but this is not attested in the Manep corpus.}

The verb 'to hit' has four different stems: *nar-* 'hit 1SG', *nanar-* 'hit 2SG', *ur-* 'hit 3SG', and *inggar-* 'hit PL'.

\begin{verbatim}
(2115) u i nar-ean
     3SG 1SG hit_1SG-3SG.PRS
     'He hits me.'
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
(2116) siwir, wagi, usu ka uninte inggar-eng-kimin.
       bandicoot cuscus wallaby DET 3.DAT hit_PL-IPFV-1/3PL.PRS
       'They'd shoot bandicoots, cuscus, and wallabies.'
\end{verbatim}

Similarly, the verb 'to teach/show' has four stems: *iwansar-* 'teach/show 1SG', *nawansar-* 'teach/show 2SG', *uwansar-* 'teach/show 3SG', and *imbandar-* 'teach/show.PL'.

Several of the verb stems with the included meaning of a specific person and number of the direct object share common elements, for example the beginning sequence */imb/* for verbs with a plural direct object. Comparative evidence with Barem suggests that these elements are object-marking prefixes that have become fossilized in Manep (see Chapter 8).

'Give' in Manep

There are six different verb stems with the meaning 'to give', which depend on the person and number of the recipient: *is-* 'give to 1SG', *nas-* 'give to 2SG', *us-* 'give to 3SG', *inind-* 'give to 1PL', *nanind-* 'give to 2PL', and *und-* 'give to 3PL'.

\begin{verbatim}
(2117) ari nan in inind-indan
     later 2PL 1PL give_1PL-2PL.FUT
     'You'll give it to us later'
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
(2118) ari i us-indi
     later 1SG give_3SG-1SG.FUT
     'I'll give it to him later'
\end{verbatim}
R-markers

Like its sister language, Barem, Manep verbs can be inflected with suffixes, which I refer to as R-markers, that mark several different kinds of indirect object or oblique arguments. Types of arguments that are marked by R-markers include benefactors, malefactors, experiencers in bodily or mental state verbs, and indirect objects in general. Often the argument indicated by the R-marker semantically has the flavor of a subject or direct object. For example, with the verb 'to sweat', the person sweating is marked with the R-marker, and in the verb 'to bathe', it is the person being bathed. Grammatically, however, an argument marked by an R-marker is either an indirect object (a core argument) or an oblique (an optional argument). R-markers do not mark direct objects in canonical transitive verbs, such as 'hit' or 'bite'. Manep R-markers are illustrated in Table 2.2.4m, and their various functions are outlined below.

Table 2.2.4m: Manep R-markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>-is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>-ans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>-as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>-and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indirect objects

R-markers can be used to mark an indirect object that is a core argument of the verb. For example, with the verb ind- 'to ask', the R-markers mark the recipient of the question. In is- 'to bathe', it marks the person being bathed, and in sirir- 'tell', it marks the person being told something. Examples (2120-2122) below illustrate R-markers for plural, 3SG, and 1SG indirect objects on the verb ind- 'ask'.

(2119) ta un na un uwomandeng ninika und-a weget.
father 3PL mother 3PL money great give_PL-SER NEG
'They didn't give our fathers and mothers lots of money'
(2120) `naik  taik  ind-and-in
mother  father  ask-PL-2/3SG.PST
'she asked her mother and father'

(2121) i  Yal  ind-as-epte
1SG  Yal  ask-3SG-DES
'I want to ask Yal'

(2122) yawarap  ne  ind-ans-indi
again  2SG  ask-2SG-1SG.FUT
'I'm going to ask you again'

**Beneficiaries and maleficiaries.**

R-markers can also mark beneficiaries and maleficiaries, in other words, parties who are
effected either positively or negatively by the action of the verb.

(2123) `ban-is-a
put-1SG-2SG.IMP
'put it for me'

(2124) samer  usum-as-in
grass_skirt  tie-3SG-2/3SG.PST
'she tied the grass skirt for her'

(2125) ne  bim-ons-ian
2SG  trick-2SG-3SG.PRS
'she's tricking you'

**Bodily state verbs**

R-markers are also used in many very which describe a bodily or mental state such as `pakin-
'ache', `karim- 'swell' urir um- 'be hungry', `bumu kaker- 'be thirsty', `guad- 'sweat', `koleleng ar- 'fear', and
`andamar- 'forget'. The grammatical subject of these verbs is a 3SG body part, evidenced by the fact
that the verb inflects with a 3SG subject/tense marker. The experiencer of the bodily or mental state is
indicated with the R-marker. A free translation of (2126) is 'I'm sweating', while a more literal
translation would be something like 'skin is sweating on me'.

---

35  `bim- 'trick, lie' is an irregular verb, which changes the vowel of the R-marker to o.
(2126) karu guad-is-ean
     skin sweat-1SG-3SG.PRS
     'I'm sweating'

(2127) karu guad-ans-ean
     skin sweat-2SG-3SG.PRS
     'you're sweating'

(2128) ke dimbingam pakin-is-ean ne dimbingam usuaw-eng-kimi
     this knee ache-1SG.-3SG.PRS 3.SS knee burn-IPFV-1SG.PRS
     'my knees ache so I'm heating them'

(2129) gaman pakin-as-eng-an ne amum-eng-an
     liver ache-3SG-IPFV-2/3SG.PST 3.SS cry-IPFV-2/3SG.PST
     'her heart was aching and she was crying'

R-markers are not obligatory on bodily state verbs. These verbs may be used without them when there is not a specific experiencer. For example, pakinep, the nominative form of 'ache' in (2130), refers to aches in general, so is not inflected with an R-marker.

(2130) karu pakin-ep o wanggar masi masi aw-eng-kimin ka
     skin ache-NMLZ or illness whatever get-IPFV-1/3PL.PRS this
     kumbum kenun
     nettle this
     'this is the stinging nettle we get for body aches or whatever kind of sickness'

When a verb stem is suffixed with an R-marker, it conjugates like a standard -en verb, regardless of the verb class it belongs to. Compare the 2/3PST conjugation of the irregular -an verb pirunsur- 'to cut open' in (2131) to its conjugation in (2132), where piruns- is suffixed with an R-markers and the 2/3PST form used with -en verbs.

(2131) u mutur pirunsu-an
     3SG coconut break_open-2/3SG-PST
     'he broke open a coconut'

(2132) u mutur pirunsur-ans-in
     3SG coconut break_open-2SG-2/3SG.PST
     'he broke open a coconut for you'
Manep switch reference

Manep switch reference markers indicate whether the referent of the subject of two adjacent clauses is the same or different. Switch reference markers in Manep are independent words which always follow an inflected verb. They are clearly independent words, and not suffixes on the verb, since speakers often pause between a verb and a switch reference marker, and speakers will sometimes begin a sentence with a switch reference marker, even after a very long pause. Manep same-subject markers make more distinctions than the different-subject markers, a trait that Manep shares with its sister language Barem, although there is little else in common between the switch reference systems of the two languages.

Manep has two same-subject markers: *ne* '3.SS' is used to link two clauses that have the same third person subject, as in (2134), and *mo* '1/2.SS' is used to link two clauses with the same first or second person subject, as in (2135) and (2136).

(2134) *irum* *ne* *imas-umin* *ne* *kuamb-umin*
woman TOP peel-1/3PL.PST 3.SS cook_in_pot-1/3.PL
'the women peeled the yams and cooked them'

(2135) *imas-umin* *mo* *kuamb-umin*
peel-1/3PL.PST 1/2.SS cook_in_pot-1/3.PL
'we peeled them and cooked them'

(2136) *nan* *imas-uman* *mo* *kuamb-uman* *i?*
2PL peel-2PL.PST 1/2.SS cook_in_pot-1/3.PL Q
'did you guys peel the yams and cook them?'

There is only one different subject marker, *si*, which is used to link two clauses with different subjects, as in (2137).

(2137) *munen* *matap* *w-aman* *si* *kambin-and-in*
devil speech say-1/3PL.PST DS hear-PL-3SG.PST
'the were speaking devil language and he heard them.'

It is also possible to juxtapose two related clauses without a switch reference marker, as in (2138).
The Ameng made their group, and the Munigiwin made their group.'

There are some cases where either a same subject or a different subject marker are both acceptable. This can happen when there is a non-agentive subject, or when there is overlapping reference between the subjects of the two clauses.

Both same subject and different subject markers are acceptable when one of the clauses has a non-agentive subject, even if there is a change in grammatical subject. For example, in (2139), since both the subject of the first clause, *bangen* 'light', and the subject of the second clause, *timbik* 'rain', are non-agentive, and both clauses are talking about the weather in general, the same subject marker *ne* is acceptable.

(2139) *bangen andan ne timbik sag-ean.*

'It's dawned and it's raining.'

Likewise, in (2140) the third person same subject marker *ne* is used after *inumin* 'they slept', even though the subject of the following clause has a different grammatical subject, *bangen* 'light'. And again following *bangen andan* 'it dawned', *ne* is used to link the following clause, which reintroduces the 1PL subject of the initial clause. Since no new agentive subject was introduced in the clause *bangen andan* 'it dawned', the same subject marker *ne* is acceptable.

(2140) *in-umin ne bangen and-an ne musang banumin*

'sleep-1/3PL 3.SS light dawn-3SG.PST 3.SS eye put-1/3PL.PST

'they slept, it dawned, and they looked'

The sentence in (2141) shows that the different subject marker is also acceptable in such contexts. Just as in (2140), *inumin* 'we slept' is followed by the clause *bangen andan* 'it dawned'. In (2141) however, the two clauses are linked with the different subject marker *si*.
The sentence in (2141) also illustrates a case of overlapping reference, the second type of case where same subject and different subject markers are both acceptable. The initial clause, *i takanin 'he told me', has a 3SG subject. The subject of the following clause, *inika '1.DU' refers to both the speaker and the man who is the subject of the initial clause. While there is a change in grammatical subject between the two clauses, the 3SG same subject marker *ne is acceptable because the subjects overlap in reference. The sentence in (2142) below contains another example of overlapping reference, where the referent of the subject of *angami 'I saw' is also one of the referents of the subject of the following verb, *injiwindun 'we'll go'. These two clauses are linked by *mo, the same subject marker for first and second person subjects.

More research is needed on how switch reference works for subjects that have overlapping reference, but it seems that in such cases the choice of third person or first/second person same subject marker depends on the subject of the first clause. This is evident in (2141), where the third person same subject marker *ne, rather than the first/second person same subject marker *mo, is used to link an initial clause with a 3SG subject to a following clause with a 1PL subject. It is not clear whether the different subject marker *si would also be acceptable in such contexts.
3. Kumil-Tibor

This chapter describes the synchronic phonology and verbal morphology of the Kumil-Tibor languages. Kumil-Tibor is a subgroup of Northern Adelbert that is further divided into two branches: the five Tibor languages Mokati, Pamosu, Hember Avu, Mawak, and Kowaki, and the three Kumil languages Mauwake, Bepour, and Moere.

Sections 3.1-3.6 deal with the Tibor languages. In 3.1, I provide background on the Tibor group as a whole, with an overview of how verbs work in Tibor languages. Sections 3.2-3.7 describe the phonology and verb morphology of individual Tibor languages. For each language, I present the consonant phoneme inventories, and make note of major allophonic alternations for each language. Vowel phonemes are not presented, as all five languages have the same five vowel system (/i/, /u/, /a/, /e/, /o/), with the possible exception of Hember Avu (see section 3.4). When possible, I also illustrate the TAM-marking suffixes for each language, and discuss morphophonemic processes active in the individual languages that are relevant to the reconstruction of Proto-Tibor and PNA. Many of the morphophonemic processes that Tupper (2012) describes for Pamosu have parallels in the other Tibor languages, and I have relied heavily on Chapter 2 of Tupper (2012) for the analyses of these languages. Sections 3.7-3.10 describe the languages of the Kumil subgroup, first with a brief overview of the Kumil group in 3.7, followed by a section for each of the three Kumil languages in 3.8-3.10.

The level of detail in the descriptions of each language naturally depends on the amount and quality of available data. Pamosu and Mauwake are relatively well-documented, each with a grammar and a dictionary. For Mawak, Kowaki, Bepour, and Moere, the only data available are Z'graggen's wordlists and recordings (1980b, 1971b). Data on the Kumil languages Bepour and Moere in particular
are more limited. Some aspects of the analyses of these languages will no doubt need to be refined should additional data become available.

3.1 Tibor languages background

The Tibor languages are spoken in inland areas, south of Mauwake, and west of Barem. They are named after the Tibor (or Timper) river, which runs through their territory. The Pamosu, Hember Avu, and Mokati areas all border each other, and Tupper (2012) writes that Pamosu have strong ties with the Hember Avu community, and links with Mokati as well. As rugged terrain separates Pamosu from Mawak and Kowaki, these communities do not typically interact. Tupper (2012) estimates a population of 1700-1800 for the Pamosu community. A 2000 census listed 1,500 population for Hember Avu, 590 for Mokati, and 25 for both Mawak and Kowaki (Eberhard, Simons & Fennig, 2020).

Verb morphology in Tibor languages

As with other Northern Adelbert languages, verbs are the most morphologically complex words in the Tibor languages. Finite verbs are composed of, minimally, a verb root suffixed with a fusional tense/subject marker. Verbs may also be inflected with object-marking prefixes and aspectual markers which occur after the verb root and before the tense/subject marker. This ordering, illustrated in Figure 3.1a, is found in all Tibor languages for which data on verb inflection is available.

![Figure 3.1a: Tibor verb template.](chart)

---

36 Figure 3.1 illustrates the ordering of only those affixes which I compare across the Tibor languages. Tupper (2012) presents a more detailed template for Pamosu verbs which includes additional slots for other kinds suffixes, such as a distributive suffix and a 'remoteness' suffix.
Tibor languages distinguish hodiernal, past, and future tense. Hodiernal tense is used for events of the current day, while past tense is used for events of the previous day or earlier.\footnote{Pamosu also has a remote past, formed by addition of the prefix ka- to a verb inflected with past tense markers (Tupper 2012: 433). There is no counterpart to the Pamosu remote past evident in the data for other Tibor languages.} The different tenses are expressed with different sets of fusional tense/subject markers.

Determining the underlying form of a verb root in Tibor languages can sometimes be difficult, for two reasons. First, morphophonemic processes can obscure the relationship between underlying and surface forms. Second, many verbs have multiple stems, with different stems used with different sets of affixes. For example, for Proto-Tibor *bugum- 'to sit', reflexes of the full root are used in irrealis/future tense conjugations, while reflexes of a shorter root *bug- are used for hodiernal conjugations. In Pamosu, verbs can have between one and five different stems. Mokati verbs have between one and four. One stem can be considered the base, to which segments are added or subtracted to form the other stems. For example, the Pamosu verb 'to be' has five stems: ik-, ikuam-, ikua-, ikot-, and ikut-. Mokati 'to be' has four stems: ik-, ikam-, ika-, and icel-

These alternating verb stems are not distributed according to the same pattern for every verb. Tupper (2012) identifies eleven different conjugation classes in Pamosu, based on the number and formation of alternating verbs stems, and how these stems are distributed across conjugational paradigms. For the Tibor languages other than Pamosu, it is not possible to work out the patterns of stem distribution for every verb based on the data available. However, many of the alternating verb stems in these languages mirror the patterns seen in their Pamosu cognates, so that comparison with Pamosu greatly facilitates the analysis of conjugated verb forms in these languages. For other verbs, individual languages each have somewhat different patterns of alternating stem formation and distribution across the conjugational paradigm. In the sections on individual languages below, I do not discuss the formation and distribution of alternating verb stems, since a full picture is not possible for
most verbs. Where possible, this information on the distribution of different roots is presented in the section on Proto-Tibor reconstructed vocabulary, under the listing for individual Proto-Tibor verbs.

### 3.2 Pamosu

Pamosu is the best documented Tibor language. It is the only Tibor language which has a published grammar (Tupper 2012), which also includes an extensive wordlist. The summary of Pamosu phonology presented below is based entirely on Tupper (2012), and all examples are taken from this text\(^{38}\). Rather than restate all of the morphophonological processes outlined by Tupper, I focus here only on the points that are most relevant for comparison with the other Tibor languages and the reconstruction of Proto-Tibor and Proto-Kumil-Tibor, which is the subject of Chapter 9.

#### 3.2.1 Pamosu phonemes

The Pamosu consonant phoneme inventory is presented in Table 3.2.1a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
<th>glottal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/</td>
<td>/t/</td>
<td>/k/</td>
<td>/k/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td>/f/</td>
<td>/v/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td>/h/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td>/l/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>w</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The voiceless stop series contrasts with prenasalized voiced stops. Prenasalized voiced stops are realized with prenasalization in intervocalic and word-final positions, and as plain voiced stops word-initially. In some other Northern Adelbert languages, such as, Barem, when two prenasalized

\(^{38}\) In this section (and throughout the dissertation), I adopt the Pamosu orthography used in Tupper (2012). The only difference between Tupper's Pamosu orthography and the one I use for other Northern Adelbert languages is that in Pamosu, $\langle$ng$\rangle$ represents a prenasalized voiced velar stop [$\eta$], whereas in the orthography for other languages, $\langle$ng$\rangle$ represents a velar nasal [$\eta$], and the $\langle$ngg$\rangle$ represents [$\eta$].
voiced stops occurred in a row (separated by a vowel), both lost prenasalization (see Chapter 1). This is not a synchronic constraint in Pamosu, as word-medial prenasalized voiced stops are always realized as prenasalized, even when preceded by another voiced stop, as illustrated by */\textipa{baŋguve}/ → [b\textipa{ŋ}gu'\textipa{b}e] 'black palm'. However, historically (prenasalized) voiced stops have both devoiced and lost prenasalization in a number of different environments, as is discussed in Chapter 9.

Pamosu is unusual among Northern Adelbert languages in that it has a contrast between two bilabial fricatives /f/ and /v/, as well as a glide /w/. Tupper notes, however, that the distribution of /f/ and /v/ is nearly complementary, as /f/ does not occur word-finally, and /v/ does not occur word-initially. As I demonstrate in Chapter 9, Proto-Tibor intervocalic and word-final */f/ and */w/ merged as /v/ in Pamosu. It is therefore not clear how the contrast between /f/, /v/, and /w/ developed.

Here I adopt the Pamosu orthography used in Tupper (2012), which differs slightly from the orthography I adopt for the other Tibor languages. In the Pamosu orthography, <ng > represents [ŋg], and not [ŋ]. As some other Tibor languages have both [ŋg] and [ŋ] as allophones of /g/, I use <ngg > and <ng >, respectively, for representing these sounds in the other Tibor languages. The orthography I use for Pamosu and the other Tibor languages otherwise follows the conventions outlined in Chapter 1.

### 3.2.2 Pamosu verb morphology

Table 3.2.2a below presents the Pamosu TAM/subject markers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>-om</td>
<td>-on</td>
<td>-ot</td>
<td>-eming</td>
<td>-omong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodiernal</td>
<td>-hom</td>
<td>-ik</td>
<td>-ok/-ek/-ak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pres. Prog.</td>
<td>-hem~hom</td>
<td>-ik</td>
<td>-ek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrealis</td>
<td>-ina</td>
<td>-ini</td>
<td>-in</td>
<td>-uhu</td>
<td>-ua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperative</td>
<td>-a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pamosu does not mark number in the third person. There are three forms of the 3.HOD suffix,
-ok, -ek, and -ak. Which allomorph of 3.HOD is used is lexically determined, and in general not predictable from the phonological shape of the verb stem. However, stems of the shape iC- and uC- (a high vowel followed by a consonant) always take the suffix -ak (Tupper 2012: 296-297). Similar vowel alternations are seen in the other Tibor languages in the 3.HOD (as well as in Mauwake, Manep, and Barem 2SG and 3SG past tense markers).

The hodiernal tense markers can be used in conjunction with a progressive aspect marker -u~i to form a present progressive conjugation. In this case, some hodiernal tense markers have slightly different forms, illustrated in Table 3.2.2.a. The 1SG variant -hem is only used with the present progressive, and the form of the 3.HOD in the present progressive is always -ek, and never -ok or -ak.

The 1PL and 2PL affixes are identical for the past and hodiernal tense. However, this does not mean that past and hodiernal tense conjugations will necessarily be identical for 1PL and 2PL conjugations, since some verbs use different stems for these tenses. For example, 'to sit' uses the stem puka- for the plural past tense conjugations, and puk- for all hodiernal conjugations.

(301) /puka-eming/ → pukaming  
    sit-1PL.PST  
    'we sat'

(302) /puk-eming/ → pukeming  
    sit-1PL.HOD  
    'we sat'

The Irrealis suffixes are used for future tense conjugations, among other modal uses outlined in Tupper (2012). I refer to their counterparts in the other Tibor languages as future tense markers, as this is their most evident use.

Pamosu has a single imperative suffix, -a, which is used for both 2SG and 2PL imperatives. It thus differs from most other Northern Adelbert languages, including the Tibor languages Hember Avu and Mokati, which have different forms for 2SG and 2PL imperative.
3.3.3 Morphophonological processes in Pamosu

Below I summarize some of the Pamosu morphophonological processes described in Tupper (2012) which are most relevant for a comparison with the other Tibor languages.

Nasal spreading

In Pamosu there is a process of nasal spreading, whereby voiceless stops become prenasalized and voiced when the following conditions are met: "(i) the preceding consonant is a nasal; (ii) there is an intervening morpheme boundary; and (iii) there is not an intervening phonological word boundary." (Tupper 2012: 114). Compare the following examples from Tupper (2012: 116) which illustrate nasal spreading with the third person hodiernal suffix -ok. In (303), it is suffixed to a verb ending in a nasal, so the final stop in the inflectional suffix becomes voiced and prenasalized. In (304), where the final consonant on the stem is not a nasal, -ok takes its usual form.

(303) /pitim-ok/ → pitimonga [pitimɔŋg]  
close-3.HOD  
'he/she closed it'

(304) /mu'n-d-ok/ → mundoku [mu'n dok]  
pierce-3.HOD  
'he/she has speared'

Nasal spreading does not apply in monomorphemic words, as is clear in (305).

(305) /nemak/ → nemak 'grandchild'

As Tupper notes, nasal spreading can be a useful diagnostic for identifying morpheme boundaries. This is true from a diachronic perspective as well, as it can indicate that there was historically a morpheme boundary present in words that are not necessarily divisible into separate morphemes in the modern language. For example, nanduhum 'walking stick' looks related to PNA
*tukum 'stick', but there is extra syllable na at the beginning. In Pamosu, na means 'tree', but tuhum is apparently not a independent word (nor is duhum). Although the usual reflex of intervocalic *t is t, the reflex of *na+*tuhum would be nanduhum, due to the application of nasal spreading across a morpheme boundary. It is therefore likely that nanduhum is, at least historically, a compound word, and reflects Proto-Kumil-Tibor *tukum.

**Epenthetic o**

If verb affixation creates a sequence of two consonants, these are broken up with epenthetic o.

(306) /itiv-hom/ → itivohom
    go-1SG.HOD
    ‘I went’

**Deletion of mid vowels following a**

The mid vowels e and o delete following stem-final a.

(307) /iva-ek/ → ivak
    wash-3.HOD
    'he/she/they washed'

    /iva-omong/ → ivamong
    wash-2PL.HOD
    'you washed'

**e-rounding**

Tupper (2012: 113-114) describes a process of vowel assimilation in Pamosu, whereby e rounds to o when the following vowel is o, as illustrated in (308).

(308) /et-om/ → otom
    stand-1SG.PST
    ‘I stood’

It is clear that the vowel in et- 'stand' is underlying e, since there are other conjugations where it does not round. However, this same process has resulted in a merger between Proto-Tibor *e and *o in
lexical items where there is no alternation (see Chapter 9). Note that e-rounding can also be triggered by a following epenthetic o.

### 3.3 Mokati

The only previously published primary data on Mokati is Z'graggen's wordlist (1980b). Recordings of Z'graggen's Mokati elicitation sessions can be found online at PARADISEC (Z'graggen 1971b). These recordings contain information not in the published wordlist, including additional vocabulary and verb paradigms. The Mokati data presented here also come from my brief fieldwork in 2016 and 2018 with a Mokati-speaking community living near Malas (a Manep speaking village). My main Mokati consultants were Peter Mindebel and Belom Atul. I worked with these speakers for only one afternoon each of these years, recording lexical data and verb paradigms.

According to Peter Mindebel and Belom Atul, there are at least two distinct varieties of Mokati. The villages of Wanambre and Mawet speak one variety, and the villages of Tinami and Kotakot speak another. There are occasional lexical differences, such as Tinami nokalol 'louse' and Wanambre wena 'louse', but the vocabularies are largely the same. The most notable differences are in the TAM/subject markers, as outlined below. The speaker in Z'graggen's recordings seems to be a speaker of the Tinami/Kotakot variety (however, Z'graggen refers to the language as 'Wanambre' in his publications)\(^39\).

#### 3.3.1 Mokati phonemes

Table 3.3.1a presents the Mokati consonant phoneme inventory. The inventory is the same for both the Mokati and Tinami dialects.

\(^39\) Capell's (1952) description of what is clearly Hember Avu is also labeled Vanembere.
Table 3.3.1a: Mokati consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/, /m/</td>
<td>/t/, /n/</td>
<td>/k/, /ŋ/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td>/f/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td>/l/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The prenasalized voiced stops are realized as plain voiced stops word-initially. In the Tinami dialect, they also lose prenasalization when the preceding consonant in the word is also a voiced stop. In the Wanambre dialect, /ŋ/ has the word-final allophone [ŋ].

In both dialects, intervocalic /k/ is sometimes pronounced as a glottal fricative [h]. The Wanambre hodiernal suffixes are only recorded with /h/, and have not been observed with a stop /k/. However, [h] and [k] do not appear to be contrastive, and I analyze them as allophones of /k/.

3.3.2 Mokati verb morphology

Tables 3.3.2a and 3.3.2b below present the tense/subject marking affixes for the Tinami and Wanambre dialects. Like Pamosu, Mokati distinguishes hodiernal tense, which covers completed or ongoing events on the current day, from past tense, which covers events that took place prior to the current day, and future tense. There are several differences between the TAM markers in the two dialects. In Tinami, the same markers are used for plural subjects in the past and hodiernal tenses. These come historically from the past tense markers. Wanambre maintains separate markers for the 1PL and 3PL past and hodiernal, but has also extended the 2PL.PST marker for use in the hodiernal as well. The two dialects also have different forms for the 1SG.HOD and 1SG.PST markers. Wanambre 1SG.PST /-em/ has the form -om after a labial final root, and -em otherwise. It is likely that Tinami

40 In the Wanambre dialect, voiced stops became voiceless stops in this position (see section 9.2.3).
reanalyzed the -om allomorph as the basic form, similar to a change of *e > o that took place in some Pamosu past tense affixes (see Chapter 9).

Table 3.3.2a: Tinami Mokati TAM/subject markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
<th>3PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>-om</td>
<td>-en</td>
<td>-et</td>
<td>-emik</td>
<td>-omak</td>
<td>-emit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodiernal</td>
<td>-em</td>
<td>-ik</td>
<td>-ak/-auk~ok</td>
<td>-emik</td>
<td>-omak</td>
<td>-emit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>-inumbon</td>
<td>-ini</td>
<td>-in</td>
<td>-un</td>
<td>-uwavon</td>
<td>-ut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-inivon</td>
<td>-inep</td>
<td></td>
<td>-uvon</td>
<td></td>
<td>-utuvon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-ini-ve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-eita</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3.2b: Wanambre Mokati TAM/subject markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
<th>3PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>-em</td>
<td>-en</td>
<td>-et</td>
<td>-eming</td>
<td>-emind</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodiernal</td>
<td>-ehem</td>
<td>-ik</td>
<td>-ak/-ok</td>
<td>-ehing</td>
<td>-omang</td>
<td>-ehind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>-inumbon</td>
<td>-ini</td>
<td>-in</td>
<td>-un</td>
<td>-uwavon</td>
<td>-ut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-inivon</td>
<td>-inep</td>
<td></td>
<td>-uvon</td>
<td></td>
<td>-utuvon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-ini-ve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-eita</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The examples in (309-311) illustrate the use of past, hodiernal, and future tense marking in the Tinami dialect on the same verb root, im- 'to cook'.

(309) inok ma im-om (Tinami)
yesterday taro cook-1SG.PST
'I cooked taro yesterday'

(310) sovokalu ma im-em (Tinami)
morning taro cook-1SG.HOD
'I cooked taro this morning'

(311) ma im-inumbom (Tinami)
taro cook-1SG.FUT
'I will cook taro'

Although the Tinami dialect uses the same forms for the plural hodiernal and past tense suffixes, plural conjugated verb forms in the past and hodiernal tense are not always identical, since
some verbs use a different stem for the past than for the hodiernal, as illustrated in (312). This is similar to the verb stems illustrated for Pamosu above.

(312)  /wew-emit/ → wevemit  (Tinami)  
come-3PL.HOD  
'they came/they are coming'

(313)  /we-emit/ → wemit  (Tinami)  
come-3PL.PST  
'they came'

In both dialects, multiple endings have been recorded used to express future events for most person/number combinations. In most cases, there seems to be a base form, shown in the top line of the future tense cells in Tables 3.3.2a-b, which can be used either on its own or with additional elements attached to the right edge. There is no clear difference in the functions of the various future forms, other than that the element -ve is added only on 2SG and 2PL questions, as in (314).

(314)  ne we-inive i?  (Tinami)  
2SG come-2SG.FUT Q  
'Will you come?'

**Imperfective aspect**

The Mokati imperfective aspect marker -y is only used in the hodiernal tense, and indicates an ongoing action. It has a vowel allomorph -i following a consonant.

(315)  nik gono ya-y-omang  (Wanambre)  
2PL where go-IPFV-2PL.HOD  
'Where are you going?'

(316)  ali ma im-i-em  (Tinami)  
now taro cook-IPFV-1SG.HOD  
'I'm cooking taro now'
3.3.3 Morphophonological processes in Mokati

Below I outline some of the phonological processes which apply synchronically in Mokati. Unless otherwise noted, these behave the same in both the Tinami and Wanambre dialects.

Nasal spreading

The Wanambre dialect of Mokati has essentially the same nasal spreading rule as Pamosu. The sentences in (317-318) show Wanambre Mokati verb stems with non-nasal final consonants suffixed with -ik '2SG.HOD' and -et '3SG.PST', which have final voiceless stops. Compare these to the inflected verbs in (319-320), in which the same suffixes are attached to verb stems with a final nasal consonant, and nasal spreading applies.41

(317) /uw-ik/ → uvik (Wanambre)
    plant-2SG.HOD
    'you planted'

(318) /yak-et/ → yaket (Wanambre)
    go-3SG.PST
    'he went'

(319) /en-ik/ → ening [eniŋ] (Wanambre)
    eat-2SG.HOD
    'you ate'

(320) /um-et/ → umend [ume"d] (Wanambre)
    die-3SG.PST
    'he died'

In the Tinami dialect, nasal spreading does not apply, as illustrated in (321-322). This is not surprising, as historically prenasalized voiced stops lost nasalization and devoiced in Tinami (see Chapter 9).

(321) /en-ik/ → enik (Tinami)
    eat-2SG.HOD
    'you ate'

41 Mokati is slightly different from Pamosu in that the word-final allophone of /ŋ/ is [ŋ], rather than [ŋg]
Vowel sequence modification rules

Sequences of certain vowels result in vowel coalescence or deletion of one of the vowels.

**Coalescence of e and back vowels**

Underlying /e/ followed by either of the back vowels /o/ or /u/ is realized as o.

- (323) /we+omak/ → womak (Tinami)
  - come-2PL.PST
  - 'you came'

- (324) /we+uvon/ → wovon (Tinami)
  - come-1PL.FUT
  - 'we will come'

An underlying sequence of /ue/ is also realized as o.

- (325) /iku+ehing/ → ikohing (Wanambre)
  - stay+1PL.HOD
  - 'We stayed'

/a+e/ → a

As in Pamosu, /e/ deletes following /a/.42

- (326) /ila+ep/ → ilap (Tinami)
  - go_up+SS
  - 'go up and...'

- (327) /eka+em/ → ekam (Tinami)
  - see+1SG.HOD
  - 'I saw him'

- (328) /na-eka-em/ → inakam (Wanambre)
  - 2SG-see+1SG.PST
  - 'I saw you'

---

42 In Pamosu, this rule applies to both mid vowels e and o, but there is no evidence for it applying to o in Mokati.
i-lowering

A high front vowel i lowers to e after the present progressive suffix -y. While this may be a more general rule, the underlying sequence /yi/ has not been observed with any other morpheme.

(329) /yaka-y-ik/ → yakayek (Tinami)
go-IPFV-2SG.HOD'
'You're going'

(330) /en-y-ik/ → eniek (Tinami)
eat-IPFV-2SG.HOD'
'You're eating'

Assimilation of e to mid vowels

In a regressive vowel assimilation in Pamosu, e becomes o when the next vowel is o. There is a similar rule in Mokati, but it applies optionally.

(331) /men-om/ → menom~monom (Tinami)
feed-1SG.PST
'I fed him'

(332) /we-y-ok/ → weyok~woyok (Tinami)
come-3SG.HOD
'He's coming'

This rule also applies progressively in Mokati, as e assimilates to preceding o, as in (333).

(333) /iku-ekem/ → ikohom (Wanambre)
stay-1SG.HOD
'I stay'

e-rounding (Wanambre)

As mentioned in 3.2.2, the Wanambre 1SG.PST suffix -em is realized as -om when suffixed to a labial-final root.

(334) /uw-em/ → uvom (Wanambre)
plant-1SG.PST
'I planted'

Rounding does not apply to other e-initial suffixes, such as -en 2SG.PST, or -et 3SG.PST.
\( /uw-en/ \rightarrow uvom \) (Wanambre)

\[
\text{plant-2SG.PST} \\
'I planted'
\]

**Glide insertion**

When the 2SG.IMP suffix \(-a\) is attached to an \(e\)- or \(a\)-final stem, a glide \(y\) is inserted.

\( /we-a/ \rightarrow weya \) (Wanambre)

\[
\text{come-2SG.IMP} \\
'come!'
\]

\( /ila-a/ \rightarrow ilaya \) (Wanambre)

\[
\text{go_up-2SG.IMP} \\
'go up!'
\]

### 3.4 Hember Avu

Hember Avu (also known as Amben\(^{43}\)) is spoken in seven villages: Salemben, Erinduk, Sevan, Erek Erek, Nagemak, Kumbu, and Embor. In addition to Z'graggen's publications and recordings in PARADISEC, I also consulted Petir et al (1996), a guide to the plants of Salemben village, which provides additional lexical data (most, but not all, of it plant-related). In 2016, I met with a small community of Hember Avu speakers that live near Malas, and worked with them for an afternoon to collect a Hember Avu wordlist. SIL has produced some short manuscripts related to orthography development which contain information on Hember Avu phonology and vocabulary, and some narrative texts (Easton 2000, Fam, Jombo, & Nenmenian 2000, Kenikos & Pisen 2000).

---

\(^{43}\) Petir et al (1996) call the language Amben, and I also heard this name used by non-Hember Avu-speaking people in the area. As some of the authors of Petir et al (1996) are Amben speakers, it is clear that this is indeed a correct name for the language. However, as the speakers I worked with preferred the name Hember Avu, that is the one I adopt here.
### 3.4.1 Hember Avu phonemes

#### Table 3.4.1a: Hember Avu consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/, /m\b/</td>
<td>/t/, /d\d/</td>
<td>/k/, /g\g/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td>/f/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td>/t/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similar to Mokati, the realization of word-initial prenasalized voiced stops in Hember Avu varies between voiced and voiceless (both without prenasalization), as in /m\b\baner/ → baner–paner. Although the voiceless variants seem to be much more frequent, these stops are analyzed as underlyingly voiced. Since Proto-Tibor did not have *p, and word-initial *k lenited to h in Hember Avu, there is no ambiguity in the underlying form of word-initial [p] and [k]. These are realizations of /m\b/ and /g\g/, respectively. PNA initial *t became *s in most Proto-Tibor forms, but may have been preserved as *t before back vowels (see Chapter 9). Word-initial [t] is therefore potentially ambiguous between /d\d/ and /t/ in Hember Avu. Devoicing of initial stops in Pamosu appears to be a change in progress that is well underway, but not yet complete. Since there are usually only a few tokens, at most, recorded for any individual lexical item, it is not clear whether this variation is present in every lexical item with initial voiced stops, or if the change can be considered complete for some items.

If an initial voiced stop is pronounced as devoiced, it also causes a following /d\d/ or /g\g/ to devoice and lose prenasalization, for example /m\begi/ 'light' → [peki]. However, if an initial stop is pronounced as voiced, the following voiced stop is pronounced as voiced, but without prenasalization (/m\bug/- 'sit' → [bug- ~ puk-])\(^44\). /m\b/ is not affected in this way, and is always pronounced as [m\b] postvocically (/m\bim\bik/- 'be afraid' → [bim\bik-]).

---

\(^44\) The loss of prenasalization in the environment of another voiced stop is common in Northern Adelbert languages, and is discussed in Chapter 1.
Word-final /nɡ/ is realized as a nasal, for example /inenɡ/ ‘tomorrow’ → [inen].

Phonemic voiceless velar stops only appear intervocalically and word-finally, while h only appears word-initially. [k] and [h] are therefore in complementary distribution, and are analyzed as allophones of a single phoneme /k/. However, as noted above, word-initial voiced stops are often realized as voiceless, which leads to a surface contrast between word-initial [k] (underlying /ɡ/) and [h] (underlying /k/).

In describing their orthography Petir et al (1996) write: "The vowel "o" is pronounced in two different ways. In order to preserve this distinction, we have differentiated between these two sounds by using "o" and "ö"." Providing English examples for the vowels, they write that <o> is like the vowel in forest, while <ö> is like the vowel in hello.45 I interpret this as <o> representing [ɔ], and <ö> representing [o]. However, <o> is typically found in closed syllables (including homorganic nasal-stop sequences), for example <gongon hetar>, and <mambur ombos>. On the other hand, <ö> is usually found in open syllables, as in <hönem>, <göfar höte>, and <gömugömu>. 46 This complementary distribution suggests that these two sounds are both allophones of /o/. In vocabulary taken from Petir et al (1996), I have adapted their orthography to be consistent with the one I use for other Tibor languages, and represent both sounds with <o>.

3.4.2 Hember Avu verb morphology

Table 3.4.2a presentes Hember Avu TAM/subject-marking affixes.

45 Presumably they mean the second vowel in hello, since they refer to both these sounds as "the vowel "o"".
46 These are all names of plants.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
<th>3PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Past</strong></td>
<td>-em</td>
<td>-en</td>
<td>-emin</td>
<td>-eman</td>
<td>-emin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hodiernal</strong></td>
<td>-ekem</td>
<td>-ik</td>
<td>-ak/-ek</td>
<td>-ekemin</td>
<td>-ekoman~ekaman</td>
<td>-ekemin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future I</strong></td>
<td>-av-okom</td>
<td>-av-ik</td>
<td>-avaron</td>
<td>-av-okomin</td>
<td>-av-okoman</td>
<td>-av-okomin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future II</strong></td>
<td>-inarin</td>
<td>-inyerin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-unerin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperative</strong></td>
<td>-a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-andik</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Hember Avu hodiernal markers are formed by adding the element -ek before the past tense markers. The exceptions are 2SG.HOD -ik and 3SG.HOD -ak/-ek, which are not derived from the 2/3SG.PST marker -en. Additionally, there is a vowel change in the 2PL.HOD marker, which has the variants -ekoman–ekaman.

As with Mokati, there are multiple conjugational paradigms related to future events, and the semantic distinction between them (if there is one) is not clear from the available data, as they are both used in response to the same types of Tok Pisin prompts. The most frequently used future tense endings are listed in Table 3.4.2a as Future I. These future tense endings are formed by adding -av before the hodiernal markers, with rounding of /e/ to o in the 1SG and plural forms. The exception is the 3SG.FUT -avaron, which does not resemble the 3SG.HOD -ak/-ek. Although these endings use the hodiernal markers, they can be used to refer to future events past the current day.

The suffixes listed as Future II in Table 3.4.2a are less frequent in Z'graggen's recordings, and no 3SG, 1PL, or 2PL suffixes for this paradigm have been identified.
3.4.3 Morphophonological processes in Hember Avu

Nasal spreading

Unlike other Tibor languages, nasal spreading does not seem to be an active phonological process in Hember Avu. The speaker in Z'graggen's recordings does not exhibit nasal spreading on conjugations of nasal-final verb roots where it appears in the other Tibor languages. Compare the realization of the 3SG hodiernal suffix -ek on uwok 'he gave to him' in (338) with the same surface realization on umok 'he died' in (339).\(^{47}\) If nasal spreading were to apply, the surface form **[umoŋg] would be expected. However, there is some evidence that nasal spreading may have applied in Hember Avu in the past (see Section 3.5 below).

(338) /uw-ek/ → uwok
  give_3SG-3SG.HOD
  'she gave it to him'

(339) /um-ek/ → umok
  die-3SG.HOD
  'he died'

\[47\] On both verbs, -ek rounds to -ok following a root-final labial.

\[122\]

e-rounding

The initial \(e\) in some Hember Avu suffixes rounds to \(o\) when attached to a stem ending in a labial consonant. This rule applies to -em '1SG.PST', -en '2/3.PST' and -ek '3SG.HOD'. Compare the forms in (339-341), where the suffixes are attached to a stem ending in a velar, to those in (342-344) where they are attached to a stem ending in labial, triggering rounding of \(e\) to \(o\).

(339) /ak-en/ → aken
  go-2/3.PST
  'He went'

(340) /ak-ek/ → akek
  go-3SG.HOD
  'He died'
(341) /ak-em/ → akem  
go-1SG.PST  
‘I went’

(342) /um-en/ → umon  
die-2/3.PST  
‘He died’

(343) /um-ek/ → umok  
die-3SG.HOD  
‘He died’

(344) /iŋgam-em/ → inggamom  
stay-1SG.PST  
'I stayed’

e-rounding does not apply to the plural past or hodiernal suffixes, or to 1SG.HOD -ekem, as illustrated in (345-347). Although e-rounding does not typically apply to the hodiernal suffixes, it has applied in the formation of the Future I suffixes, which are formed by attaching the hodiernal to labial-final -av.

(345) /um-emin/ → umemin.  
die-1/3PL.PST  
‘He died’

(346) /um-ekemin/ → umekemin.  
die-1/3PL.HOD  
‘He died’

(347) /w-ekem/ → wekem  
give-1SG.HOD  
‘I gave it to him’

/a+e/ → a

As in Mokati and Pamosu, in an underlying sequence of /a+e/, e deletes.

(348) /iŋga-emin/ → inggamin  
stay-1/3PL.PST  
'Vee stayed’

(349) /aka-ekem/ → akakem  
go-1SG.HOD  
'I go’
/u+e/ → o

An underlying sequence of /u+e/ coalesces to o.

(350) /iŋu-ekem/ → inggokom
    stay-1SG.HOD
    'I stay'

Vowel assimilation

Hember Avu has two progressive vowel assimilation rules. First, e becomes a when the
preceding vowel is a. This rule applies optionally, as illustrated in (351).

(351) /a-ekemin/ → akamin ~ akemin
    become-1/3PL.HOD
    'They became'

Second, e becomes o when the preceding vowel is o. This is illustrated in (352) below, as well as (350)
above. A similar process is found in Mokati.

(352) /igu-ekemin/ → inggokomin
    stay-1/3PL.HOD
    'We stay'

3.5 Mawak

For Mawak, the only lexical data come from Z'graggen's published work (1980b).
Unfortunately, Mawak has not been identified in any of the digitized recordings in his PARADISEC
collection.  The description below is therefore based only on the phonetic transcriptions in Z'graggen's
wordlist and should be considered tentative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3.5a: Mawak consonant phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

48 There is a file in the collection titled Lg F09 Mawak Word List and Vocabulary but it is actually a recording of Pamosu.
The prenasalized voiced stops /d/ and /g/ are not found word-initially, and /t/ is only found word-initially. Word-final /g/ is sometimes realized as a velar nasal, with no stop portion. The glottal fricative /h/ is only found intervocally. [h], [k], and [ŋg] are very nearly in a complicated pattern of complementary distribution, where [h] and [k] could be analyzed as allophones of /k/ (with [h] occuring intervocally and [k] occuring word-finally), and [k] and [ŋg] analyzed as allophones of /ŋg/ (with [k ] found word-initially, immediately following a word-inital vowel, or following p or another k, and [ŋg] found elsewhere.)49 However, a small number of words do not follow this pattern, for example tukum 'stick'. Such words are likely borrowings, but have created a phonemic contrast between k and h.

Since the only data available for Mawak is the wordlist in Z'graggen (1980b), there is no information on its verb morphology, and not much can be said about morphophonemic processes. However, there is at least one example of nasal spreading having applied on reflexes of the adjective-forming suffix *-at in Mawak (as well as the other Tibor languages). Table 3.5b shows the Tibor reflexes of Proto-Tibor *ket-at 'red', derived from *ket 'blood' and the adjective-forming suffix *-at. The reflex of final *t in all the Tibor languages is voiceless t. Compare this with the reflexes of *bin-at 'heavy', derived from *bin 'heavy, weight' plus *-at, where nasal spreading appears to have applied in all five languages, and the final *t is reflected as a prenasalized stop (or in the case of Hember Avu, a nasal). Note also that nasal spreading has applied here in Hember Avu, even though nasal spreading is not synchronically active in that language.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Tibor</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Hember Avu</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ket-at 'red'</td>
<td>ketat</td>
<td>etat</td>
<td>hetat</td>
<td>etat</td>
<td>etat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*bin-at 'heavy'</td>
<td>binant</td>
<td>pinand</td>
<td>pinan</td>
<td>pinant</td>
<td>pinant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

49 See Chapter 9 for a discussion of the sound changes which led to this distribution.
3.6 Kowaki

The only data available on Kowaki come from Z'graggen, which includes his published wordlist (Z'graggen 1980b), as well as a recording of a Kowaki elicitation session (Z'graggen 1971b), which contains additional vocabulary and verb paradigms not found in his books. The description of Kowaki verbal morphology and morphonemic processes I present below is based on the data found in this recording.

3.6.1 Kowaki phonemes

Table 3.6.1a presents the Kowaki consonant phoneme inventory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>glottal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/</td>
<td>/t/, /ʔd/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/ʔ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td>/f/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/h/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>/ɾ/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kowaki is unique among the Tibor languages in that there are no velar consonants, as *k and *g have become either /h/ or /ʔ/ in all environments. The only voiced stop is /ʔd/, which contrasts with /t/ only after a vowel. The phonetic realization of /ʔd/ is usually a prenasalized voiceless stop [nt], although it is sometimes realized voiced. It could therefore be argued that there is no phonemic /ʔd/ but rather a contrast between voiceless /t/ and nasal stop sequences /nt/. An argument for analyzing /ʔd/ as a unitary phoneme (rather than a sequence of two phonemes /nd/) is that there are otherwise no phonological consonant clusters in the language, and there are synchronic processes which break up consonant clusters when they are formed through affixation (see below).  

50 In any case, /ʔd/ is the only prenasalized phoneme, or, if analyzed as /nd/ then /d/ is the only (plain) voiced phoneme.
3.6.2 Kowaki verb morphology

Table 3.6.2a shows the Kowaki TAM-marking morphology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>-om</td>
<td>-uan</td>
<td>-et</td>
<td>-emin</td>
<td>-eman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodiernal</td>
<td>-ehem</td>
<td>-i'</td>
<td>-e'</td>
<td>-emi'</td>
<td>-ema'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrealis</td>
<td>-ina(re)</td>
<td>-ini(re)</td>
<td>-in</td>
<td>-ihi(re)</td>
<td>-uane(re)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfective</td>
<td>-u</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfective</td>
<td>-pa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Kowaki TAM morphology is similar to Pamosu's, both in the distinctions made and the forms of the affixes, which are almost all cognate. Like Pamosu, there is no distinction between singular and plural for the third person.

The irrealis suffixes sometimes appear with the element re as the last syllable, and sometimes without. There is no clear difference in meaning between the two forms.

A notable difference between Kowaki and Pamosu is the perfective aspect marker -pa, which has no counterpart in Pamosu. It occurs in the same slot as the imperfective aspect marker -u, following the verb root and preceding the tense/subject marker. The examples below illustrate conjugations of the verb 'come' in hodiernal tense, with and without the perfective marker.

(353) wo fo-pa-ha
  3SG come-PFV-3.HOD
  'He came'

---

51 This table does not include imperative forms, as these are not known. Z'graggen's Kowaki recording archived at PARADISEC doesn't contain any imperative forms.
52 In the Kowaki data, verbs inflected with -pa were given as a response almost exclusively to Tok Pisin prompts where the Tok Pisin verb was followed by pinis, which marks perfective aspect in Tok Pisin (Mühlhäusler 1985). For this reason, it is analyzed as a perfective marker in Kowaki.
53 The verbs in the examples in this section are subject to a number of phonological processes outlined in 3.6.2.
mante fo-'  
man come-3.HOD  
'The man is coming'

Kowaki imperfective -\textit{u}, has only been recorded on verbs in hodiernal tense, as in (355).

\begin{center}
(355) \textit{i'e pu'um-u-mi'}
1PL sit-IPFV-1PL.HOD  
'We're sitting'
\end{center}

According to Tupper (2012), Pamosu aspectual morphology does not appear in the past tense. This is true also of Kowaki imperfective -\textit{u}. However, this is not the case for all Kowaki aspectual morphology, as the perfective aspect marker -\textit{pa}, which Pamosu lacks, can be used with both hodiernal tense, as in (356), and past tense, as in (357-358).

\begin{center}
(356) \textit{i'e in-impa-mi'}
1PL sleep-PFV-1PL.HOD  
'We slept'
\end{center}

\begin{center}
(357) \textit{henina' in-impa-min}
yesterday sleep-PFV-1PL.PST  
'We slept'
\end{center}

\begin{center}
(358) \textit{ohi'a fo-pa-hat}
long_ago come-PFV-3.PST  
'He came long ago'
\end{center}

\subsection*{3.6.3 Morphophonological processes in Kowaki}

Below I outline the morphophonological processes present in Kowaki.

\textbf{Nasal spreading}

The nasal spreading process found in other Tibor languages applies also in Kowaki, with the difference that the contrast is between voiceless and prenasalized-voiceless, rather than prenasalized-voiced. The examples in (359-360), show that the suffix -\textit{et} '3.PST' undergoes nasal spreading when
suffixed to a nasal-final stem, whereas in (361-362), it is realized with a plain voiceless stop. Nasal spreading applying to $p$ is illustrated in (363).  

(359) /mirir-et/ $\rightarrow$ miriret  
  vomit-3.PST  
  'he vomited’

(360) /het-et/ $\rightarrow$ hetet  
  stand-3.PST  
  'he stood’

(361) /in-et/ $\rightarrow$ inent  
  sleep-3.PST  
  'he vomited’

(362) /an-et/ $\rightarrow$ anent  
  eat-3.PST  
  'he ate’

(363) /um-pa-e’/ $\rightarrow$ umumpaha’  
  die-PFV-3.HOD  
  'He died'

**h epenthesis**

Epenthetic $h$ is inserted after the perfective marker -pa and before a monosyllabic vowel-initial affix:

(364) /e-pa-i’/ $\rightarrow$ epahi’  
  become-PFV-2SG.HOD  
  'You have become’

(365) /pu’-pa-et/ $\rightarrow$ pu’upahat  
  sit-PFV-3.PST  
  'They sat down'

---

54 This example also illustrates the insertion of epenthetic $e$, and regressive assimilation of $e$ to $a$, which are described below.

55 This example also illustrates vowel epenthesis and vowel assimilation, described below.

56 This example is taken from the elicited phrase ne ta'er herere epahi’ ‘you're thirsty', literally 'you have become dry in the neck'.
It seems that $h$-epenthesis only applies following $-pa$. Sequences of adjacent vowels created from inflection with the imperfective aspect marker $-u$ do not trigger $h$-epenthesis (366), nor do vowel-final verb stems (367).

(366) /sop-u-i'/ → sopui'
    drink-IPFV-2SG.HOD
    'You're drinking'

(367) /ira-et/ → irat
    climb-3.PST
    'He climbed'

**Vowel assimilation**

$e → a/a(h)_$

In Kowaki, $e$ assimilates to a preceding $a$ either when they are directly adjacent, or when there is an intervening $h$, as in (368-369). This applies also to epenthetic $h$, as in (365) above. If they are directly adjacent, this results in a sequence of two of the same vowel $a$, which coalescence into a single segment $a$, as with all sequences of identical vowels.

(368) /fo-pa-ehem/ → fopaham
    come-PFV-1SG.HOD
    'I came'

(369) /e'a-et/ → e'at
    see-3.PST
    'He saw'

$e → o/o(h)_$

$e$ assimilates to a preceding $o$. As with $e → a$, this rule also applies across an intervening $h$. Again, when assimilation results in a sequence of two identical vowels, they coalesce into a single segment, as for $e → o$ by (371) below.

(370) /fo-ehem/ → fohom
    come-1SG.HOD
    'I come'
(371) /fo-e'/ → fo'
    come-3.HOD
    'He came'

**e-rounding**

In the suffixes -et '3PST', -e''3HOD', suffix-initial e becomes o following a labial consonant, as in (372).

(372) /tiv-et/ → tivot
go-3.PST
    'He went'

(373) /pu'um-e'/ → pu'umo'
    sit-3.HOD
    'They sat'

This does not apply to the plural past and hodiernal suffixes, or to 1SG.HOD -ehem.

(374) /tiv-ehem/ → tivehem
go-1SG.HOD
    'I went'

(375) /sop-eman/ → sopeman
drink-2PL.PST
    'You drank'

/**u+e/**

Sequences of underlying /u+e/ resolve in three different ways, depending on the elements involved. If a u-final verb root is followed by an e-initial suffix /u+e/ coalesces as o, as illustrated in (376).

(376) /'u-ehem/ → 'ohom
    stay-1SG.HOD
    'I stay'

When a polysyllabic e-initial suffix follows the imperfective marker -u, e deletes.

(377) /in-u-emi'/ → tivumi'
sleep-IPFV-1PL.HOD
    'We're sleeping'
(377) /tiv-u-ehem/ → tivuhom
stay-IPFV-1PL.HOD
'We're going'

When the monosyllabic suffix -ek '3.HOD' follows the imperfective marker -u, it rounds to o.

(378) /in-u-e'/ → inuo'
sleep-3.HOD
'He's sleeping'

Vowel epenthesis

If the perfective marker -pa is attached to a consonant-final verb root, an epenthetic vowel is inserted as well, but the quality of the vowel depends on the preceding vowel in the verb root. If it is a high vowel, then the epenthetic vowel copies the preceding high vowel, as in (379-380). If the preceding vowel is non-high, then a is inserted, as in (381)

(379) /pu'-pa-mi'/ → pu'upami'
sit-PFV-1PL.HOD
'We sat'

(380) /in-pa-min/ → inimpamin
sleep-PFV-1PL.PST
'We slept'

(381) /het-pa-min/ → hetapamin
stand-PFV-1PL.PST
'We stood'

3.7 Kumil languages overview

The three Kumil languages, Mauwake, Bepour, and Moere, are spoken on the coast north of the Tibor languages, in an area surrounding the Kumil river. They are northwest of Barem-speaking territory, and southwest of the Kaukombar languages. Mauwake covers the largest area of the three languages, and is spoken as the main languages in fifteen villages over an area of about 100 square kilometers, with a population of around 4,000 (Berghäll 2015). The main Mauwake centers are Malala
and Ulingan. The latter is also the name that Z'graggen and Capell use to refer to the language. Bepour and Moere are both much smaller than Mauwake, with around 50 speakers each in 2000 (Eberhard, Simons & Fennig, 2020). Bepour is spoken in a small enclave within the Amako\(^{57}\)-speaking area on the coast, and Moere is spoken inland from this, bordering the Kowaki and Musar areas on its southern side, and Barem to the east.

Mauwake is one of the best documented Northern Adelbert (and Papuan) languages, having been researched extensively by Liisa Berghäll and Kwan Poh San, who have produced a grammar (Berghäll 2015) and a dictionary (Järvinen (=Berghäll), Kwan & Aduna 2001), as well as numerous manuscripts and articles, (Järvinen 1980, 1988, 1988b, 1989, 1990, 1991; Kwan 1980, 1983, 1988, 1989, 2002). Prior to the work of Berghäll and Kwan, the only primary data on Mauwake are from a short description in Capell (1952) and Z'graggen's work (1971a, 1975a 1980b). For Bepour and Moere, Z'graggen's work is the only published data available. Z'graggen's recordings of elicitation sessions with Bepour and Moere speakers have been digitized and made available online (1971b), and include more data than was published in the Bepour and Moere wordlists in Z'graggen (1980b), including additional vocabulary. Unfortunately, these recordings do not contain elicitations of full verb paradigms, so not much information can be gleaned on verbal morphology or the tense distinctions that are made in these languages.

In the rest of this section, I summarize the basics of phonology and verbal morphology for Mauwake, followed by a look at the phonology of Bepour and Moere. These sections are relatively short compared to the Tibor languages, for two reasons. First, there is less complex morphophonology in the Kumil languages, so it is usually readily apparent how surface forms relate to underlying forms. Second, a detailed description of verbal morphology in Bepour and Moere is not possible from the data available. In Z'graggen's (1971b) recordings of Bepour and Moere elicitation sessions, most of the

---

57 While not a northern Adelbert language, Amako is more distantly related.
conjugated verb forms are in 3SG past or present, so unfortunately a more detailed analysis of the verb morphology of these languages is not possible. All of the Mauwake data and examples presented here are from Berghäll (2015).

3.8 Mauwake

3.8.1 Mauwake phonemes

Table 3.8.1a presents the Mauwake phoneme inventory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/, /b/</td>
<td>/t/, /d/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/k/, /g/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td>/f/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td>/r/, /l/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Voiced stops do not occur word-finally, are much less frequent than voiceless stops, and are not used in derivational or inflectional morphology (Berghäll 2015: 26). This restricted distribution of voiced stops in Mauwake is not surprising, given that Proto-Kumil *b, *d and, *g merged with *p, *t, and *k to become voiceless stops in Mauwake (see Chapter 9). There are no Mauwake words with voiced stops which can be shown to be directly inherited.

Mauwake is the only Kumil-Tibor language that has not merged PNA *r and *l. Mauwake reflexes are critical for disambiguating these two sounds in Proto-Kumil-Tibor reconstructions.

As in many other Northern Adelbert languages, Mauwake glides have voiced fricative allophones. For /w/, the fricative allophone is more likely to occur adjacent to unrounded vowels, and word-finally. For /y/, there is dialectal variation of [ʒ~j].

All five Mauwake vowels have a length contrast, although this contrast is found only in word-initial syllables. Berghäll analyzes long vowels as phonemically a sequence of two of the same vowel.
In Mauwake, consonant clusters are only found word-medially, and are only found in words that are trisyllabic or longer. According to Berghäll (2015: 41), clusters are usually the result of elision of an unstressed vowel, which can sometimes be perceived in careful pronunciations.

### 3.8.2 Mauwake verb morphology

Mauwake has a three-way distinction between past, present and future tense. Unlike some Tibor languages, Mauwake does not have aspeclual markers that precede the tense and subject markers. Instead, aspect is indicated by auxiliary verbs which follow the main verb.

Mauwake verb roots are inflected with tense- and subject-marking affixes. However, these are less fusional than most other Northern Adelbert languages, as they can be segmented into separate tense- and subject-marking morphemes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
<th>3PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>-a-m</td>
<td>-a-n</td>
<td>-a-k</td>
<td>-a-mik</td>
<td>-a-man</td>
<td>-a-mik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-e-m</td>
<td>-e-n</td>
<td>-e-k</td>
<td>-e-mik</td>
<td>-e-man</td>
<td>-e-mik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>-i-yem</td>
<td>-i-n</td>
<td>-i-ya</td>
<td>-i-mik</td>
<td>-i-man</td>
<td>-i-mik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>-i-nen</td>
<td>-i-nan</td>
<td>-i-non</td>
<td>-i-yen</td>
<td>-o-wen</td>
<td>-i-kuan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperative</td>
<td>-u (1dual)</td>
<td>-e/(-a)</td>
<td>-inok</td>
<td>-ikua</td>
<td>-eka/(-aka)</td>
<td>-uk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Past tense is indicated by the marker -e/-a. Which allomorph is used is determined by the verb's class. Verb class is largely, but not entirely, predictable from the phonological shape of the stem, and depends on both the last vowel and the final consonant of a stem. Non-past is indicated by the marker -i, and is used in present and future tenses. The set of subject markers used in past and present tense are same, with the exception of the 3SG, which has different forms -k and -ya for the past and present tenses, respectively. Future tense uses an entirely different set of subject-markers. Although the tense-

---

58 For more details, see Berghäll (2012: 47-49).
and subject-marking affixes are separate, the subject-markers for the future tense can be considered fusional in the sense that the subject-marker alone is enough to indicate the future tense, since they take a different form than subject markers used in past and present tense. The same can be said of the 3SG subject markers for past and present. Additionally, the non-past marker for the 2PL future is irregular -o.

Unlike most Northern Adelbert languages, Mauwake does not have object-marking prefixes. Rather, objects are expressed with pronouns that are independent words that precede the verb stem. However, Berghäll notes that the object pronouns often lose their final vowel, and seem to be undergoing cliticization (2015: 96).

Table 3.8.2a also illustrates the imperative markers for Mauwake. Unlike the imperatives presented for most other languages in this dissertation, different forms are given for each person/number combination, not just 2SG and 2PL. However, this may be simply because of a lack of data in other languages, as some Northern Adelbert languages have at least a 1PL imperative (or 'hortative') as well. Note that there is no 1SG imperative in Mauwake, but there is a contrast between first person dual and plural. The forms for the 2SG and 2PL usually have an initial vowel e, but this is irregularly a for a handful of verbs.

### 3.8.3 Morphophonological processes in Mauwake

Berghäll (2015: 25) states, 'Allophonic variation in Mauwake is limited, and there is not much morphophonological complexity either'. This is quite unlike the Tibor languages, where the relationship between the underlying and surface forms of inflected verbs is often obscured by morphophonemic processes. However, there are two morphophonemic processes in Mauwake that have parallels in the Tibor languages. These are the deletion of e following a, and e-rounding.
/a+e/ → a

In Mauwake, a sequence of /a+e/ sometimes results in the deletion of e. This does not apply universally throughout the language, but is usually triggered by the beneficiary suffix -a followed by any suffix beginning with e. This is illustrated in (382), where the initial e in the different subject marker -eya is deleted following the beneficiary suffix -a.

(383) /aaw-om-a-eya/ → aawomaya
get-BEN-BNFY2-2/3.DS
'get it for us, and...'

e-rounding

The past tense suffix -e rounds to o following a labial consonant, however, this is restricted to 2SG and 3SG persons, and does not apply for 1SG or plural person, as illustrated in (384-385).

Naturally, this also does not apply for verbs who take the past-tense marker -a.

(384) /aaw-e-k/ → aawok
get-PST-3SG
'She got it'

(385) /aaw-e-m/ → aawem
get-PST-1SG
'I got it'

3.9 Bepour

Table 3.8a presents the phoneme inventory of Bepour. This inventory is based off my own analysis of Z’graggen's Bepour wordlist (1980b) and recordings (1971b). Since the source of this information is, at most, a few individual speakers, it is not possible to know how representative their speech is of the language as a whole.
Table 3.8a: Bepour consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>glottal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/</td>
<td>/t/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/ʔ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td>/f/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/h/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td>/ɾ/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bepour generally lacks voiced stops, but a voiced bilabial is found in *burir* 'axe', which is an obvious loan, with identical form found in many Northern Adelbert languages. Bepour is notable for having no velar consonants, but instead a glottal stop and fricative, which are derived from PNA *g* and *k*, respectively.

### 3.10 Moere

Table 3.10a presents the Moere phoneme inventory, based off my own analysis of Z'graggen's wordlist (1980b) and recordings (1971b).

Table 3.10a: Moere consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
<th>glottal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/, /ʰp/</td>
<td>/t/, /ʰt/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/k/, /ʰk/</td>
<td>/ʔ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td>/f/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td>/ɾ/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moere has a distinction between voiceless and prenasalized voiceless stops. The latter are the reflexes of PNA voiced stops. Prenasalized voiceless stops are found both intervocally, and word-initially in words which historically had an initial vowel, for example *mpir* 'plate' < Proto-Kumil *ebir. The word-final allophone of /ʰk/ is a velar nasal. Some words also have a velar nasal word-medially, for example *fungum* 'hair' ([fuŋum]), and *mangen* 'right' ([maŋɛn]). I analyze this as an allophone.
of /ŋk/, since it only occurs when the following stop is a nasal. The glottal stop is only found in word-final position, and other stops are either rare or unattested word-finally.

59 Only one word, mengkem 'woman', does not follow this pattern, as a nasal is expected instead of a prenasalized stop.
4. Numugen languages

The six Numugen languages (Usan, Yaben, Karian, Parawen, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata), are spoken in a mountainous inland area surrounding the Numugen river, and are the southernmost Northern Adelbert languages. They are mostly surrounded by languages that do not belong to Northern Adelbert, but are likely more distantly related. Pal and Kobol are spoken to their east, and Mabuso languages are spoken to their southwest. The rough terrain of the Adelberts divides the Numugen languages from the coastal Northern Adelbert languages Barem, Malas and Gavak. A trip to the coast takes several days on foot.

Usan is the northernmost Numugen language, and the only one which borders another Northern Adelbert language outside the Numugen subgroup, with Mokati to its north. The largest Usan settlement is Wanuma, which is also the name that Z'graggen uses to refer to the language. Reesink (1987) estimated 1400 speakers of Usan at that time.

To the south of Usan is the closely related Yaben, with an estimated 700 speakers (Eberhard, Simons, & Fennig 2020).60 To the south of Yaben territory is Parawen, with an estimated 430 speakers in 1981, and to the northwest of this are the smaller areas of Yarawata and Ukuriguma, with estimated speaker populations of 130 and 170, respectively, in 2003 (Eberhard, Simons, & Fennig 2020). To the east of these, is Karian, the smallest Numugen language, which Ethnologue lists as having a speaker population of 30 in 2000 (Eberhard, Simons, & Fennig 2020). It is bordered by the Mabuso languages Mosimo and Wamas.

While Karian is somewhat geographically removed from Usan and Yaben, it seems to have a closer relationship with these two Numugen languages, as it shares more cognates with them. On the

---

60 The map in Z’graggen (1980b) also shows a small Yaben exclave on the other side of the mountains, closer to the coast. This is probably meant to represent the village of Yambarik, which is in fact inhabited by speakers of a different language, Yamben, that is similar in name only. Yamben is not a Northern Adelbert language, but is probably distantly related (Pick 2018).
other hand, there are numerous word sets with cognates only in Parawen, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata. Thus, these may form two subgroups within Numugen (see Chapter 10).

Below, I outline the basics of phonology and verbal morphology for Usan, Karian, and Yaben. The data on Parawen, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata is limited to the wordlist in Z'graggen (1980b), so a description of the verbal morphology of these language is not possible, and I present only a phoneme inventory and some brief observations on their phonologies.

4.1 Usan

Usan is the best documented of the Numugen languages. Reesink has produced an Usan grammar (1987) as well as a number of articles which focus on Usan, (Reesink 1981, 1983, 1993). Prior to Reesink's work, Z'graggen (1975, 1980b) were the only publications on Usan. There are also some Usan language materials produced by SIL, including Bible translations and children's books. Lexical data used in this dissertation come from Z'graggen (1980b), Reesink's Usan (1987) grammar, and a wordlist compiled by Reesink (n.d.). All information on Usan phonology and grammar presented here are summarized from Reesink (1987).

4.1.1 Usan phonemes

Tables 4.1.1a-b present the Usan phoneme inventory. Major allophones of each phoneme are discussed below. For information on morphophonological processes in Usan, see Chapter 2 of Reesink (1987).
Table 4.1.1a Usan vowel phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front</th>
<th>central</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>/i/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/u/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>/e/</td>
<td>/ə/ (&lt;â&gt;)</td>
<td>/o/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td>/a/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1.1b: Usan consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
<th>glottal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/, /b/, /m/b/</td>
<td>/tv/, /d/, /d/</td>
<td>/g/, /ŋ/</td>
<td>/ʔ/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td></td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

/b/ optionally lenites to a fricative intervocically, and /g/ optionally lenites to a fricative both intervocically and word-finally. /d/ is realized as an alveolar flap after a vowel, while [d] is found word-initially. Unlike lenition of /b/ and /g/, lenition of /d/ to a flap is not optional. Prenasalized voiced stops are not found word-initially. They contrast with plain voiced stops word-medially and word-finally. Reesink provides some evidence from morphological alternations that [g] and [d] should be analyzed as word initial allophones of /ŋg/ and /ŋd/, respectively, at least for some words. As I illustrate in Chapter 10, the regular reflex of Proto-Numugen voiced stops are plain voiced stops in Usan. It is not clear how the distinction between plain voiced and prenasalized voiced stops arose.

The glottal stop (a reflex of Proto-Numugen *k) is only found word initially, and is lost when a word with initial glottal stop is prefixed or found as the second element of a compound (Reesink 1987: 27).

Vowel sequences may be complex nuclei or separate syllables (Reesink 1987: 12). There is a contrast between long and short vowels, for example moon 'wind' vs 'mon' house. This is a result of a loss of medial *k, as in *makwan 'wind' > moon.
Reesink notes that the mid-central vowel-final \( \dot{a} \) is much less frequent than \( a \), and the functional load of the contrast between the two is low. However, there are clear minimal pairs, such as \textit{mani} 'snake' and \textit{mâni} 'yam'. For more on the development on the contrast between these two vowels, see Chapter 10.

I follow the orthography for Usan used by Reesink (1987), which uses the symbol \(<\dot{a}>\) for schwa and \(<q>\) for glottal stop.

### 4.1.2 Usan verbal morphology

The subject/tense markers for final Usan verbs are presented in Table 4.1.2. Forms in parentheses are used by certain verb classes instead of the standard forms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
<th>3PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>-oum</td>
<td>-ân</td>
<td>-( \dot{a} )</td>
<td>-oum ((=aum))</td>
<td>-oum ((=aum))</td>
<td>-our</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near Past</td>
<td>-umei</td>
<td>-anei</td>
<td>-ai</td>
<td>-umei ((=aun))</td>
<td>-umei ((=aun))</td>
<td>-aur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far Past(^61)</td>
<td>-(V)mei ((=amonei))</td>
<td>-(V)nei ((=amonei))</td>
<td>-(V)rei ((=amorei))</td>
<td>-(V)minei ((=amonei))</td>
<td>-(V)manei ((=amonei))</td>
<td>-(V)mirei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>-ib-(\dot{a}m)</td>
<td>-ib-(\dot{a}n)</td>
<td>-ib-(\dot{a})</td>
<td>-(ub)-oun</td>
<td>-(ub)-oum</td>
<td>-(ub)-our</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain Future</td>
<td>-(n)</td>
<td>-(nen)</td>
<td>-(ner)</td>
<td>-(n)</td>
<td>-(non)</td>
<td>-(nor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Usan has a distinction between present tense, near past (within the current day) and far past (before the current day). The future tense is used for events that are strongly expected to take place, while the uncertain future is used for events that are possible, but not strongly expected. Negated future events also employ the uncertain future affixes.

---

\(^{61}\) The initial vowel of the far past suffixes changes depending on verb class.
Usan verb classes

Reesink analyzes Usan verbs as falling into seven main conjugational classes (with a few smaller subclasses of irregular verbs). The initial vowel in the far past tense suffixes is either \(a\), \(e\), or \(o\), depending on the verb class, and a number of other affixes (not shown in Table 4.1.2a) also have somewhat different forms for verbs of different classes. Another difference between verb classes is that certain classes have multiple different stems that are related to each other according to different patterns. For example, the class 4 verb 'go up' has the stems \(ir\)- and \(iro\)-, and the class 6 verb 'hide' has the stems \(wabi\)- and \(wabim\)-, while the class 2 verb 'spear' has only one invariant stem, \(gum\)-. The division of verbs into different classes which have different patterns of stem formation is also seen in Pamosu (see Chapter 3). Some Karian and Yaben verbs also have alternating stems, although there is not enough information to define clear classes for these languages.

Reesink also notes that some verbs, including 'hit' and 'give', have different stems depending on the direct object of the verb. This is seen also in Karian and Yaben, as well as other Northern Adelbert languages.\(^6^2\)

4.2 Karian

The only previously published primary data on Karian comes from Z'graggen (1975, 1980b), who refers to the language as Bilakura. A 49-minute recording of a Karian elicitation session is available on PARADISEC (Z'graggen 1971b). Additional data comes from my own fieldwork with Karian speakers who now live in the Manep-speaking village of Malas, who I met with for a day each in 2017 and 2019. The Karian speakers I worked with come originally from the villages of Boia and Barto, which are a two to three day journey from Malas. These villages are no longer permanently

\(^6^2\) Reesink (1987:108) writes: 'wâb 'to shoot', wârâmb 'to hit', and utâb 'to give' change their stems considerably according to the person-number of their goals.' Unfortunately, he does not make clear what the forms of these stems are.
inhabited, although the speakers I met make periodic trips to these areas. The Boia and Barto varieties are quite similar, differing mainly in the reflex of PNA *k, which is a velar stop k in Boia, and a glottal stop in Barto. Both varieties have very few speakers, and Anton Ake, my main Karian consultant, is probably the only fluent speaker of the Boia variety. The analysis of Karian I present is based mainly on his speech. The Karian speaker in Z'graggen's (1971b) recording uses a variety that is closer to the Boia dialect, as he has k rather than glottal stop.

### 4.2.1 Karian phonemes

Tables 4.2.1a-b present the Karian phoneme inventory.

**Table 4.2.1a Karian vowel phonemes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front</th>
<th>central</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>/i/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/u/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td></td>
<td>/a/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>/a/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.2.1b: Karian consonant phonemes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
<th>glottal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>(/p/), /b/</td>
<td>/t/, /d/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/k/, /g/</td>
<td>(/ʔ/)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td>/ny/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td>/j/⁶³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>/l/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The phoneme inventories of the Boia and Barto varieties are slightly different, as Boia has /k/ where Barto has /ʔ/. /p/ is a loan phoneme found in a small number of words, such as *papur* 'rotten'.

In Karian, voiced stops are typically realized without prenasalization. However, nasal-stop sequences are found in some morphemes, including several which mark a direct object in some way. This includes object-marking prefixes, such as *imb* - 'PL.IDO', as well as verb stems for 'give', in which

⁶³ While I have listed /j/ as a palatal fricative in Table 4.2.1b, phonetically it seems to be closest to a postalveolar fricative [ʒ].
the person/number of the direct object is included in the meaning, for example *aind- ‘give to 2PL’. I do
not analyze these as prenasalized-voiced phonemes, as Reesink (1987) does for Usan, but rather as
nasal-stop sequences, since in Karian there is little reason to consider them any different from nasal-
stop sequences which occur across morpheme boundaries, as in /worum+ba/ > worumba 'kill-SS', for
example.

Voiced alveolar stops are in complementary distribution with an alveolar tap \( r \), as a result of a
change from *d \( > r \) after a vowel (see Chapter 10). Therefore \( d \) and \( r \) are analyzed as allophones of /d/.

The palatals \( j \) and \( ny \) contrast with their alveolar counterparts, for example gunyari 'black
cockatoo' and unar 'mother', and juwur 'thigh' and durun 'root'. However, \( j \) and \( ny \) are often realizations
of underlying /d/ and /n/, which palatalize before \( i \) (see morphonology section below). In some words,
it is clear that a historic *i triggered palatalization and was later lost, as in *niaw 'breast' > nyuə, or
*dibur 'shin' > juwur, which has undergone a sporadic change of *i to \( u \) in the first syllable.

The alveolar fricative /s/ is in near complementary distribution with /t/ and /k/, as /s/ almost
always appears adjacent to a high front vowel, while /t/ and /k/ do not. This is the result of a change of
*t and *k to s in this environment. However, there are a small number of words which break this
pattern, such as sukwa- 'to cut' and kiki 'crooked', resulting in a phonemic contrast between /s/ and /t/
and /k/.\(^{64}\)

The four-vowel system found in Karian (as well as the other Numugen languages described
below) is atypical of a Northern Adelbert language, which, other than the Numugen languages, all have
five-vowel systems. Although Karian lacks phonemic /e/ and /o/, these vowels are found as allophones
of /a/ in surface forms (see below). /a/ sometimes reduces to [ə] in rapid speech.

\(^{64}\) sukwa- is from Proto-Numugen *kikwa-, with a regular change of *k > s_i, followed by a sporadic change of *i > u. The
origin of kiki 'crooked' is not know, and there are no cognates in the other Numugen languages.
4.2.2 Morphophonological processes in Karian

Palatalization

The alveolars /d/ and /n/ become palatals j and ny before i. This is illustrated in (401-402), where the past tense suffix -i triggers palatalization of a final alveolar on the preceding subject marker. Compare this with the present tense conjugations in (403-404), where the final alveolars on the subject markers are followed by /a/, which does not trigger palatalization.

(401) /sukwa-min-i/ → sukwaminyi
  cut-1PL.NFUT-PST 'we cut it'

(402) /sukwa-ad-i/ → sukwaji
  cut-3SG.NFUT-PST 'he cut it'

(403) /sukwa-aku-min/ → sukwokumin
  cut-PRG-1PL.NFUT 'we’re cutting it'

(404) /sukwa-ak-ad/ → sukwokar
  cut-PRG-3SG.NFUT 'he’s cutting it'

/a/ → o/w_

Underlying /a/ can be realized as o following w.

(405) /igw-ak-an/ → igwokan
  be-PRG-1PL 'you are'

/a/ → e/_i

Underlying /a/ usually fronts and raises to e before a high front vowel. This occurs both at morpheme boundaries, as in (406), and within a word, as in /tai/ 'short' → tei.

---

65 The voiceless stop t is never found before i, and it is clear that historical *t > s/_i. However, there is no evidence for palatalization of t > s as a synchronic rule.
Final vowel epenthesis

According to Z’graggen’s recordings and transcripts\(^{66}\) of Karian and other Numugen languages, speakers commonly add an epenthetic final vowel to content words (at least in the context of the recorded elicitation sessions). The Karian speaker in his recordings sometimes adds an epenthetic \(u\) or schwa to elicited nouns, as in /nuam/ 'tree' \(\rightarrow\) \(nuam~nuamu\). The Karian speakers I worked with also sometimes added a final epenthetic \(u\) or schwa, but much less frequently than the speaker in Z’graggen’s recordings.

4.2.3 Karian verb morphology

Table 4.2.3a illustrates tense-and subject marking morphology for the Boia dialect of Karian. Some suffixes have two forms, one with initial \(a\), and one with initial \(ə\). Which suffix is used appears to depend on the verb stem, but more research is needed on this.

Table 4.2.3a: Karian (Boia) TAM markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
<th>3PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>-m</td>
<td>-an</td>
<td>-ar</td>
<td>-min</td>
<td>-man</td>
<td>-mir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>-m-i</td>
<td>-anj-i</td>
<td>-arj-i</td>
<td>-miny-i</td>
<td>-many-i</td>
<td>-mij-i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Prog</td>
<td>-aku-m</td>
<td>-ak-an</td>
<td>-ak-ar</td>
<td>-aku-min</td>
<td>-aku-man</td>
<td>-aku-mir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future I</td>
<td>-ivin</td>
<td>-uvun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future II</td>
<td>-in</td>
<td>-inan~inar</td>
<td>-un</td>
<td>-unun</td>
<td>-unar~unar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>-Ø</td>
<td>-ar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{66}\) These final vowels are most common in isolated elicited forms in the recordings, and less common in running speech. Even for the languages for which Z’graggen’s recordings are not available, it is clear that there is variation in this final vowel, as it is often transcribed in parenthesis by Z’graggen, indicating it is only sometimes present.
Karian past and present tenses

Unlike its close relative Usan, Karian does not appear to make a tense distinction between recent past and remote past. Nor does it distinguish between hodiernal tense and past tense, as languages such as Pamosu and Barem do. Instead, it has a tense system more like Mauwake, with a distinction between past and present, where the past tense is used for an event at any time in the past. This is illustrated by the pair of sentences in (407-408), where sukwa-mi 'I cut' has the same form when referring to an event the previous day, or on the morning of the current day.

(407) bəbəlimot nuam sukwa-m-i
    morning 2SG    tree    chop-1SG.NFUT-PST
    'I chopped down a tree in the morning.'

(408) balima nuam sukwa-m-i
    yesterday tree    chop-1SG.NFUT-PST
    'I chopped down a tree yesterday.'

Karian is also similar to Mauwake in that the subject and tense markers are somewhat less fusional than other Northern Adelbert languages. The Karian past tense conjugations are formed by adding an additional suffix -i to the present tense conjugation. Compare sikamin 'we come up' in (409) with the past tense form sikaminyi 'we came up' in (410). The present tense endings can therefore be analyzed as marking the person/number of the subject, as well as indicating non-future. Past tense is specified with the addition of the past tense marker -i.

(409) in sika-min
    1PL    come_up-1PL.NFUT
    'We come.'

(410) in sika-miny-i
    1PL    come_up-1PL.NFUT-PST
    'We came.'

67 This term, and its cognates in other Numugen languages, means both 'tomorrow' and 'yesterday.'
Karian future tense

Karian is more similar to Usan when it comes to the future tense. The future tense markers are completely fusional, as it is not possible to further segment them into subject markers and future tense markers. Like Usan, Karian has two sets of future tense endings. The set labeled Future I in Table 4.2.3a is related to Usan's uncertain future markers, while the set labeled Future II is related to Usan's (regular) future tense markers (see Chapter 10). It is not clear if the distinction is Karian is also one of future vs. uncertain future. However, negated future events in Karian always use the Future I set, followed by the affix -i, as illustrated in (411). This suggests that Future I is equivalent to Usan's uncertain future, since negated future events in Usan use the uncertain future affixes, followed by -ei (see Chapter 10).

(411) yə nənab mə sikar-iny-i
1SG 2SG.COM NEG come_up-1SG.FUT-NEG
'I won't come up with you.'

Karian present progressive

The present progressive is formed by suffixing the present tense markers -aku (before a consonant) and -ak (before a vowel) to the verb root, followed by the subject marker.

(412) argia ij-ak-ar
where go-PRG-3SG.NFUT
'Where is she going?'

(413) inyina munyai amor ij-aku-min
1PL house inside go-PRG-1PL.NFUT
'We're going inside the house'

Karian imperatives

There is no overt marker for the 2SG imperative. The form of the 2SG imperative is the same as the bare verb root\(^\text{68}\).

---

\(^{68}\) Or rather, it is the same as one of the bare verb roots, since many Karian verbs have multiple roots, as discussed below.
The 2PL imperative is formed with the suffix -ar.

Karian switch reference

Karian has a system of switch reference whereby a verb is inflected with a marker indicating whether the verb's subject and the subject of the following clause have the same referent or a different referent. Three switch reference markers have been identified in Karian: same subject marker -bə, and two different subject markers -arə and -inarə. The switch reference markers only occur on medial verbs, while the TAM markers in Table 4.2.3a only occur on final verbs.

The different subject marker -inarə is used when the medial verb has a first person subject (singular or plural), and the subject of the following verb is different, as in (417).

(417) balima yə tətəria worum-inarə na ka yam-əj-i
last 1SG chicken kill-1.DS mother just cook-3SG.NFUT-PST
'Yesterday I killed a chicken and mother cooked it.'

The different subject marker -arə is used with both second and third person subjects, as in (418) and (419).

(418) balima na ka tətəria worum-arə bainda ka yam-əj-i
last mother just chicken kill-2/3.DS sister just cook-3SG.NFUT-PST
'Yesterday mother killed a chicken and sister cooked it.'

(419) balima nə tətəria worum-arə na ka yam-əj-i
last 2SG chicken kill-2/3.DS mother just cook-3SG.NFUT-PST
'Yesterday you killed a chicken and mother cooked it.'
When there is no change in subject between clauses, the same subject marker -bə is suffixed to the medial verb. -bə is used with subjects of every person and number.

(420) balima tətəria worum-bə yam-miny-i
yesterday chicken kill-SS cook-1PL.NFUT-PST
'Yesterday we killed and cooked a chicken.'

(421) balima na ka tətəria worum-bə yam-əj-i
yesterday mother just chicken kill-SS cook-3SG.NFUT-PST
'Yesterday mother killed a chicken and cooked it.'

Usan switch reference markers follow a similar pattern. There is one same subject marker used for first, second, and third person subjects, and different subject markers are divided into first person and second/third person markers. However, the Usan different subject markers also distinguish singular and plural (Reesink 1987). Furthermore, there are also different forms for switch reference markers used with future tense. It is not clear if this distinction exists in Karian, as all the data on switch reference comes from past tense conjugations.

**Karian verbs with multiple stems**

A number of Karian verbs have multiple stems, with different stems being used with different affixes. For example, 'to be' has the stems igwad-, used for future tense and imperative, and igw-, used with other tenses. For 'to call', a- is used for past tense, and al- is used for all other conjugations. This is similar to the verb classes seen in Usan (see above). There is not enough data on verb paradigms in Karian to develop a clear picture of verb classes, but it is clear that different verbs pattern in different ways with regard to how the verb stems are formed, and which endings each stem pairs with.

---

69 See Reesink (1987) for a detailed description of Usan switch reference. It is not clear from the available data on other Numugen languages if they have switch reference systems as well.
As in Usan (and other Northern Adelbert languages), Karian also has some verbs that use different stems depending on the person and number of the direct object. Three such verbs have been identified: 'to see', 'to give', and 'to hit'.

There are six roots for 'to see', one for each person/number combination. These are listed in Table 4.2.3b and illustrated in the examples below.

Table 4.2.3b: Karian verb stems for 'to see'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person/Number</th>
<th>Stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>yəga-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>nəga-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>ga-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>inyimbəga-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>animbəga-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>ivəga-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(422) yə ivəga-m-i
1SG see_3PL-1SG.NFUT-PST
'I saw them'

(423) yə buə nəga-ivin
1SG again see_2SG-SG.FUT
'I'll see you again'

(424) yə an animbəga-m-i
1SG 2PL see_2PL-1SG.NFUT-PST
'I saw you'

The first syllable of some of the verb stems for 'to see' resembles the pronoun for the corresponding person/number of the object. For example, the first syllable in yəga- 'see 1SG' is the same as the 1SG pronoun yə, and an '2PL' is the same as the first syllable in animbəga- 'see 2PL'. However, it is clear that the verb stems for 'to see' are not simply pronouns cliticized onto a shorter stem, as the pronoun referring to the object can co-occur with the stem, as in (424) above.

The verb 'to give' similarly has six different stems, shown in Table 4.2.3c and illustrated in the examples below.

---

70 Unlike some other Northern Adelbert languages, Karian has a single set of pronouns for subjects and objects.
Table 4.2.3c: Karian verb stems for 'to give'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb Stem</th>
<th>NFUT</th>
<th>FUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'give 1SG'</td>
<td>is-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'give 2SG'</td>
<td>nət-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'give 3SG'</td>
<td>ut-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'give 1PL'</td>
<td>ind-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'give 2PL'</td>
<td>aind-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'give 3PL'</td>
<td>ir-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(425) matakur mayə mayə ut-əny-i?
coconut how_many give_3SG-2SG.NFUT-PST
'How many coconuts did you give him?'

(426) yuan ind-aj-i
betel nut give_1PL-3SG.NFUT-PST
'She gave us betel nut'

The verb 'to hit' is even more elaborate, as it has two separate stems for each person/number combination— one for future, and another for non-future conjugations. The full set of verb stems for 'to hit' is listed in Table 4.2.3d

Table 4.2.3d: Karian verb stems for 'to hit'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb Stem</th>
<th>NFUT</th>
<th>FUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'hit 1SG'</td>
<td>yən-</td>
<td>yənal-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'hit 2SG'</td>
<td>nən-</td>
<td>nənal-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'hit 3SG'</td>
<td>w-</td>
<td>wəl-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'hit 1PL'</td>
<td>iningg-</td>
<td>ininggal-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'hit 2PL'</td>
<td>aningg-</td>
<td>aninggal-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'hit 3PL'</td>
<td>ig-</td>
<td>igal-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Yaben

The only published primary data on Yaben is Z'graggen (1975, 1980b). Recordings of Yaben elicitation sessions are available on PARADISEC (Z'graggen 1971b), and provide additional lexical data and verb paradigms. My description of Yaben phonology and verb morphology is based primarily on these recordings.
The speaker in Z'graggen (1971a) lists the names Kurukuguran and Kamiarum as the only two places that speak his particular language variety, and lists the place names Abia, Ilima 'uman, Balətə, and Magila71 as communities whose speech is different from his own dialect, but completely intelligible to everyone in his community.

### 4.3.1 Yaben phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4.3.1a Yaben vowel phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4.3.1b Yaben consonant phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>labial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The velar stop /k/ is often realized as a glottal stop, or even deleted entirely. The velar and glottal stop allophones seem to be in free variation, and some words are recorded with both allophones, for example /kuman/ 'nape' → kuman~'uman. Intervocally, /k/ is nearly always realized as a glottal stop. /k/ does not appear word-finally.

There does not appear to be a phonemic distinction between voiced and prenasalized voiced stops, as these are in variation. For example, yagu 'this' has been recorded as [ʒagu~ʒaŋgu]. This variation is present even in careful pronunciations.

---

71 According to Reesink (1987), the language of Magila village is mutually intelligible with Usan. Most Magilan men speak fluent Usan, but less Usan men speak Magilan.
/t/ and /s/ are in near complementary distribution, as /t/ never appears before /i/, and almost all instance of /s/ are before /i/. However, there are a few words where /s/ occurs before other vowels, like *sa’il- 'split'.

The realization of intervocalic /b/ varies between a stop and a fricative [β] or glide [w]. This leads to potential ambiguity between /w/ and /b/ in this position. However, intervocalic /w/ is never realized as a stop. Word-initially and -finally, /b/ is usually voiced, but is sometimes devoiced, even when followed by an epenthetic vowel, as in /imap/ 'bowstring' → [imapu].

Yaben has clearly maintained a distinction between *d and *r, unlike Usan and Karian. Also unlike Karian, palatalization of /d/ does not apply synchronically in Yaben. However, it did apply historically, as in Proto-Numugen *tadi → taji. The realization of the palatal fricative varies between alveolar and palatal.

As in many other Northern Adelbert languages, glides have both fricative and glide allophones. It is not clear what conditions the use of each allophone.

/a/ and /ə/ clearly contrast in word-final position, as there are minimal pairs, for example na ‘mother’ and nə ‘2SG’, and ya ‘who’ and yə ‘1SG’. However, in some words, there is variation between a~ə when followed by a consonant, for example nəəlu~nanalu ‘2SG.POSS’. In careful pronunciations, a is more common. /a/ also has the allophone [e] before /i/, as in /tai/ ‘short’ → [tei].

Word-final /ai/ may also coalesce into [e].

In fast speech, word-final /ə/ is usually realized as [e] when the following word begins with /i/, for example /yə#igumi/ ‘I hear’ → [yeigumi].
Epenthetic final vowel

An epenthetic final $u$ is sometimes added to consonant-final content words, but this does not apply consistently. For example, /awan/ 'white' has been recorded as awan~awanu, and /gugum/ 'all' as gugum~gugumu. Epenthetic final vowels are not added to vowel-final words.

4.3.2 Yaben verbal morphology

Table 4.3.2a present Yaben TAM-marking morphology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
<th>3PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>-m</td>
<td>-an</td>
<td>-ad</td>
<td>-min</td>
<td>-man</td>
<td>-mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>-m-i</td>
<td>-an-i</td>
<td>-ad-i</td>
<td>-min-i</td>
<td>-man-i</td>
<td>-mid-i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Prog$^{73}$</td>
<td>-a'um</td>
<td>-a'ad</td>
<td>-a'umin</td>
<td>-a'umid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>-in</td>
<td>-inan</td>
<td>-inəd</td>
<td>-un</td>
<td>-unan</td>
<td>-unəd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>-Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Yaben TAM markers are very similar to the Karian TAM markers, both in form and function. A major difference is that only one set of future tense endings is attested for Yaben. Like Karian, Yaben forms the past tense by suffixing -i to the present tense conjugations, as illustrated by the pair of examples in (427-428). As with Karian, I analyze the present tense endings as nonfuture/subject markers.$^{74}$

(427) $wə$ iju-ad
3SG go-3SG.NFUT
'He goes'

$^{72}$ The TAM markers presented here should be considered tentative, as they are based on limited data. This is especially true of the present progressive forms, which are found only a few times in the Yaben recordings.

$^{73}$ Conjugations in the 2SG and 2PL present progressive are not found in Z’graggen’s Yaben recordings.

$^{74}$ For both Karian and Yaben, it is not clear if the nonfuture/subject markers can felicitously be used for a past event without the addition of the past-tense marker -i. Since the analysis of these suffixes is based on elicited sentences with little context, it is not possible to define their precise semantics. It could also be possible that what I call the past tense marker is actually a perfective marker, for example.
(428) balima wə iju-ad-i
    yesterday 3SG go-3SG.NFUT-PST
    'He went'

4.4 Parawen

The Parawen phoneme inventory is presented in Tables 4.4.a-b.

<p>| Table 4.4a Parawen vowel phonemes |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>front</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>/i/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4b: Parawen consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/b/</td>
<td>/t/, /d/</td>
<td>/k/, /g/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

/b/ devoices word-finally, including before an epenthetic final vowel. /t/ is realized as a fricative [s] adjacent to i. While Karian and Yaben have at least at handful of words that illustrate a phonemic distinction between /t/ and /s/, there is no clear case for phonemic /s/ in Parawen.

/d/ has allophones [d] and [r], with the liquid allophone found postvocically.

Parawen, along with its sister language Yarawata, has the smallest vowel phoneme inventory of any Northern Adelbert language, with only three vowels.

Most Parawen consonant-final words are recorded with a final vowel added. This epenthetic vowel is usually a, as in *ginam 'village' > ginama, and *binat 'heavy > binata. However, if the preceding vowel is u, then the final epenthetic vowel is u, as in *gugum 'cold' > gugumu, and *gun 'louse' > gunu. Although most of Z'graggen's transcriptions have this final vowel, some words are recorded without a final vowel added, as in ilam 'belly' < *ilam, or with variation, as in unim(a) 'name' < *unim.
4.5 Ukuriguma

Table 4.5a Ukuriguma vowel phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>/i/</td>
<td>/u/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td></td>
<td>/o/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td>/a/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5b: Ukuriguma consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/b/</td>
<td>/t/, /d/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/k/, /g/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td>/ɾ/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Ukuriguma /b/ devoices word-finally. As in Parawen, [s] appears as an allophone of /t/ adjacent to a high front vowel.

Ukuriguma has a vowel phoneme inventory that is unique among the Northern Adelbert languages, which is the result of an unconditioned change of *e to o (see Chapter 10). Unlike Parawen and Yarawata, there are not usually epenthetic final vowels in Ukuriguma.

4.6 Yarawata

Table 4.6a Yarawata vowel phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>/i/</td>
<td>/u/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td>/a/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.6b: Yarawata consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/b/</td>
<td>/t/, /d/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/k/, /g/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td>/ɾ/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Yarawata /b/ sometimes devoices word-finally, including when followed by an epenthetic final vowel. As in Parawen, [s] appears is an allophone of /t/ adjacent to a high front vowel.

Like Yaben and Parawen, Yarawata adds an epenthetic final vowel. This final vowel is usually a, as in *guan 'skin' > guana and *ilam 'belly' > ilama. If the preceding vowel is u, the epenthetic vowel can be a, as in *gugum 'cold' > guguma, but can also be u, as in *kum 'brain' > kumu. This is similar to the pattern seen in Parawen. In Yarawata, unlike Yaben and Parawen, final vowel epenthesis is not restricted to consonant final-words, but applies to vowel final words as well, as in *ununu 'dirty' > ununua, and *ibi 'feces' > ibia. As with Parawen, in Z'graggen's transcriptions there is sometimes no final vowel, or variation is recorded, as in *gun 'louse' > gun, and *unim 'name' > unim(a).
5. Kaukombar languages

The Kaukombar languages are the northernmost Northern Adelbert languages, spoken in coastal and inland areas to the northwest of the Kumil languages. The Kaukombar subgroup is a dialect continuum, and different authors have grouped Kaukombar varieties into different languages along different lines. Capell (1962) and Schebesta (1940) make no clear distinction between language and dialect in the group. Z'graggen divides the Kaukombar varieties into four languages on the basis of lexical similarity (or dissimilarity). He assigns these languages the names Pay, Pila, Saki and Tani, based off the word for 'word, speech' (1971a: 47) in each variety. May & Loeweke (1982a, 1982b) identify four Kaukombar languages: Miani, Maiani, Mala, and Maia. These names come from the word for 'what' in each variety, and are the labels that speakers themselves use. May & Loweke do not state how they arrived at this classification into four languages, but presumably they are simply following the labels used by speakers. However, this division is somewhat arbitrary, as it simply reflects speakers' practice of referring to their language by the word for "what", which is not an objective measure of similarity. Two varieties could have the same word for "what", but have other important differences. On the other hand, two varieties could have different words for "what", but otherwise be quite similar. As a case in point, Maia and Mala only have different words for "what" by virtue of Mala having undergone a conditioned sound change of intervocalic *y > l/ (Proto-Kaukombar *maya > mala).

According to May & Loweke (1982a, 1982b), Z'graggen's Pila and Saki are equivalent to inland and coastal varieties of Maia, and Z'graggen's Tani corresponds with two different languages, Miani and Maiani. Table 5a shows the correspondence that May & Loeweke give for Z'graggen's labels and their own.
Comparison of Z'graggen’s recordings and wordlists with the data in May & Loeweke (1982a, 1982b) make it clear that the variety Z’graggen calls Pay is in fact equivalent to the variety that May and Loeweke call Mala. It is also clear that Z’graggen's (1980b) Tani wordlist is based off of a speaker of Miani.\(^75\)

However, the Kaukombar varieties that Z’graggen labels as Pila and Saki are not quite equivalent to the variety called Maia in SIL manuscripts. First of all, Z’graggen's Pila and Saki wordlists have words with initial *k* which correspond with no initial consonant in the forms found in Hardin et al’s (2007) Maia dictionary (as well as other SIL manuscripts), which is based on the speech of Wagedav village. For example, the words for 'mosquito' are Pila *kasi*, Saki *kasi*, and Maia *asi*. Second, Hardin's (2002) list of Maia-speaking villages largely corresponds with Z’graggen's (1975) list of Saki-speaking villages, but includes none of the names in his list of Pila-speaking villages. This suggests that Z’graggen's Saki and Hardin's Maia more or less overlap, but it is unclear whether the Pila villages are part of the same speech community.

---

\(^75\) Z’graggen's (1971b) recordings include sessions with a Maiani speaker as well, but this variety is not included in any of his published (1980b) wordlists. The consultant in these recording is from Simbine village, which May (1994) lists as one of the main Maiani-speaking villages. Miani and Maiani are lexically very similar, but easily distinguishable, since Maiani has no voicing distinction in the stops, while Miani does. Since the published wordlist is clearly from a Miani speaker, but a Maiani speaker is found in the archived recordings, it is clear that Z’graggen worked with speakers of both varieties. He apparently deemed them sufficiently similar to include both under the label "Tani".
Although Saki and Pila are both considered to be "Maia" by May & Loewke (1982a, 1982b), they are just as distinct from each other as they are from any of the other Kaukombar varieties, at least according to Z'graggen's (1971a) lexicostatistical analysis of the Kaukombar varieties. He finds that 58% of the items on his wordlists for Pila (AKA coastal Maia) and Saki (AKA inland Maia) appear to be related to each other. This does not indicate a particularly closer resemblance between these two varieties as compared to others, since the percentages calculated for other pairings are in roughly the same range, as shown in Table 5b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pairing</th>
<th>Lexicostatistical Similarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pay-Pila (Mala-coastal Maia)</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay-Saki (Mala-inland Maia)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay-Tani (Mala-Miani)</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pila-Saki (coastal Maia-inland Maia)</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pila-Tani (coastal Maia-Miani)</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saki-Tani (inland Maia-Miani)</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Despite the lexical differences between Saki, Pila, and Wagedav Maia, their phonologies are for the most part quite similar. However, in my reconstruction of Proto-Kaukombar (Chapter 11), I consider Z'graggen's Saki and Pila data separately from the Maia data in Hardin (2002) and other SIL works. The most important reason for this is that Saki and Pila retain reflexes of word-initial PNA *k, while the Maia variety recorded by Hardin usually does not. Table 5c summarizes the sources I have consulted for the following descriptions Kaukombar languages, and the labels used for that variety in each source.
Table 5c: Sources of Kaukombar lexical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Sources of data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maia (Pila)</td>
<td>Z'graggen (1980b) (&quot;Pila&quot; wordlist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maia (Saki)</td>
<td>Z'graggen (1980b) (&quot;Saki&quot; wordlist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miani</td>
<td>Z'graggen (1980b) (&quot;Tani&quot; wordlist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May &amp; Loeweke (1982a-b), May (1994b), &quot;Miani&quot; (1975), Capell (1952) (&quot;Banar&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maiani</td>
<td>Z'graggen (1971b) (&quot;Tani&quot; recordings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May &amp; Loeweke (1982a-b), May (1994a), &quot;Maiani&quot; (1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mala</td>
<td>Z'graggen (1980b) (&quot;Pay&quot; wordlist), May &amp; Loeweke (1982a-b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1 Maia

In this section I cover all three varieties which fall under the label "Maia". Where necessary, I distinguish between Maia (Pila), Maia (Saki), and Maia (Wagedav) (the variety found in Hardin 2002).

5.1.1 Maia phonology

Table 5.1.1a presents the Maia consonant phoneme inventory, which is the same for all three varieties. All Kaukombar varieties have the same five vowel system /i, u, e, o, a/.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5.1a: Maia consonant phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>labial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As mentioned above, Saki and Pila often have word-initial k where Wagedav does not. However, k is found word-initially in some Wagedav words, such as kabu 'short' (Pila koambu).
In the Wagedav variety, voiced stops do not have prenasalization. For Pila and Saki, there appears to be variation between plain voiced and prenasalized voice stops, as Z'graggen transcribes both, but these do not correspond between the dialects in any regular way. Prenasalization is more common in his Pila transcriptions.

May and Loewke (1982a) include alveopalatal and labiovelar fricatives in their phoneme inventories of the Kaukombar languages. I have replaced these with glides /w/ and /y/ in the phoneme inventories I present for these languages.

Hardin outlines several morphophonological processes in Maia, some of which are general processes, and some of which are specific to particular morphemes. One salient process is the assimilation of morpheme-final /e/ to the following vowel within the same word.

\[(501) \quad /dame+mi/ \rightarrow damimi\]

\[\text{hear}+1\text{SG.PST}\]

'I heard'

Assimilation does not apply within a morpheme, as in /egan/ → egan 'path'.

### 5.1.2 Maia verb morphology

Hardin (2002) analyzes the final suffixes on Maia verbs as fusional affixes marking subject and realis or irrealis mood, rather than subject and tense as in most other Northern Adelbert languages. The subject/mood markers are shown in Table 5.1.2a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
<th>3PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>R</strong></td>
<td>-mo</td>
<td>-ia</td>
<td>-a</td>
<td>-mi</td>
<td>-me</td>
<td>-mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRR</strong></td>
<td>-io</td>
<td>-ini</td>
<td>-ido</td>
<td>-arav</td>
<td>-iwe</td>
<td>-ito</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

76 There are also imperative and desiderative mood markers, not listed in Table 5.1.2a.
According to Hardin, Maia verbs are also marked for aspect, with aspectual suffixes following the verb stem and preceding the subject/mood markers. Aspect markers include a null perfective marker -Ø, imperfective -gV, and prospective -sV. An example of a verb marked for realis mood and perfective aspect illustrated in (502), and realis mood with imperfective aspect is illustrated in (503).

(502) \textit{yag}=ra \textit{gadi-Ø-mi}
\text{water}=\text{LOC} \text{come\_down-PFV-1PL.R}
\text{we came down to the water'}

(503) \textit{gete-g-a}
\text{wait-IPFV-3SG.R}
\text{she was waiting'}

Verbs marked for realis mood and prospective aspect indicate future events that are expected to occur.

(504) \textit{irebue-su-a}^78
\text{come\_PROS-3SG.R}
\text{she was waiting'}

5.2 Maiani

5.2.1 Maiani phonology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5.2.1a: Maiani consonant phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>labial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

77 The vowel in imperfective -gV, and prospective -sV copies the quality of the following vowel.
78 Prospective -sV is irregularly -su before the 3SG.R affix.
Maiani is one of the few Northern Adelbert languages which has only a single (voiceless) stop series. May and Loeweke (1982a) note that the velar nasal phoneme is rare, only found in a few words and names.

\[ t \rightarrow s/V[+\text{high}] \_ \]

Morpheme-final /t/ is realized as s before high vowels, as in (505), and as t before non-high vowels, as in (506).

(505) /it-ikemo/ → isikemo
    bathe-1SG.PRS
    'I bathe'

When /t/ is followed by any other vowel, it is realized as a stop.

(506) /it-omo/ → itomo
    bathe-1SG.PST
    'I bathed'

Lenition of /t/ is not triggered by following /i/ within the same morpheme, as in /uti/ → uti 'banana'.

5.2.2 Maiani verbal phonology

Table 5.2.1a illustrates the Maiani subject/tense verb suffixes, as analyzed by May and Loeweke (1982b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
<th>3PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PST</td>
<td>-(i)kemo</td>
<td>-(i)ki</td>
<td>-(i)ke</td>
<td>-(i)kemi</td>
<td>-(i)kemet</td>
<td>-(i)kemot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS</td>
<td>-amo</td>
<td>-aro</td>
<td>-at</td>
<td>-ami</td>
<td>-amet</td>
<td>-amot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUT</td>
<td>-emo</td>
<td>-ia</td>
<td>-a</td>
<td>-emi</td>
<td>-emet</td>
<td>-emot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

May and Loeweke (1982b) analyze Maiani, Miani, and Mala as having a distinction between past, present, and future tense. The Maiani past tense affixes have initial -i when suffixed to consonant-final verb roots, which May & Loeweke (1982b) analyze as an epenthetic vowel. These epenthetic
vowels are also seen in the Miani and Mala subject/tense endings. The forms for the past tense and present tense suffixes are cognate with the Maia perfective+realis and imperfective+realis, respectively, and the future tense ending are possibly cognate with the Maia prospective+realis (see Chapter 11). It therefore seems possible that Miani, Maiani, and Mala could be analyzed along the same lines as Maia, with verb suffixes marking aspect and mood, rather than tense. At present, there is insufficient data on these languages to meaningfully compare the merits of either analysis.

The distinction between past, present, and future tense in Maiani is illustrated with (507-509) below.

(507) /ituw-kemo/ → ituwikemo
    go-1SG.PST
    'I went'

(508) /ituw-amo/ → ituwamo
    go-1SG.PRS
    'I go'

(509) /ituw-emo/ → ituwemo
    go-1SG.FUT
    'I will go'

5.3 Miani

5.3.1 Miani phonology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/, /b/</td>
<td>/t/, /d/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/k/, /g/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td>/ɾ/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
<td></td>
<td>/y/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Miani voiced stops are realized without prenasalization.
\[ t \rightarrow t^s \]

As in Maiani, \( t \) and \( s \) alternate, depending on the quality of the following vowel as illustrated by the pair of verbs below.

(510) \[ /it-\text{egemo}/ \rightarrow isegemo \]
\begin{itemize}
  \item bathe-1SG.PRS
  \item 'I bathe'
\end{itemize}

(511) \[ /it-\text{amo}/ \rightarrow itamo \]
\begin{itemize}
  \item bathe-1SG.PST
  \item 'I bathed'
\end{itemize}

May and Loeweke (1982a) claim that the \( t \) allomorph occurs before low vowel \( /a/ \), while \( s \) occurs before mid and high vowels. However, they present some alternations where \( t \) is found before \( o \)-initial affixes. It is more likely that front vowels or high vowels, rather than non-high vowels, trigger lenition of \( t \) to \( s \), but this is not clear from the data in May and Loweke (1982a, 1982b)

### 5.3.2 Miani verb morphology

Table 5.3.2a illustrates the Miani subject/tense markers. These forms closely resemble the Maiani subject/tense markers, and they pattern in the same way. The initial vowel of the past tense suffixes only appears when attached to consonant-final stems. Whereas in Maiani this vowel is always \( i \), in Miani it mirrors the quality of the following vowel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
<th>3PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PST</td>
<td>-(e)gemo</td>
<td>-(i)gia</td>
<td>-(e)gea</td>
<td>-(i)gimi</td>
<td>-(e)geme</td>
<td>-(e)gemod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS</td>
<td>-amo</td>
<td>-a</td>
<td>-an</td>
<td>-ami</td>
<td>-ame</td>
<td>-amod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUT</td>
<td>-emo</td>
<td>-ia</td>
<td>-ea</td>
<td>-imi</td>
<td>-eme</td>
<td>-emod</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4 Mala

5.4.1 Mala phonology

My phoneme inventory for Mala differs from that proposed by May and Loeweke (1982a) in a few ways. First, they include an alveolar fricative /s/, which I analyze as an allophone of /t/. They state that /s/ and /t/ are in complementary distribution prevocally, with /s/ occurring before high vowels, and /t/ occurring before low and mid vowels. They claim /s/ contrasts with /t/ only word-finally. However, the examples they give for word-final /s/ are in fact all verb roots, which are obligatorily suffixed. In this position, a root-final /t/ is realized as [s] when the following suffix begins with a high vowel. Second, I include /w/, while they have a bilabial fricative instead. This is largely a matter of preference, since this phoneme has both glide and fricative allophones. Third, they include a prenasalized voiced stop series in addition the plain voiced stops. An editor's footnote states: *The vast majority of voiced and prenasalized stops in Mala appear to follow this rule: Voiced stops appear realised with prenasalisation unless another voiced stop appears in the immediate environment. May and Loeweke decided against this analysis on the basis that it does not seem to have a phonological basis’* (May & Loeweke 1982a: 4). As discussed in Chapter 1, this same pattern is found in other Northern Adelbert languages, such as Barem and Manep, and should not be discounted. Furthermore, in Z'graggen's Mala recordings, there is variation in the pronunciation of voiced stops. Some speakers tended to pronounce them with prenasalization, while others tended to pronounce them without, even when no other voiced stops were in the environment.
Mala is unique among Northern Adelbert languages in lacking a palatal glide phoneme. This is the result of two changes, deletion of word-initial glides and a change of *y > l intervocalically (see Chapter 11). Mala is also one of only a few Northern Adelbert languages which allow word-initial ng. In Mala, this is a reflex of PNA *k. However, it seems to be in the process of merging with /n/, as some words reflecting word-initial *k are recorded with n, not ng, for example, *kamar 'sago' > namar.

### 5.4.2 Mala verb morphology

Table 5.4.2a illustrates the Mala subject/tense markers. Mala has the same past, present, and future tense distinction found in Maiani and Miani. As in these languages, an epenthetic i is added before the past tense suffixes when they follow a consonant-final stem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG</th>
<th>2SG</th>
<th>3SG</th>
<th>1PL</th>
<th>2PL</th>
<th>3PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PST</td>
<td>-(i)kem</td>
<td>-(i)kia</td>
<td>-(i)ka</td>
<td>-(i)kemi</td>
<td>-(i)kama</td>
<td>-(i)kom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS</td>
<td>-em</td>
<td>-ia</td>
<td>-a</td>
<td>-emi</td>
<td>-ama</td>
<td>-om</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUT</td>
<td>-ek</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>-ok</td>
<td>-une</td>
<td>-ua</td>
<td>-ue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Gavak

Gavak is spoken southeast of the Gilagi river, which separates it from the Waskia-speaking area. The Gavak-speaking area extends about five miles southeast of the Gilagi, and several miles inland toward the northern Adelbert Mountains. It is bordered to the southeast by the Papuan languages Garus and Bargam, as well speakers of the Austronesian language Takia who live along the coast. According to Ethnologue's 2000 census, there were 3,820 Gavak speakers at the time (Eberhard, Simons & Fennig 2020). According to this same census, all adults used Gavak at the time, while some children were unable to speak. In my own brief visits to Gavak-speaking areas in 2017-2018, I gained the impression that Gavak was the primary language for adults older than around thirty. It is also not uncommon to encounter Waskia speakers who were conversant in Gavak.

This chapter is based primarily on my own fieldwork in the summers of 2017-2018. In 2017, I visited the Gavak-speaking community of Dimir for one day, when I met with community leaders and collected a Gavak wordlist. I did not work with Gavak speakers again until the following summer, when I worked with Gabriel Lamuk Sr., Gabriel Lamuk Jr., and Valentine Laut, who would visit me in the home I was staying in on the Waskia side of the Gilagi river. Gabriel Lamuk Jr. spent many hours with me translating my Tok Pisin prompts into Gavak words and sentences. We recorded Gabriel Lamuk Sr. and Valentine Laut telling Gavak narratives, which Gabriel Lamuk Jr. worked with me to transcribe and translate. Prior to my own work, the only published data on Gavak was the wordlist in Z'graggen (1980b).

This chapter aims to provide an overview of the basics of Gavak phonology and morphology, with two broader goals in mind. First, to provide a deeper description of this language than was previously available, despite the fact that this chapter only describes the very essentials of Gavak
phonology and morphology. Second, to provide the background necessary to understand my classification of Gavak as a primary branch of Northern Adelbert, and understanding the sound changes which have taken place. The structure of the rest of this chapter is as follows. Section 6.1 discusses the Gavak phonemes and their major allophones. Section 6.2 discusses Gavak syllable and word structure, and section 6.3 outlines Gavak morphophonological processes. Section 6.4 presents an overview of Gavak morphology.

### 6.1 Gavak phonemes

<p>| Vowels | Table 6.1a: Gavak vowel phonemes |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>/i/</td>
<td>/u/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>/e/</td>
<td>/o/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td>/a/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obstruents</th>
<th>Table 6.2b: Gavak consonant phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>labial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>/p/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>/m/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trill</td>
<td>/r/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>/w/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vowels**

Gavak has five vowels /i, u, e, o, a/. The most common vowel sequences are /ai/ and /au/.

**Obstruents**

Gavak has voiced and voiceless labial, alveolar, and velar stops. In general, voiced stops do not contrast with homorganic nasal-stop sequences. The realization of /g/ varies between plain voiced and
prenasalized voiced, as in /nag+er/ 'he went' →[nɑɡɛr~nɑŋɡɛr]. Voiced labial and alveolar stops generally do not occur intervocically or word-finally, as PNA *b and *d lenited to w and r, respectively, in these positions (see Chapter 7). However, in the few words that do have intervocalic voiced labial or alveolar stops, these are always prenasalized, and are therefore analyzed as underlyingly a single phoneme /b/ and /d/, rather than clusters /mb/ or /nd/.

**Nasals**

Gavak has three nasals, /m/, /n/, and /ŋ/. Gavak is one of the few Northern Adelbert languages, along with Mala, which allows /ŋ/ word-initially. It is also the only Northern Adelbert language to regularly retain a distinction between PNA *n and *ŋ.

**Liquids**

Gavak has two liquids, /r/ and /l/. Although these are phonemically distinct, underlying /r/ surfaces as [l] in some environments (see Section 6.3). The realization of final /r/ is sometimes realized as [t] on some affixes, for example -mer 'SG' [mɛr~mɛt] and -kut 'SG' [kur~kut].

**Glides**

Gavak has a labiovelar glide /w/ and a palatal glide /y/. The phonetic realization of the labiovelar glide varies from a glide to a bilabial fricative [β].

**Orthography**

Gavak has no standard orthography. I adopt the same general orthography I have used for most of the Northern Adelbert languages throughout this dissertation. Where phonemic distinctions are neutralized, the surface form is represented in the orthography. For example, underlying /g/ devoices
before /t/, as in /nag+to/ → [nakto], and this is written as <nakto> . Prenasalization on intervocalic /b/ and /d/ is also represented with <mb> and <nd> .

6.2 Gavak syllable and word structure

Gavak syllables have the structure (C)V(C). The large majority of Gavak monomorphemic words are one or two syllables. However there a few apparently monomorphemic words of three syllables, such as kurumo 'tomorrow', and umutkom 'husband'. Polymorphemic words of three and four syllables are common for both nouns and verbs.

While there are many Gavak words beginning with /i/, /u/, and /a/, words generally cannot begin with mid vowels /e/ or /o/. The single documented exception is okoyo 'five'. The liquid /t/ is also not found in word-initial position.

The voiced stops /b/, /d/, and /g/, as well as the fricative /s/ and the glides /w/ and /y/, are not found in coda position, either word-finally or word-medially.

Several types of consonant clusters are allowed word-medially, including heterorganic stop clusters, as in lapding 'cockatoo' and aptiken 'clouds', heterorganic nasal-stop and stop-nasal clusters, as in kamdim 'old' and yepne 'branch', as well as heterorganic nasal clusters, as in wengne 'village' and gamnak 'middle'. There are also clusters with /s/ as the second element, as in kelsup 'body' and amsor- 'to break'. Although both liquids are allowed as syllable onsets (although /t/ is not found word-initially), they do not appear as onsets if there is a coda in the previous syllable (in other words, as the second element of a consonant cluster). Liquids can, however, appear as the first element in a consonant cluster, as in bargot 'caretaker' and kelsup 'body.

Vowel hiatus is permitted, but generally only occurs at morpheme boundairies, as in /wani+am/ 'net_bag+PL' → [wa.ni.am].
6.3 Morphophonological processes in Gavak

This section outlines some of the morphophonological processes that apply in Gavak word formation.

**Vowel insertion**

An epenthetic vowel is inserted between a consonant-final verb stem and a consonant-initial past tense suffix (-ngeng, -nger, -min, -men, or -mit). The quality of the epenthetic vowel is determined by two factors: the quality of the preceding vowel in the verb stem, and the number of consonants at the end of the verb stem. If the preceding vowel in the verb stem is a high vowel (/i/ or /u/) followed by a single consonant, then the epenthetic vowel has the same quality as the preceding vowel.

\[(601) \ /is+mit/ \rightarrow isimit \]
bathe+3PL.PST

\[(602) \ /in+nəŋ/ \rightarrow iningeng \]
sleep+2SG.PRS

\[(603) \ /un+mit/ \rightarrow unumit \]
draw_water+3PL.PST

If the preceding vowel is a low or mid vowel (/a/, /e/, or /o/), then \(a\) is inserted, as in (604-607).

\[(604) \ /ar+mit/ \rightarrow aramit \]
go+3PL.PST

\[(605) \ /nag+nər/ \rightarrow naganger \]
stay+3SG.PRS

\[(606) \ /iter+mit/ \rightarrow iteramit \]
go_down+3PL.PST

\[(607) \ /kos+nəŋ/ \rightarrow kosangeng \]
scrapel+2SG.PRS

If the verb stem ends in more than one consonant segment, then \(a\) is inserted, no matter what the quality of the preceding vowel is.
An exception to vowel insertion occurs when -\textit{ngeng}'2SG.PRS' and -\textit{nger}'3SG.PRS' are suffixed to a \textit{w}-final polysyllabic verb-root\textsuperscript{79}. In this case, root-final \textit{w} deletes and there is no epenthetic vowel (\textit{e}-rounding, described below, also applies to the vowel in the suffix).

If the verb stem does not contain any vowels, then \textit{a} is inserted.

As a result of vowel insertion, the surface forms for the 2PL and 3PL past tense conjugations are homophonous with the 2PL and 3PL future tense conjugations for those verb stems that trigger the insertion of \textit{a}, as illustrated in (614-615). These conjugations are not homophonous in verbs whose rightmost vowel is high, as illustrated in (616-617).

\textsuperscript{79} Monosyllabic \textit{w}-final roots either undergo vowel insertion, as in /uw+\textit{ŋer}/ 'build+3SG.PRS' → [uwuŋor], or have irregular forms, as in \textit{dungor}'he comes' (\textit{daw-}'to come').
**e-rounding: e→o/w+(ŋ)_**

In some suffixes with underlying /e/, this rounds to [o] when suffixed to a w-final stem. Rounding applies to all of the present tense suffixes, including those with initial e (-em '1SG.PRS', -emin '1PL.PRS', -emen '2PL.PRS' and -emit '3PL.PRS'), but also applies to the medial e in -ngeng '2SG.PRS' and -nger '3SG.PRS', as in (617) below, and (610-611) above.

(615) /isiw+em/ → isiwom
dislike+1SG.PRS

(616) /dirow+emit/ → dirowomit
carry+3PL.PRS

(617) /uw+ŋer/ → uwugor
build+3PL.PRS

The e-rounding rule also applies to -ei '2PL.IMP'.

(618) /kew+ei/ → kewoi
speak+2PL.IMP

Rounding does not apply to the past tense suffixes -eng '2SG.PST', -er '3SG.PST', and -ewe '3SG.PST.SS'.

(619) /uw+eq/ → uwen
plant+2SG.PST

(620) /kew+er/ → kewer
say+3SG.PST

(621) /dirow+ewe/ → dirowewe
carry+3SG.PST.SS

g → k/_t, w → p/_t, r → l/_t

There are a few phonemes which undergo changes before /t/. Underlying voiced velar stops are realized as voiceless before /t/, as in (622-623).

---

80 It is not clear if other voiced stops would also trigger these processes, as the relevant combinations do not arise in inflected Gavak verbs.
An underlying /w/ is realized as a voiceless bilabial stop [p] before /t/.

Finally, /r/ is realized as a lateral approximant /l/ before /t/.

6.4 Gavak morphology

This section outlines the basics of Gavak nominal and verbal morphology. Gavak has somewhat richer nominal morphology than other Northern Adelbert languages, as it marks number on nouns.

6.4.1 Gavak number marking

The inflection of number on nouns is rare in TNG languages as a whole (Pawley & Hammarström 2018: 97), and Gavak is the only Northern Adelbert language which inflects nouns for number. Gavak nouns may be marked for singular or plural, or unmarked for number.

According to Corbett (2000: 9-19), in many languages which explicitly mark number, there are also noun forms which can express the meaning of the noun without specifying number. This is
called 'general number'. In some languages, general number is expressed with a unique form different from the singular or plural forms (or other number distinctions). Corbett gives an example from the Cushitic language Bayso, where \( \text{lúban} \) 'lion(s)' is the general form, and the singular and plural are marked with affixes: \( \text{lubán-titi} \) 'lion-SG', \( \text{luban-jool} \) 'lion-PL'. However, it is crosslinguistically more common for the forms which express general number to also be used for one of the restricted number meanings (such as singular or plural). For languages with a singular vs. plural distinction, this provides two possible patterns: one form is used for general/singular, while another is used for plural, or general/plural share a form, while a separate form is used for singular. In Gavak, three different patterns are found in the inflection of number on nouns. Some nouns share a form for general/singular, and have another form for plural. A smaller number of nouns share a form for general/plural, and have another form for singular. Finally, some nouns mark both singular and plural with suffixes, and an unmarked form is rare or unattested.

Unmarked singular/general, marked plural

For some Gavak nouns, an unmarked form is used to express singular or general number (the number of the noun is not specified). For these nouns, the plural is formed with the suffixes \(-am\) and \(-im\) (which of these suffixes is used is lexically determined). This is the case for all nouns denoting people and most animals, for example \( \text{nipmur} \) 'person/people' vs. \( \text{nipmuram} \) 'people', \( \text{bur} \) 'pig(s)' vs. \( \text{burim} \) 'pigs, and \( \text{yaret} \) 'bird(s) of paradise' vs. \( \text{yaretam} \) 'birds of paradise'. Nouns denoting objects that are easily differentiated and frequently manipulated as individual items also behave this way, for example \( \text{wani} \) 'net bag(s)' vs. \( \text{waniam} \) 'net bags', and \( \text{ungam} \) 'egg(s)' vs. \( \text{ungamam} \) 'eggs'. Compare the unmarked noun forms \( \text{kangap} \) 'dog' and \( \text{mulap} \) 'child' in (628) and (629), which refer to singular entities, with the plural-marked \( \text{kangapam} \) 'dogs' and \( \text{mulapam} \) 'children' in (630) and (631).
(628)  *kangap*  *w-ewe*  *iwar-er*
dog  take-3SG.PST.SS  flee-3SG.PST
'The dog took it and ran away'

(629)  *mulap  kung  g-er.*
child  NEG  see-3SG.PST
'He didn't see the child.'

(630)  *kangap-am  u-mit-be  iwar-amit*
dog-PL  take-3PL.PST-SS  flee-3PL.PST
'The dogs took it and ran away.'

(631)  *kurumo  ka  mulap-am  irug-am.*
tomorrow  ka  child-PL  see-PL-1SG.FUT
'I'll see the children tomorrow.'

The unmarked form is used to refer to singular entities in the examples above, but it can also be used to refer to a noun without specifying number. In (632), *bur* is used with the general number meaning 'pig(s)'. It is clear that is is used with the general meaning, not the singular, since it is modified by *kapal*, 'many', which is incompatible with the singular. However, the speaker is talking about pigs as a general entity, not a specific countable amount, so doesn't use the plural affix.

(632)  *bur  kapal  g-amit  katung gip  kung  ins-imit.*
pigs  many  see-3PL.PST  but  one  NEG  shoot-3PL.PST
'They saw many pigs but didn't shoot any.'

It is unacceptable to mark nouns in this group with a singular affix *mer*, for example **bur-mer* 'pig-SG', **mulap-mer* 'child-SG', and **liweng-mer* 'bird-SG' are all unacceptable forms.

**Unmarked plural/general, marked singular**

Only a few nouns have been identified which mark number according to the opposite pattern, in which there is a marked form for the singular, and the unmarked form is used for both the plural and general. All of the nouns so far identified which follow this pattern are foods that are typically cooked
or consumed in multiples, such as kep 'bananas', bong 'betel nut', and sarur 'betelpepper vine'. To indicate a single item, the singular suffix -mer is used, as in kepmer 'banana' and bongmer 'betel nut'. Unmarked kep 'bananas' in (633) and (634) refers to multiple bananas. This is clear in (634) from the use of kinengki 'all' to modify kep 'bananas'.

(633) komkom ge muri-yak itel-to kep mapdor saru bu-to.
always garden-LOC go_down.IPFV banana banana.sp theft do-IPFV
'He would always go down to the garden and steal mapdor bananas.'

(634) kep mapdor gongkel kinengki uw-ui kait kinengki
banana banana.sp moon all plant-1PL.FUT this all
sik-ar-et.
stay-3SG.FUT-et
'All of the 'moon mapdor' bananas that we'll plant will stay there.'

For these nouns, the plural suffix is unacceptable, for example **sarur-am 'betelpepper vines'.

**Marked singular and plural**

Some Gavak nouns can be inflected with either the singular affix -mer/-met or the plural affix -am/-im. For these nouns, a form that is uninflected for number is either rare or unattested. Some of these singular- and plural-marked noun pairs are illustrated in Table 6.4.1a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gavak noun pairs</th>
<th>Singular Marking</th>
<th>Plural Marking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kasinmer 'mosquito'</td>
<td>kasinam 'mosquitos'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kitengmer 'flea'</td>
<td>kitengim 'fleas'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uninmer 'bee'</td>
<td>uninam 'bees'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kingermet 'red ant'</td>
<td>kingeram 'red ants'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>karermet 'fly'</td>
<td>kareram 'flies'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aipmer 'leaf'</td>
<td>aipam 'leaves'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muremer 'vein'</td>
<td>mumuremam 'veins'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manemet 'aibika' leaf</td>
<td>manemam 'aibika greens'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

81 This is a small vine that is chewed along with betel nut.
82 This plural form also has reduplication of the first syllable, which is common for words for 'vein' in NA languages.
83 This is a leafy green vegetable, called aibika in Tok Pisin that is ubiquitous throughout the area.
This list includes items such as leaves and stars, which are often treated as a unitary group, but whose individual entities can easily be singled out in the appropriate context. Compare (635), in which the speaker uses the plural form *aipam* when describing the leaves of the tree as a whole, with (636), in which the speaker uses *aipmer* when describing a picking a single leaf.

(635) ngom kait aip-am lalaket.
   tree this leaf-PL red
   'This tree's leaves are red.'

(636) mangar-ewe ar-ewe ka sareng aip-mer kait w-ewe, ngom
   bend-3SG.PST.SS go-3SG.PST.SS ka tree_sp leaf-SG this get-3SG.PST.SS tree
   aip-mer kait w-ewe g-ato
   leaf-SG this get-3SG.PST.SS see-IPFV
   'He bent down and took this sareng leaf, he took this tree leaf and looked at it'

This group of nouns also includes swarming insects, which are typically seen in groups, but can also act (or be acted on) individually, for example *uninmer* 'bee' in (637), and *uninam* 'bees' in (638).

(637) unin-mer aung gus-er
   bee-SG 3SG sting-3SG.PST
   'The bee stung him.'

(638) unin-am aung gus-umit
   bee-PL 3SG sting-3PL.PST
   'The bees stung him.'

An unmarked form **unin** would be unacceptable in either (637) or (638). Compare this with nouns denoting insects that are larger, and do not typically appear in groups. These have unmarked forms for the singular, for example *lasivu* 'butterfly', *user* 'centipede', *kalopi* 'snail', and *uta* 'grasshopper'.

A small number of nouns have been identified for which the addition of a number-marking affix changes the meaning of the noun, for example *awen* 'hand' vs. *awenam* 'arm'. Another example is *magep* 'star', which follows the general/singular vs. plural pattern, illustrated by (639) and (640). However, when suffixed with *-mer*, the meaning changes to 'year(s)', illustrated in (641). Although it is
marked with -mer, magepmer can be singular or plural, as is clear in (641), where is it modified by 
eighteen pela\textsuperscript{84}.

(639) \textit{magep uvuks-anger}:
\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{l}
star & shine-3SG.PRS \\
'\text{The star is shining}'
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

(640) \textit{magep-am uvuks-emit}.
\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{l}
star-PL & shine-3PL.PRS \\
'\text{The stars are shining}'
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

(641) [\textit{eighteen-pela}] \textit{magep-mer miningak ka mulap aung mur bar-er}.
\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{l}
eighteen-ADJ & star-SG & later & ka & child & 3SG & man & finish-3SG.PST \\
'\text{Eighteen years later, the child became a man.'}
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

Some nouns use number-marking affixes other than the standard singular -mer and plural -am/-im. As shown in Table 6.4.1b, these are mostly body parts, and especially body parts that come in pairs. The singular-marked nouns \textit{mekmer} 'eye' and \textit{gepmer} 'rib' use the standard singular suffix -mer, but mark the plural with -mareng. Other body part nouns use -\textit{kut}/-\textit{kur} for the singular, and -\textit{teng} for the plural. The only words on the list that do not denote a body part are \textit{inepkur} 'tobacco' and \textit{inepteng} 'smoke', which provide another example where number-marking morphology changes the meaning of the noun.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
\textit{mekmer} 'eye' & \textit{mekmareng} 'eyes' \\
\hline
\textit{gepmer} 'rib' & \textit{gepmareng} 'ribs' \\
\hline
\textit{diningkut} 'knee' & \textit{diningteng} 'knees' \\
\hline
\textit{porkut} 'thigh' & \textit{polteng} 'thighs' \\
\hline
\textit{matepkut} 'buttock' & \textit{matepteng} 'buttocks' \\
\hline
\textit{inepkur} 'tobacco, cigarette' & \textit{inepteng} 'smoke' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Irregular Gavak number-marking affixes}
\end{table}

There is also a plural suffix -\textit{en}, which is used for a smaller number of nouns than -\textit{am}/-\textit{im}, and is typically used for things that are not easily differentiated as individual entities, such \textit{itungen} 'roots',

\textsuperscript{84} This is mixed Tok Pisin/English, and means 'eighteen'.
and dilengen 'scales', and garwanen 'gills'. For some of these nouns, a singular counterpart is not attested.

Table 6.4c.1: Gavak nouns inflected with -en

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gong  'skin, banana peel'</th>
<th>gongen 'skin, banana peels'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mareng 'seed'</td>
<td>marengen 'seeds'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dileng 'ringworm'</td>
<td>dilengen 'scales'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mepkanggel 'nail'</td>
<td>mepkanggelen 'nails'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>garwanen 'gills'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>damboren 'gums'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>itung 'root'</td>
<td>itungen 'roots'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aweng 'branch'</td>
<td>awengen 'branches'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For some nouns, the plural can be marked with either -am or -en. For example, masarung 'firefly' has the plural forms masarungen and masarungam 'fireflies', and both dilengen and dilengam are attested for 'scales'. More research is needed on whether there are semantic or grammatical differences between these forms. For example, it's possible that the forms inflected with -am are countable, while the forms inflected with -en are mass nouns. Note that there are mass nouns which do not take any number marking affixes, such as me 'food', mail 'saltwater, salt' and ivot 'feces'.

6.4.2 Gavak verb morphology

Subject/tense affixes

Gavak has fusional tense/subject markers that indicate the person and number of the subject, as well as present, past, or future tense. As can be seen in Table 6.4.2a, several of the tense/subject markers have similar forms across the three tenses. For example, for plural subject, the difference between the tenses is indicated only by the initial vowel, or lack thereof (except for -ui '1PL.FUT').
Gavak present tense is used for events that are currently happening, as in (642-643), or habitual, as in (644-645).

(642) *ning amse g-angeng?*  
2 what see-2SG.PRS  
'what are you looking at?'

(643) *mulap-am kep n-emit*  
child-PL banana eat-3PL.PRS  
'the children are eating bananas'

(644) *kom kinengki bekom kamnak nag-anger*  
time all grandfather inside be_at-3SG.PL  
'grandfather always stays inside.'

(645) *mulap-am kinengki ka Igarom be yek is-emit*  
child-PL all DEM Gilagi LOC water bathe-3PL.PRS  
'the children bathe in the Gilagi river.'

Unlike some other Northern Adelbert languages, Gavak does not have a distinction between remote past and recent past. Gavak has a single past tense that is used to refer to events in both the remote past, as in (646), and recent past, as in (647).

(646) *kakomne nakom unggur-er*  
long_ago grandmother die-3SG.PST  
'Grandmother died long ago.'

(647) *awunki nakom unggur-er*  
morning grandmother die-3SG.PST  
'Grandmother died this morning.'
The future tense is used for future events, as in (648-649).

(648)  
\begin{tabular}{l}
\textit{bar} \textit{kait} \textit{tauk} \textit{ka} \textit{uw-am} \\
\textit{song} \textit{this} \textit{now} \textit{just} \textit{sing-1SG.FUT} \\
'I'm going to sing this song now.'
\end{tabular}

(649)  
\begin{tabular}{l}
\textit{ning} \textit{kurumo} \textit{arie} \textit{nag-ang?} \\
\textit{2 tomorrow where be_at-2SG.FUT} \\
'Where will you be tomorrow?'
\end{tabular}

**Imperatives**

The imperative suffixes -\textit{ak} '2SG.IMP' and -\textit{ei} '2PL.IMP' are used for commands.

(650)  
\begin{tabular}{l}
\textit{gaver iter-ak} \\
\textit{quickly come_down-2SG.IMP} \\
'Hurry and come down!'
\end{tabular}

(651)  
\begin{tabular}{l}
\textit{iter-ei}, \textit{ngom kait ker-ei} \\
\textit{come_down-2PL.IMP tree this cut-2PL.IMP} \\
'Come down and cut this tree!'
\end{tabular}

**Imperfective -\textit{to}**

The suffix -\textit{to} is used to mark imperfective aspect. In some other Northern Adelbert languages, like Pamosu and Barem, aspectual markers are used in only conjunction with tense/subject markers. This is not the case in Gavak, where imperfective -\textit{to} can be used in conjunction with a tense/subject marker, as in the final verb in (652), but also without, as in (653). The Gavak imperfective marker does not indicate person/number of the subject.

(652)  
\begin{tabular}{l}
\textit{nipmur-am} \textit{al-to} \textit{ga-to-mit}. \\
\textit{person-PL go-IPFV look-IPFV-3PL.PST} \\
'The people went and watched.'
\end{tabular}

(653)  
\begin{tabular}{l}
\textit{komkom ge muri-yak itel-to kep mapdor saru bu-to}. \\
\textit{always garden-LOC go_down-IPFV banana banana.sp theft do-IPFV} \\
'He would always go down to the garden and steal mapdor bananas.'
\end{tabular}
Desiderative -oyem

The suffix -oyem indicates intention or purpose. This suffix is used for all person and number combinations. In (654) sili baroyem 'tell a story' has a 1SG subject, while 3PL subjects are seen in (655) uroyem 'kill him' and (656) duwayneoyem 'sing and dance'.

(654) ying gongkel-em sili bar-oyem.
1 moon-POSS story make-DES
'I'm going to tell the story of the moon.'

(655) di-mit-be, kawen pings-amit-be, nipmur-am kuropkariki kamnak
come-3PL.PST-SS house surround-3PL.PST-SS person-PL three inside
wongar-amit-be ur-oyem.
ascend-3PL.PST-SS kill-DES
'They came and surrounded the house and three people went up inside to kill him.'

(656) nipmuram kinengki duwayneoyem du-mit
person-PL all sing_and_dance-DES come-3PL.PST
'The people all came to sing and dance.'

Direct-object marking

Gavak does not mark direct objects on most transitive verbs. However, there are a number of irregular verbs which have different stems depending on the person and number of the object, as is common in Northern Adelbert languages (see Chapter 1). One such verb is 'to see', which has different verb roots for 1SG, 2SG, 3SG and plural direct objects. The use of ipg- 'see 1SG' and napg- 'see 2SG' is illustrated in (657), and irug- 'see PL' is illustrated in (658). The verb g- 'see' is used for 3SG objects, illustrated in (659)\(^85\).

(657) "ying napg-am," w-er, "ning ipg-ang," w-er.
1 see_2SG-1SG.FUT say-3SG.PST 2 see_1SG-2SG.FUT say-3SG.PST
"I'll see you," he said, "and you'll see me.'"

(658) kom gip be, Manup wengne gip be umo mageng
day one LOC Manup village one LOC 3SG.POSS younger_brother
baba irug-oyem da-er.
older_brother see_PL-DES go-3SG.PST.
'One day, Manup came to a village to see his brothers.'

\(^85\) g- is also used for non-human general number direct objects, as in (632) in section 6.4.1.
The verb 'to hit/kill' patterns similarly to 'see', with separate roots for 1SG (*inggar-*), 2SG (*nanggar-*), 3SG (*ur-*), and plural (*gar-*) direct objects. A direct object pronoun may be used to disambiguate between plural direct objects, as in (662).

(660) "Kuluwop ur-oi," w-er.
    Kuluwop kill_3SG-2PL.IMP say-3SG.PST
    "Kill Kuluwop!" he said.'

(661) *nanggar-er.*
    hit_2SG-3SG.PST
    'He hit you.'

(662) *ingku* gar-er.
    1PL.OBJ hit_PL-3SG.PST
    'He hit us.'

Gavak uses different verb stems for 'to give', depending on the person and number of the recipient. These are *is-* 'give to 1SG', *nas-* 'give to 2SG', *us-* 'give to 3SG', and *ir-* 'give to PL.'

(663) warereki ning nas-um.
    yesterday 2SG give_2SG-1SG.PST
    'I gave it to you yesterday.'

(664) ying nip kait meme gip us-am.
    1 woman this thing one give_3SG-1SG.FUT
    'I'm going to give something to this woman.'

(665) me ir-er.
    food give_PL-3SG.PST
    'He gave them food.'
The verbs 'to ask' and 'to tell/teach' follow the same pattern. They both have one root for 1/2SG direct objects, one for 3SG, and another for plural: yalsis- 'ask 1/2SG, yals- 'ask 3SG', and yaltar- 'ask PL', and sagis- 'tell 1/2SG', sas- 'tell 3SG', and tagar 'tell PL'.

**Gavak switch reference**

Gavak has two basic switch reference markers -be 'SS' and -e 'DS'. These follow the subject/tense marker, and indicate whether there is a change in the referent of the subject between two clauses. The same subject marker -be indicates that referent of the subject of a clause is the same as the referent of the subject of the following clause, as in (666), where the subject of the verb iterum 'I went down' has the same referent as the subject of the following verb irowarem 'I'm coming back.' The sentence in (667) shows a clause chain where every verb has the same 3PL subject, mulapam 'children', and the same subject marker -be is seen on both medial verbs iteramitbe 'they went down' and dumitbe 'they went'.

(666) muri-ak iter-um-be irowar-em.
garden-LOC go_down-1SG.PST-SS return-1SG.PRS
'I went down to the garden and I'm coming back.'

(667) mulap-am argenki kait gagumage iter-amit-be, du-mit-be
child-PL two this behind go_down-3PL.PST-SS go-3PL.PST-SS
wongar-amit.
climb-3PL.PST
'The two children went down behind her and climbed up'

Unlike the roots for 'to see' and 'to hit/kill', which differ from each other at the left edge of the stem, 'to ask' and 'to tell/teach' differ at the right edge of the stem. For both 'to see' and 'to kill/hit', the root for the 3SG object is historically the basic form, while the 1SG, 2SG, and plural roots have fossilized direct object affixes. For 'to ask' and 'to tell/teach', the element -is on the 1/2SG stems is historically derived from is- 'give to 1SG', and the element -ar on the roots for plural objects is perhaps historically related to iru- 'give to plural'.
Same subject is marked differently for verbs in the third person singular past tense. These do not use the 3SG.PST marker -er or the same subject marker -be, but are instead affixed with -ewe, which indicates both 3SG.PST and SS.87

(668)  
Manup-em nivim aung irem-ewe unak da-er.  
Manup-POSS wife 3SG get_up-3SG.PST.SS home.LOC go-3SG.PST  
'Manup's wife got up and went home.'

The different subject marker -e indicates that the subject of the following clause has a different referent, as in (669), where bekom 'grandfather' is the subject of first verb unggurere 'he died', and nakom 'grandmother' is subject of the final verb.

(669)  
bekom unggur-er-e miningak nakom unggur-er  
grandfather die-3SG.PST-DS after grandmother die-3SG.PST  
'grandfather died and grandmother died after.'

Overlapping reference

If there is overlapping reference in the subject of two clauses, then the same subject marker may be used. The example in (670) describes a situation in which a group of women go to the beach, and then one woman breaks away and leaves the others. The subject of unumitbe 'they drew water' is all the women, while the subject of the following verb isiwer 'she left (them)' is only one of the group of women. Nonetheless, the same subject marker -be is used on unumitbe 'they drew water'.

(670)  
nivam-am kinengki... ar-amit-be, iter-amit-be, mail  
wife-PL all go-3PL.PST-SS go_down-3PL.PST-SS salt_water  
un-umit-be, nivam-am kait ungku isiwer.  
draw-3PL.PST-SS wife-PL these 3PL.OBJ leave-3SG.PST  
'All the wives... went, they went down, they drew salt water, and she left these wives.'

The sentence in (671) shows another example where there is overlapping reference of the subject of two clauses, but the same subject marker is used. The first two verbs in the clause chain have a 3PL subject whose referent is a large group of people. At wongaramitbe 'they went up', the

87 Some evidence that -ewe drives from -er+-be '3SG.PST+SS' comes from the verb 'to flee', which has two variants, irbar- and iwar-. This suggests that, at one point, the cluster rb may have also alternated with w in the sequence -er-be.
referent of the subject narrows to only three of the group. Nonetheless, the preceding verb *pingsamitbe*

'they surrounded' is marked with the same subject marker -*be*.

(671) *umo unak kawen-be di-mit-be,* kawen pings-amit-be,  
3PL.Poss village.DAT house-LOC come-3PL.PST-SS house surround-3PL.PST-SS  
nipmur-am kuropkari ki kamnak wongar-amit-be ur-oyem.  
person-PL three inside go_up-3PL.PST-SS kill-DES  
'They came to the houses in their village, they surrounded the house, and three people went up inside to kill him.'
7. Proto-Northern Adelbert

In this chapter, I present my classification of Northern Adelbert languages and a Proto-Northern Adelbert phoneme inventory and lexicon. Section 7.1 gives a brief background on Papuan historical linguistics and discusses some previous classifications of Madang languages. In section 7.2, I present my own classification of Northern Adelbert languages and compare it with previous classifications. In 7.3, I present my PNA phoneme inventory, and list the reflexes of each proto-phoneme in individual Northern Adelbert languages. More detailed sound correspondences and discussion of the changes in each subgroup and individual languages are found in Chapters 7-11, except for the Gavak sound changes, which are outlined in section 7.4. Section 7.5 presents the Proto-Northern Adelbert reconstructed vocabulary.

7.1 Papuan historical linguistics

The New Guinea region is home to around 1200 languages (Foley 2000), around seventeen percent of the world’s total. While about 300 of these languages belong to the Austronesian language family, the other 900 or so are non-Austronesian, or Papuan. The label "Papuan" does not presuppose that these language are all genetically related; it is simply a convenient label for the non-Austronesian languages of the region. As the large majority of Papuan languages are poorly documented, little is understood about their history and classification. Based on lexical resemblances in core vocabulary, McElhanon and Voorhoeve (1970) proposed the Trans New Guinea (TNG) phylum, encompassing a large portion of the languages of New Guinea. Later work on the TNG hypothesis (Wurm et al. 1975) expanded TNG to include a group of around 80 languages in Madang Province. If this hypothesis is
correct, this would make the Madang group the largest branch of the putative TNG phylum, which is currently supposed to include around 300 or so languages (Pawley & Hammarström 2018). See Pawley (2005) and Pawley & Hammarström (2018) for a more detailed history of the TNG hypothesis. The most detailed comparative work on Madang languages so far is that of Daniels (2020) on the Sogeram languages, which border the Northern Adelbert languages to the southwest. I discuss potential connections with Sogeram and other Madang languages in Chapter 12.

**Previous classifications of Madang languages:**

The first detailed classification of Madang languages appears in Z’graggen (1971a) and is expanded upon in Z'graggen (1975a). Z'graggen applies lexicostatistical methods to classify the languages of Madang into several phyla, two of which he calls the Madang phylum and the Adelbert Range phylum. In later publications (1980a-d), he renames these two phyla "superstocks" and links them as sister groups that together comprise the “Madang subphylum” of TNG. His classification of the Madang subphylum languages is presented in Figure 7.1a. Languages that are included in my Northern Adelbert group are italicized.

---

88 Z'graggen's other phyla are the Ramu phylum, composed of languages around the Ramu valley, the Torricelli phylum, and the East New Guinea Highlands phylum, whose membership is composed mostly of languages outside the Madang area.
Figure 7.1a: Z'graggen's (1980a-d) classification of the Madang subphylum

-Madang Super-stock
  -Rai Coast stock (29 languages)
  -Mabuso stock (29 languages)
-Adelbert Range Super-stock
  -Northern Adelbert Range
    -Bargam
    -Isumrud Stock
      -Gavak
      -Manep-Barem
      -Amako-Waskia
    -Pihom Stock
      -Kaukombar Family
        -Maia, Maiani, Miani, Mala
      -Kumil Family
        -Mauwake, Bepour, Moere
      -Tibor Family
        -Kowaki, Mawak, Pamosu, Hember Avu, Mokati
      -Omosan Family
        -Pal, Kobol
      -Numugen
        -Usan, Yaben, Yarawata, Karian, Parawen, Ukuriguma
      -Amaimon
  -Southern Adelbert Range
    -Wanang Stock (5 languages)
    -Josephstaal Stock (7 languages)
  -Brahman Group (4 languages)

The languages in my Northern Adelbert group all fall into either Z'graggen's Isumrud Stock or the Pihom stock, which, together with Bargam, form Z'graggen's Northern Adelbert Range group. Z'graggen's Northern Adelbert Range includes a few other languages that I do not include in my Northern Adelbert. However, the membership of my Northern Adelbert and Z'graggen's Northern Adelbert Range largely overlaps, although there are significant differences in their internal structures.

Another classification of Madang languages is presented in Ross (2000). Ross's classification is based on the comparison of pronoun forms. His classification includes a group of languages he names the Croisilles linkage, which includes around fifty languages, and is comprised of languages from both
Z’graggen’s Northern Adelbert Range group (from his Adelbert Range superstock) and his Mabuso group (from his Madang superstock). Figure 7.1b illustrates Ross' classification, with languages from my Northern Adelbert italicized.

Figure 7.1b: Ross's (2000) classification of Madang languages

- Southern Adelbert Range-Amako-Waskia
  - Southern Adelbert Range
    - Josephstaal
    - Wanang
  - Amako-Waskia
- Rai Coast-Kalam-Kobon
  - Rai Coast
  - Kalam-Kobon
- Croisilles linkage
  - Amaimon
  - Dimir-Malas
    - Gavak, Manep
  - Kaukombar
    - Bargam, Maia, Maiani, Miani, Mala
  - Kumil
    - Mauwake, Bepour, Moere, Hember Avu, Barem
- Tibor-Omosa
  - Kowaki, Mokati, Mawak, Pamosu, Pal, Kobol
- Numugen-Mabuso
  - Numugen
    - Usan, Yaben, Yarawata, Karian, Parawen, Ukuriguma
  - Mabuso

The Northern Adelbert languages all fall within Ross's Croisilles linkage. However, Ross also includes the large group of Mabuso languages, as well as Pal and Kobol.

One limitation of both Z’graggen’s and Ross’ classifications is that they do not establish regular sound correspondences to determine cognacy, but assume cognacy based on subjective judgments of similarity. Both Z’graggen and Ross state that their classifications are preliminary, and Ross emphasizes that his classification is intended to be a starting point for a more traditional approach based upon the comparative method.
A more recent classification of Madang languages appears in Pawley and Hammarström (2018). The classification they present is based on Ross's, but includes some changes mostly related to languages outside Northern Adelbert\textsuperscript{89}. The only changes in the classification which directly concern Northern Adelbert languages are that the Mabuso group is no longer paired with the Numugen languages, and some refinements to the internal structure of the Numugen subgroup are proposed. Figure 7.1c shows Pawley and Hammarström's (2018) classification of the languages of the Croisilles linkage (other languages in the Madang branch are not shown).

Figure 7.1c: Pawley and Hammarström's (2018) classification of Croisilles linkage

- Croisilles linkage
  - Amaimon
  - Dimir-Malas
    - Gavak, Manep
  - Kumil
    - Mauwake, Bepour, Moere, Hember Avu, Barem
  - Mabuso (29 languages)
    - Mugil-Kaukombar
    - Kaukombar
      - Maia, Maiani, Miani, Mala
    - Bargam
  - Numugen
    - Yaben, Karian
    - Yarawata, Parawen, Ukuriguma
    - Usan
  - Tibor-Omosa
    - Tibor
      - Kowaki, Mokati, Mawak, Pamosu
    - Omosa
      - Pal, Kobol

\textsuperscript{89} These changes include Amako-Waskia and Kalam-Kobon both being moved out of their respective subgroups and classified as primary branches of Madang, and changes to the internal structure of the South Adelbert group, following Daniels (2010, 2015) work on the Sogeram languages.
7.2 A new classification of Northern Adelbert languages

For my classification of Northern Adelbert languages I took Ross's Croisilles linkage as a starting point. I examined data from Z'graggen's wordlists and other sources (listed in Table 1.4a in Chapter 1) for each of the Croisilles languages and attempted to establish regular sound correspondences between them, starting from each of Ross's subgroups and working outward.

This study benefits from a larger pool of data than was available to Z'graggen or Ross, including dictionaries and other materials made available in recent years, as well as primary data from my own fieldwork. This improved data has allowed me to apply the comparative method more effectively than would have been possible even ten years ago. However, for a number of languages, Z'graggen's wordlists are unfortunately still the only published data available, making the establishment of regular sound correspondences for some languages difficult. As discussed in Chapter 1, Z'graggen's wordlists are phonetic transcriptions, and it has been necessary to make some assumptions about what linguistic forms these transcriptions represent.

The classification I arrive at is presented below in Figure 7.2a. The phonological innovations defining each subgroup are listed in Table 7.2a. The innovations for each subgroup are discussed in more detail in Chapters 8-11, with the exception of the Gavak changes, which are discussed at the end of this chapter.

Figure 7.1d My classification of Northern Adelbert languages

- Kumil-Tibor
  - Tibor
    - Mokati, Pamosu, Hember Avu, Mawak, Kowaki
  - Kumil
    - Mauwake, Bepour, Moere
- Manep-Barem
  - Manep, Barem
- Gavak
- Kaukombar
  - Maia, Maiani, Miani, Mala
- Numugen
  - Usan, Yaben, Yarawata, Karian, Parawen, Ukuriguma
Table 7.2a: Phonological innovations in Northern Adelbert subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Phonological innovations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kumil-Tibor</td>
<td>*p &gt; f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*ŋ &gt; Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumil final a added to monosyllables</td>
<td>*k, *t &gt; Ø/#_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*k, *t &gt; ?/___#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*t &gt; k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*d &gt; g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*b &gt; p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*ua &gt; o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*a &gt; e/ C#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibor final u added to monosyllables</td>
<td>*a &gt; e/ #_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*t &gt; s/#_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*n &gt; Ø / #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*f &gt; w/ #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*r, *l &gt; r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manep-Barem</td>
<td>*ŋ &gt; n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*e &gt; a/ Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*g &gt; n/ / #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*d &gt; j/ _i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*p &gt; w/V_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaukombar</td>
<td>*ŋ, *n &gt; Ø/ / #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numugen</td>
<td>*ŋ &gt; n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*p &gt; Ø/ #_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*p &gt; w/V_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*s &gt; Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*l &gt; r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gavak</td>
<td>*a, *e &gt; Ø#/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*d &gt; r/V_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*k &gt; Ø/ / #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*V &gt; Ø/ / #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*g &gt; k / #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*b &gt; w/V/ V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*a &gt; e/ C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*a &gt; o/ m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*ua &gt; o</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although I used Ross's Croisilles linkage as a starting point for attempting to establish sound correspondences, my resulting classification is somewhat closer to Z'graggen's than Ross's. My
Northern Adelbert essentially unites Z'graggen's Isumrud and Pihom stocks, with the difference that the Omosan languages (Pal and Kobol), Amaimon, and Amako-Waskia have been excluded. The most important difference between my classification and Ross's is that his Croisilles linkage includes the Mabuso group, composed of around 30 fairly closely related languages. Although there are a number of promising lexical resemblances between the Mabuso and Northern Adelbert groups, these have as yet not proven sufficient to be able to establish regular sound correspondences. In other details, too, my classification is closer to Z'graggen's: Hember Avu is grouped with Tibor languages, rather than Kumil; Barem is paired with Manep, rather than placed in Kumil; Bargam has been removed from Kaukombar. My classification differs from both Z'graggen's and Ross's in that I exclude Bargam, Pal, Kobol, and Amaimon.

It is not surprising that there are numerous differences between these classifications and my own, given that each one is based on different methods. Taking our different methods into account can explain some differences in our results. For example, Z'graggen proposes a relatively close relationship between Manep-Barem, Gavak, and Amako-Waskia, while I find no evidence for subgrouping. There is no evidence for grouping Manep-Barem and Gavak together based on shared sound changes, and I have excluded Amako-Waskia from Northern Adelbert. These languages are all located in a contiguous area, and their speakers are in frequent contact with each other. Some shared lexical items among these languages are likely to have spread through contact, rather than independent direct inheritance. This would increase the percentage of resemblant lexical items, and result in a closer relationship via the lexicostatistics method Z'graggen employs. Nonetheless, the results of Z'graggen's lexicostatistical classification align fairly closely with my classification based on shared phonological innovations. The membership of each of his lowest-level branches aligns exactly with mine.

---

90 On any given market day in Tokain, the main Waskia village, there will be many speakers of Manep, Barem, and Gavak present. It is less clear how much contact these language groups had in pre-modern times.
Comparing Ross' classification to mine, there are more differences in the placement of individual languages. Again, this can be accounted for by our differing methods. A good example is the Kumil group, which I define as Mauwake, Bepour and Moere, to which Ross adds Hember Avu and Barem. In Ross's classification based on pronoun forms, he writes: "the Kumil languages are characterised by the insertion of a reflex of *-fa-\(^{91}\) between the prefixed 1S and 2S object pronoun and the verb stem" (2000: 23). Ross claims that the similarity in these forms (shown in Table 7.2b) is not shared by other languages, and suggests this resemblance is a shared innovation.

Table 7.2b: 1SG and 2SG object pronouns in Ross's Kumil group\(^{92}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1SG.OBJ</th>
<th>2SG.OBJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mauwake</td>
<td>e-fa</td>
<td>nefa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bepour</td>
<td>e-fe-</td>
<td>ne-fe-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moere</td>
<td>e-we-</td>
<td>ne-we-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hember Avu</td>
<td>e-we-</td>
<td>(ne-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barem</td>
<td>e-we-</td>
<td>na-we-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, cognate forms are actually found in at least three additional languages (Manep, Gavak, and Karian) not identified by Ross. The cognate forms in these languages are restricted to use with the verb 'to see', and therefore are not found in Z'graggen (1980b), which provides Ross's data. The Barem prefixes are in fact only used with two verbs, 'to see' and 'to show' (which is derived from 'see'). Direct objects are otherwise not marked on Barem verbs.\(^{93}\) Barem's sister language Manep also has these forms as fossilized elements only on 'to see' as well, as in nawengg- 'to see 2SG'. Fossilized forms are also found in Karian and Gavak: Karian \(\nu\text{ga}\)-, Gavak \(\text{ipg}\)- 'see 1SG' < PNA *ip+*ag- '1SG.OBJ+see'; Karian \(\nu\text{ga}\)-, Gavak \(\text{napg}\)- 'see 2SG' < PNA *nap+*ag- '2SG.OBJ+see'. Since cognate forms for these prefixes are in fact more widespread throughout Northern Adelbert languages

\(^{91}\) In my reconstruction, these forms reflect PNA *p, which corresponds with Proto-Kumil *f.
\(^{92}\) The forms shown in this table are as presented by Ross. I analyze the Barem affixes as 1SG \(\text{iv}\)- and 2SG \(\text{nav}\)-, and Berghäll (2015) analyzes the Mauwake forms as free pronouns, not prefixes. See section 7.5 on the reconstruction of PNA *ip '1SG.OBJ' and *nap '2SG.OBJ'.
\(^{93}\) However, Barem does have object pronouns that are independent words derived from the same forms (ivo 1SG, navo 2SG, uvo 3SG).
(having reflexes in every subgroup except Kaukombar), they do not provide evidence for the Kumil subgroup proposed by Ross. However, these forms may possibly be a shared innovation exclusive to Northern Adelbert, as similar forms have not yet been identified in other Madang or TNG languages.

**Languages excluded from Northern Adelbert**

As noted above, my classification of the Northern Adelbert languages excludes many languages that were included in Ross's and Z'graggen's groupings. The most notable are the Mabuso languages, the Omosan languages, Bargam, Amaimon, and Amako-Waskia, as either Ross or Z'graggen has proposed a close relationship between these and at least some of my Northern Adelbert languages. I have not excluded these languages because I don't believe they are related to Northern Adelbert. They likely are related, and there are promising potential cognates between the Northern Adelbert languages and other languages in the area. Further research may show that the boundaries of Northern Adelbert need to be adjusted.

As I illustrate in section 7.5, the languages I include in Northern Adelbert all share cognate subject/tense verb endings, which are a core part of the grammar. The languages I have excluded either don't have reflexes of these PNA verb endings, or there is insufficient data to show that they do. It is by no means clear that these shared verb endings are an innovation unique to Northern Adelbert languages. They may in fact be a retention from a higher-order proto-language, and they may also be found in other languages outside those I have included in NA, but for which there is currently insufficient data. However, I have used these verb endings as a convenient (and somewhat arbitrary) diagnostic for which languages to include in Northern Adelbert, since at the current level of knowledge of Papuan historical linguistics it is not possible to define Northern Adelbert by shared innovations relative to a higher-order proto-language.
In previous iterations of this research (Pick, forthcoming), I included Amako and Waskia in Northern Adelbert since I was able to demonstrate regular sound correspondences between Amako-Waskia and the rest of the Northern Adelbert languages. However, Amako and Waskia share none of the verbal morphology that is reconstructible to PNA, so although they are clearly related, they are not part of core Northern Adelbert. There is also probably sufficient data available on Bargam (Hepner 2006, 2007) to establish at least some regular sound correspondences with my Northern Adelbert group. Again, I have excluded Bargam because the Bargam subject/tense inflections presented in Hepner (2006) show no obvious relationship to the PNA endings. The same is true of the verb morphology of Amele (Roberts 1987), the most well-documented Mabuso language. If it is representative of the Mabuso group, these languages can be excluded as well.

Another language I have excluded is Yamben, spoken in Yambarik village, not far from the Manep-speaking community of Simbukanam. Yamben was not included in Z'graggen’s survey of Madang languages. Yamben is possibly distantly related to Northern Adelbert, but has no obvious close relatives. Its verb endings are also non-cognate with Northern Adelbert languages. See Pick (2018) for a discussion of the potential relationship between Yamben and Northern Adelbert.

That leaves three languages which either Ross or Z'graggen considered to have a close relationship with Northern Adelbert languages: Amaimon, and the Omosan languages Pal and Kobol. The available data on these languages is very limited, but there are many words which appear to be cognate with Northern Adelbert languages. However, these resemblant forms are not numerous enough to establish regular sound correspondences with any degree of confidence. A brief Amaimon phonology sketch completed by SIL researchers (Lillie 2001) includes some short sentences with inflected verb forms that appear possibly cognate with Northern Adelbert languages, but more data is needed. Z'graggen’s (1980b) wordlists for Pal and Kobol show a number of promising resemblances between these languages and Northern Adelbert languages, but again, these resemblances are...
insufficient for establishing regular sound correspondences. I therefore do not classify Amaimon, Pal, and Kobol as Northern Adelbert languages, but additional data may provide evidence for their inclusion in the future.

### 7.3 Northern Adelbert phonemes

In this section, I present the sound correspondences which have been used to reconstruct PNA phonemes. The reconstructed PNA phoneme inventory is presented in Tables 7.3a and 7.3b.

#### Table 7.3a: PNA vowel phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>*i</td>
<td>*u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>*e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td>*a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 7.3b: PNA consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stops</td>
<td>*p, *b</td>
<td>*t, *d</td>
<td></td>
<td>*k, *g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasals</td>
<td>*m</td>
<td>*n</td>
<td></td>
<td>*ŋ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricatives</td>
<td>*s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquids</td>
<td></td>
<td>*r, *l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glides</td>
<td>*w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PNA Vowels**

Northern Adelbert languages typically have a five-vowel system /i, u, e, o, a/, with the exception of the Numugen languages. However, only four vowels are reconstructed for Proto-Northern Adelbert: *i, *u, *e, *a. There is no strong evidence for PNA *o.

There are a few word sets where o is found in a number of Northern Adelbert languages, shown in Table 7.3c, but each of these has problems. PNA *n usually deletes word-finally in Kumil, but in 'stone', both Kumil languages unexpectedly retain a reflex of the final nasal, possibly indicating
borrowing. Pamosu and Mawak, the two Tibor languages which lack a final nasal, also have final -e rather than -o.

The consonant correspondences for 'net trap for pigs' do not present a problem, but as this is a cultural item, it is the type of word where borrowing is likely. Furthermore, both Manep and Mauwake historically added final a to content words\(^{94}\), but this is lacking in Mauwake top and Manep dop.

The terms for 'sea turtle' are easily identified as borrowings, for several reasons. First, the words for 'sea turtle' in these languages are clearly related to Proto-Oceanic *poñu. Being borrowed from Austronesian is not enough to reject a reconstruction outright, as PNA *buruk 'pig' is also a borrowing from Austronesian, but was nonetheless likely present in PNA before it split into its daughter groups. However, pon can be clearly identified as a borrowing in most of the Northern Adelbert languages. In both Barem or the Kaukombar languages, initial p- is not found in native vocabulary. Again, Mauwake lacks an expected final vowel. Only Gavak pon could possibly be a native word based on the phonotactics of that language.

Table 7.3c. Word sets with recurrent o

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'net trap for pigs'</td>
<td></td>
<td>BAR: dov MAN: dop</td>
<td>MOK: dep</td>
<td>MOE: top</td>
<td>dop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'sea turtle'</td>
<td></td>
<td>BAR: pon</td>
<td>MAU: pon</td>
<td>Maia: pon Mala: pon</td>
<td>pon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>down, coastward</td>
<td>USA: umo</td>
<td>BAR: umuo MAN: umo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{94}\) In Manep, this only applied to monosyllabic content words (see Chapter 8).
PNA *i

PNA *i is almost invariantly reflected as /i/ throughout all Northern Adelbert languages. Most changes to *i are either reduction of *i > /e/ preceding a consonant, or sporadic changes of *i > /u/. Table 7.3d presents the regular reflexes of PNA *i.

Table 7.3d. Reflexes of PNA *i

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kamil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*i</td>
<td>YAB: /i</td>
<td>*i</td>
<td>*i</td>
<td>*i</td>
<td>*i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: /i</td>
<td>BAR: /i, /e</td>
<td>MOK: /i</td>
<td>BEP: /i</td>
<td>MAI: /i</td>
<td>/i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKU: /i</td>
<td></td>
<td>HA: /i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miana: /i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR: /i</td>
<td></td>
<td>MAW: /i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miana: /i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAR: /i</td>
<td></td>
<td>KOW: /i, /u</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mala: /i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PNA *u

As with *i, most changes to *u involve sporadic change of *u > /i/. The sporadic fronting of /u/ to /i/ has also been observed in Australian languages (O'Grady 1998), and sporadic change of both *i > /u/ and *u > /i/ in individual lexical items is widespread in the Austronesian language family as well (Blust 1970). Many of the changes of *i > /u/ and *u > /i/ in Northern Adelbert languages appear to be driven by assimilation to another high vowel, as in Bepour /unum/ and Mauwake /unuma/ 'name' < PNA *unim. Occasionally a language will have two reflexes, one with *i > /u/, and another with *u > /i/, for example Mauwake /irip/-, /urup/- 'to come up' < *irub-. There is also a conditioned change in Bepour word-initial *u > /i/ preceding a labial consonant (observed in 'to plant', 'to dance', and 'to sing').
Table 7.3e: Reflexes of PNA *u

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*u</td>
<td>*u</td>
<td>*u</td>
<td>*u</td>
<td>*u</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAB: u</td>
<td>BAR: u</td>
<td>MOK: u</td>
<td>BEP: u, i</td>
<td>Maia: u, a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: u</td>
<td>MAN: u</td>
<td>PAM: u</td>
<td>MOE: u</td>
<td>Maiani: u</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBO: u</td>
<td></td>
<td>HA: u</td>
<td></td>
<td>Miani: u</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBA: u</td>
<td></td>
<td>MAW: u</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mala: u</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKU: u</td>
<td></td>
<td>KOW: u</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR: u</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAR: u</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PNA *a

The reflexes of PNA *a are more varied than for *i and *u, and unexplained irregular reflexes are more numerous. In the Tibor and Kumil subgroups, *a has merged with *e in some environments.

Table 7.4f: Reflexes of PNA *a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*a</td>
<td>*a</td>
<td>*a</td>
<td>*a</td>
<td>*a</td>
<td>a, e, o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAB: a</td>
<td>BAR: a, ia, u,</td>
<td>MOK: a, e, o</td>
<td>BEP: a, e, o</td>
<td>Maia: a, ua</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: a, á, o</td>
<td>MAN: a, u</td>
<td>PAM: a, e</td>
<td>MOE: a, e, o</td>
<td>Maiani: a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAR: a, ã</td>
<td></td>
<td>HA: a, e</td>
<td></td>
<td>Miani: a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKU: a</td>
<td></td>
<td>MAW: a, e</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mala: a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR: a</td>
<td></td>
<td>KOW: a, e, o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAR: a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PNA *e

The reflexes of *e also vary widely, having undergone conditioned changes in a number of languages. Common changes to *e are rounding to o, often in the environment of a labial, and *e > a when the preceding or following consonant is *a.
Table 7.3g: Reflexes of PNA *e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombarr</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*e, *a</td>
<td>*e, *a</td>
<td>*e, *a</td>
<td>*e, *a</td>
<td>*e, *o</td>
<td>*e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAB: a,</td>
<td>BAR: e, i, a, o, ie</td>
<td>MOK: a, e, o</td>
<td>BEP: a, e, o</td>
<td>Maia: e, a, o</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e, o, å</td>
<td>MAN: e, a, i</td>
<td>PAM: a, e</td>
<td>MOE: a, e, o</td>
<td>Maiani: e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAR: a, e</td>
<td>HA: a, e</td>
<td>MAW: a, e</td>
<td>MAU: a, e, o</td>
<td>Miani: e, o</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKU: o</td>
<td>KOW: a, e, o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mala: e, a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR: a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAR: a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The only vowel sequence which is fairly frequent in PNA reconstructions is *ua. There are also a handful of reconstructions with *ai or *ia. It is not always clear whether these should be considered diphthongs, a sequence of two vowels, or glide-vowel sequence.

**PNA *w and *y**

Two glides, *w and *y, have been reconstructed for PNA. In most Northern Adelbert languages, glides have fricative allophones, sometimes in free variation, sometimes conditioned by adjacent vowels, as in Barem, where /w/ is realized as [β] adjacent to /i/. Reflexes of word-final *w often vary widely within individual languages. Z'graggen's transcriptions of final <w> and <b> do not regularly correspond across languages, and I have assumed in most cases that these represent underlying /w/.

Table 7.3h: reflexes of PNA *w

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombarr</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*w</td>
<td>*w</td>
<td>*w</td>
<td>*w</td>
<td>*w</td>
<td>*w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAB: w</td>
<td>BAR: w, o</td>
<td>MOK: w, v, Ø</td>
<td>BEP: w</td>
<td>Maia: w</td>
<td>w, p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: w</td>
<td>MAN: w, p</td>
<td>PAM: w, v, Ø</td>
<td>MOE: w</td>
<td>Maiani: w</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBO: w</td>
<td></td>
<td>HA: w</td>
<td></td>
<td>Miani: w</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBA: w</td>
<td></td>
<td>MAW: w</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mala: w, Ø</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKU: w</td>
<td></td>
<td>KOW: w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR: w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAR: w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7.3i: reflexes of PNA *y

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*y</td>
<td>*y</td>
<td>*Y</td>
<td>*y</td>
<td>*y</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAB: y</td>
<td>BAR: y</td>
<td>MOK: y, Ø</td>
<td>BEP: y</td>
<td>Maia: y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: y</td>
<td>MAN: y</td>
<td>PAM: y, Ø</td>
<td>MOE: y, Ø</td>
<td>Maiani: y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBO: y</td>
<td>KARBA: y</td>
<td>HA: y, Ø</td>
<td>MAU: y</td>
<td>Miani: y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KUK: y</td>
<td>PAR: y</td>
<td>MAW: y, Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mala: l, Ø</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAB: y</td>
<td>YAR: y</td>
<td>KOW: y, Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PNA oral stops**

Voiced and voiceless stops at three places of articulation are reconstructed in word-initial, -medial, and -final positions. As discussed in chapter one, voiced stops in Northern Adelbert languages often have plain voiced and prenasalized voiced allophones. In some languages, prenasalization or lack thereof is determined by the position of the stop in the word, as well as if there is another voiced stop in the environment. Plain voiced and prenasalized voiced allophones likely date to PNA, with the plain voiced allophone occurring word-initially and in the environment of another voiced stop, and the prenasalized voiced allophone intervocally and word-finally (when no other voiced stop is in the environment). In some languages, there have been phonemic splits between the plain and prenasalized allophones due to a particular change affecting one or the other. In the Wanambre dialect of Mokati, for example, the plain voiced allophones merged with their voiceless counterparts intervocally, while prenasalized voiced allophones did not. For example, PNA *d was prenasalized *["d] in *kuduruk 'fly', and is reflected as nd in Wanambre Mokati kunduruk. PNA *d was plain voiced *[d] in *gedaw 'strong' due to the preceding *g, and is reflected as t in Wanambre Mokati getav (see section 9.2.3 for more on these changes in Mokati).
PNA *b and *p

Table 7.3j shows the reflexes of PNA *b. There are few PNA reconstructions with word-final *b, and *ib 'feces' is the only one with widespread reflexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*b</td>
<td>YAB: b–*p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA: b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KARBO: b, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KARBA: b, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uku: b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAR: *b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YAR: *b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BAR: b, mb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAN: b, mb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.3k: reflexes of PNA *p

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ø, *w</td>
<td>YAB: Ø, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA: Ø, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KARBO: Ø, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KARBA: Ø, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uku: Ø, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAR: Ø, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YAR: Ø, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BAR: f, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAN: p, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*f, *w</td>
<td>MOK: Ø, f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAM: f, v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HA: f, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAW: f, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KOW: f, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PNA *p is also found in word-initial, -medial, and -final positions. However, Mauwake, Bepour, and possibly Mokati are the only languages which retain the distinction between PNA *p and *w after a vowel. In the Kumil languages, *p shifted to f[ɸ], while *w is reflected as w. In Mokati, the difference between the reflexes is more subtle. PNA *p deleted after a vowel, while *w is reflected as a glide. However, distinguishing a glide between two vowels from no segment between to vowels is not always easy given the limited Mokati data. In all other languages, PNA *p and *w have merged after a vowel. I use PNA *w for this phoneme, though in some languages, this is realized on the surface as a stop or fricative in certain cases, especially word-finally.
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I have said that Mauwake is one of the few languages to preserve a distinction between postvocalic *p and *w, but Berghäll (2015) suggests the opposite, that in Mauwake historic *w (with allophones [w] and [β]) devoiced to f [ɸ] in some positions. However, there are both w[f] and w:w correspondences in similar positions, as exemplified by Mauwake and Barem in Table 7.3i.

Table 7.3i: correspondences for *p and *w in Mauwake and Barem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
<th>Barem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*mup- 'pull'</td>
<td>muf-</td>
<td>muw-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kapur 'lime'</td>
<td>afura</td>
<td>kawur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*up- 'sing'</td>
<td>uf-</td>
<td>uw-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ip 'leaf'</td>
<td>ifa</td>
<td>iv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*iduw- 'go'</td>
<td>itiw-,</td>
<td>induw-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ikiw-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*aw- 'get, do'</td>
<td>aaw-</td>
<td>aw-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*mekiw 'land'</td>
<td>miiwa</td>
<td>mikiv</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If Mauwake f derives from historic *w, this leaves unexplained why it devoiced in *ifa 'leaf', for example, but not *miiwa 'land'. For this reason, I reconstruct PNA *p and *w for these two correspondences. For cognate sets without a Bepour, Mauwake, or Mokati reflex to disambiguate between PNA *p and *w, I have assumed it to be *w.

An argument could be made to reconstruct a bilabial fricative *f for this correspondence, rather than a stop *p, given that stop reflexes are found only in Manep and Gavak. I have reconstructed PNA *p, rather than *f, since the lenition or deletion of stops is a common process in Northern Adelbert languages. Tibor and Kaukombar languages lenited *t to s in at least some environments, and PNA *k deleted or lenited to h in several languages as well. It is therefore not surprising that lenition or deletion of *p is widespread. On the other hand, reconstructing *f for this correspondence would require positing a fortition of *f > p in Manep and Gavak, and there are no parallel fortitions of PNA *s in these or other Northern Adelbert languages.
The choice of reconstructing PNA *p rather than *f has consequences for classification of Northern Adelbert languages, as *p > *f is one of the two shared changes uniting the Kumil and Tibor subgroups. If PNA *f is reconstructed rather than *p, this would leave *ŋ > ∅ as the only change uniting Kumil and Tibor.

**PNA *d, *t, and *s**

PNA had two alveolar stops *t and *d, and an alveolar fricative *s. Major changes to these phonemes in individual subgroups include the merger of *t and *d with their corresponding velars in Proto-Kumil, and the merger of initial *t and *s as *s in Proto-Tibor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7.3m: reflexes of PNA *d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numugen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAB: d, j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: d, r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBO: d, j, r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBBA: d, j, r</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7.3n: reflexes of PNA *t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numugen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAB: t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBO: t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBBA: t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKU: t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR: t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAR: t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*s is the only fricative in the PNA phoneme inventory. PNA *s is found in relatively few reconstructions, and usually occurs before *i. Since *t does not occur before *i, it may be possible to
analyze them as two instantiations of the same proto-phoneme, with *[s] as the allophone of *t when it occurs before *i. However, there are a few reconstructions where *s occurs before other vowels, such as *suw- ‘push’, *selew 'sand', and *sewaw 'sword grass'. Before these vowels, *s and *t are contrastive.

### Table 7.3o: reflexes of PNA *s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>∅</td>
<td><em>s</em></td>
<td><em>s</em></td>
<td><em>s</em></td>
<td><em>s</em></td>
<td><em>t</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAB: ∅</td>
<td>BAR: ∅, s</td>
<td>MOK: s</td>
<td>BEP: s</td>
<td>Maia: s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: ∅</td>
<td>MAN: s</td>
<td>PAM: s</td>
<td>MOE: s</td>
<td>Maiani: s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBO: ∅</td>
<td></td>
<td>HA: s</td>
<td>Mau: s</td>
<td>Miani: s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBA: ∅</td>
<td></td>
<td>MAW: s</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mala: s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uku: ∅</td>
<td></td>
<td>KOW: s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pari: ∅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yar: ∅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PNA *g and *k

Correspondences for PNA *g and *k are illustrated in Table 7.3p-q. Notable changes to *k are its lenition or deletion in most contexts in many Tibor, Kumil, and Kaukombar languages. In a few Numugen languages, *k has become *s before *i.

### Table 7.3p: reflexes of PNA *g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>g</em></td>
<td><em>g</em></td>
<td><em>g</em></td>
<td><em>d</em></td>
<td><em>g</em></td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAB: g, ∅</td>
<td>BAR: g, ngg, ng</td>
<td>MOK: g-k</td>
<td>BEP: ?, ∅</td>
<td>Maia: g, ∅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: g, ∅</td>
<td>MAN: g, ngg, ng</td>
<td>PAM: k</td>
<td>MOE: k, ngk</td>
<td>Maiani: k, ∅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBO: g</td>
<td>HA: k</td>
<td>MAW: k</td>
<td>Miani: g, ∅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBA: g</td>
<td>KOW: ?</td>
<td>MAU: k</td>
<td>Mala: k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uku: g, ∅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pari: g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yar: g, ∅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95 Although the reflex of PNA *s is *s in all Kaukombar languages, this correspondence is reconstructed as Proto-Kaukombar *t. *t and *s are in complementary distribution in all Kaukombar languages, and were in Proto-Kaukombar as well, so that Proto-Kaukombar *t had allophones *[t]* and *[s]* (see Chapter 11).
Table 7.3q: reflexes of PNA *k

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*k-</td>
<td>YAB: k~?, s, Ø</td>
<td>*k-</td>
<td>MOK: k</td>
<td>*k-</td>
<td>*k-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA:?-Ø, s, Ø</td>
<td>BAR: k, qk, MAN: k, g, Ø</td>
<td>PAR: k</td>
<td>MAW: Ø, h, k</td>
<td>BEP: h, Ø</td>
<td>BEP: h, Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBO: k, s, Ø</td>
<td>KARBA: ?, s, Ø</td>
<td>KAU: k, Ø</td>
<td>KOW: h, ?</td>
<td>MOE: k, Ø</td>
<td>MOE: k, Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UUK: k, Ø</td>
<td>PAR: k</td>
<td>KAU: m</td>
<td></td>
<td>MAU: Ø</td>
<td>MAU: Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAR: k, Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PNA *m, *n, and *ŋ

PNA had labial, alveolar, and velar nasals. PNA *m has undergone few changes, deleting only in some instances in Tibor languages. PNA *n has deleted word-finally in Tibor and Kaukombar. PNA *ŋ is found almost exclusively in word-final position, with the single exception of *ŋam 'tree'. Most languages have either deleted *ŋ or merged it with *n.

Table 7.3r: reflexes of PNA *m

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*m</td>
<td>YAB: m</td>
<td>*m</td>
<td>BEM: m</td>
<td>*m</td>
<td>*m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: m</td>
<td>BAR: m</td>
<td>MOK: m, Ø</td>
<td>BEP: m</td>
<td>Maia: m</td>
<td>Maia: m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBO: m</td>
<td>MAN: m</td>
<td>PAM: m, Ø</td>
<td>MOE: m</td>
<td>Maiani: m</td>
<td>Maiani: m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBA: m</td>
<td></td>
<td>HA: m, Ø</td>
<td>MAU: m</td>
<td>Miani: m</td>
<td>Miani: m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UUK: m</td>
<td></td>
<td>MAW: m, Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR: m</td>
<td></td>
<td>KOW: m, Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAR: m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.3s: reflexes of PNA *n

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*n</td>
<td>YAB: n</td>
<td>*n</td>
<td>BEM: n</td>
<td>*n</td>
<td>*n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: n</td>
<td>BAR: n</td>
<td>MOK: n, Ø</td>
<td>BEP: n</td>
<td>Maia: n, Ø</td>
<td>Maia: n, Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBO: n</td>
<td>MAN: n</td>
<td>PAM: n, Ø</td>
<td>MOE: n</td>
<td>Maiani: n, Ø</td>
<td>Maiani: n, Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBA: n</td>
<td></td>
<td>HA: n, Ø</td>
<td>MAU: n</td>
<td>Miani: n, Ø</td>
<td>Miani: n, Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UUK: n</td>
<td></td>
<td>MAW: n, Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR: n</td>
<td></td>
<td>KOW: n, Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAR: n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7.3t: reflexes of PNA *ŋ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ŋ</td>
<td>*ŋ</td>
<td>*∅</td>
<td>*∅</td>
<td>*∅</td>
<td>*∅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAB: n</td>
<td>BAR: n</td>
<td>MOK: ∅</td>
<td>BEP: ∅</td>
<td>MAI: ∅</td>
<td>ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: n</td>
<td>MAN: n</td>
<td>PAN: ∅</td>
<td>MOE: ∅</td>
<td>MAI: ∅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBO: n</td>
<td></td>
<td>HA: ∅</td>
<td>MAU: ∅</td>
<td>MIA: ∅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARBA: n</td>
<td></td>
<td>MAW: ∅</td>
<td>KOW: ∅</td>
<td>MIA: ∅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCU: n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAR: n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gavak is the only language which retains *ŋ as a distinct phoneme. However, it is possible in some cases to reconstruct *ŋ without a Gavak reflex, based on the nasal correspondences in other languages. For example, *ŋ is reflected as n in Barem, and ∅ in Moere and Bepour, while *n is reflected as n in both. These correspondences are illustrated in Table 7.3t.

Table 7.3t: correspondences for *n and *ŋ in Moere and Barem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Barem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*gemaŋ 'liver'</td>
<td>kema</td>
<td>ema</td>
<td>gaman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*madeŋ 'man'</td>
<td>mangke</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>mamunden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*bugaŋ 'post'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>pu'a</td>
<td>bugan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wagen 'hand'</td>
<td>ampen</td>
<td>wagen</td>
<td>omben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*iben 'vagina'</td>
<td>impen</td>
<td>ipen</td>
<td>imbien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*demin 'how many'</td>
<td>kemin</td>
<td>emin</td>
<td>dimin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For some reconstructions, I have relied on Waskia, a related language outside Northern Adelbert, to decide between *ŋ and *n. For example, Proto-Manep Barem *wayan and Proto-Kaukombar *waya 'white' could point to either PNA *wayan or *wayaŋ. However, Waskia uyang 'white' points to *wayaŋ.
PNA *r and *l

Two liquid phonemes, *r and *l, are reconstructed for PNA. PNA *r much more frequent in the reconstructed vocabulary than *l, which is only in a handful of reconstructions. Many Northern Adelbert languages have merged *r and *l into a single liquid phoneme, either /r/ or /l/. In my fieldwork I have found that there is often great variation in the realization of this phoneme both within and between speakers, with some realizations more *r-like and some more *l-like. In the source materials for some of the more poorly-documented languages, such as Hember Avu, both <r> and <l> are used in transcriptions, but it is unclear whether they are phonemically contrastive. However, for a few languages, including, Mauwake and Manep, a distinction between /r/ and /l/ can be clearly demonstrated. Neither *r nor *l are found word-initially in any reconstructions, and in most Northern Adelbert languages they are either rare or unattested in word-initial position. This likely represents a phonotactic restriction of Proto-Northern Adelbert.

Table 7.3u: reflexes of PNA *r

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>YAB: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KARBO: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KARBA: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UKU: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAR: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YAR: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>Bar: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAN: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>MOK: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAM: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HA: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAW: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KOW: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>BEP: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOE: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAU: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>Maia: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maiani: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miani: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mala: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.3v: reflexes of PNA *l

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numugen</th>
<th>Manep-Barem</th>
<th>Tibor</th>
<th>Kumil</th>
<th>Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>YAB: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KARBO: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KARBA: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UKU: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAR: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YAR: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*l</td>
<td>Bar: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Man: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*l</td>
<td>MOK: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAM: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HA: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAW: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KOW: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*l</td>
<td>BEP: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOE: r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAU: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*l</td>
<td>Maia: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maiani: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miani: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mala: l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

96 Only two PNA reconstructions with *l have reflexes in Gavak. *kapil 'lime' is reflected as Gavak kivir, while *selew 'sand' is reflected as selep.
7.4 Sound changes in Gavak

Chapters 7-11 outline the changes that have taken place in each branch of Northern Adelbert and their daughter languages, and reconstruct vocabularies for the proto-languages of each group. Gavak is a single-member primary branch of Northern Adelbert, however, and as such there is no proto-language to reconstruct for this branch. I outline the sound changes which took place in Proto-Gavak relative to PNA below.

\*a, \*e > \emptyset/\_#

Initial vowels \*a and \*e deleted in verb stems, as in \*ag- 'to see' > g-, \*an- 'to eat', n-, \*aw- 'to do, get' > w-, \*ew- 'to say' > w-. High vowels \*i and \*u did not usually delete, as in \*ub- 'to plant' > uw-, \*un- 'draw water' > un-, \*in- 'sleep' > in-, and \*id- 'give to plural' > ir-.

\*d > r/V_

PNA \*d lenited to r after a vowel, as in \*id- 'give to PL' > ir-, \*wediem 'sun' > urume, \*dul 'tail' > arur\textsuperscript{97} and the 3SG.PST suffix \*-Vd > -er.

\*k > \emptyset/\_\& \*V > /\_

Final \*k deleted, as in \*buruk 'pig' > bur, \*merik > mel, and \*t(e/i)bik 'rain' > tepik. These forms also illustrate the deletion of final vowels, which was fed by final \*k deletion.

\textsuperscript{97} The initial a in arur tail is likely a fossilized possessor morpheme, seen also in aip 'leaf' < PNA \*ip, and anek 'tooth' < \*nag.
\*g > k

Word-final *g devoiced to k, as in \*duag 'snake' > dok, *mag 'eye' > mek, *nag 'tooth' > anek, and *yag 'water' > yek.

\*b > w /V_V

PNA *b lenited to a glide w intervocalically, resulting in a merger with *w in this position. Examples are *ub- 'to plant' > uw-, *waben 'arm' > aven *kebuar 'mouth' > kawor.

\*a > e/_C.

* a became e in closed syllables, as in *yag > yek, *mag 'eye' > mek, *nag 'tooth' > anek, *magaw 'star' > magep, and *gar 'platform' > ger.

\*a > o/_m

Before *m, *a become o, as in *mam 'taro' > mom, and *kam 'day' > kom. PNA *ŋam 'tree' has two reflexes: ngom 'tree' (singular) and ngam 'tree(s)' (general/plural).

\*ua > o

PNA *ua coalesced into o, as in *duag 'snake' > dok, *guang 'skin' > gong, and *kebuar 'mouth' > kawor.

Relative chronology of Gavak sound changes

Deletion of final *k took place before devoicing of final stops and final vowel deletion, as illustrated in Table 7.4a.
Table 7.4a: relative chronology of Gavak sound changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*duag 'snake'</th>
<th>*yag 'water'</th>
<th>*buruk 'pig'</th>
<th>*merik 'eel'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) *k &gt; ∅/ #</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>buru</td>
<td>meri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) *g &gt; k/ #</td>
<td>duak</td>
<td>yak</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*V &gt; ∅/ #</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>bur</td>
<td>mer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If devoicing of final *g had taken place first, it would have fed loss of final *k, giving *do for 'snake' and *ye for 'water'. Since the final consonant was not deleted in dok and yek, this indicates that *k deletion was already completed at the time *g devoicing took place.

7.5 Proto-Northern Adelbert lexical reconstructions

In this section, I present the reconstructed Proto-Northern Adelbert vocabulary. First, I discuss Proto-Northern Adelbert pronouns and verb morphology. I then present my PNA reconstructions and supporting cognate sets.

7.5.1 PNA pronouns

I reconstruct three sets of PNA pronouns: free pronouns, possessive pronouns, and direct object-marking prefixes\(^{98}\). The free pronouns are independent words whose reflexes in all languages refer to the subject of a clause. In some languages, such as Tibor and Kaukombar languages, they can be used to refer to any other kind of argument as well. In other languages, more pronouns for other kinds of arguments, such as possessors and direct objects, have been formed by suffixing the free pronouns

\(^{98}\) Reconstruction of the pronouns for individual subgroups' proto-languages are discussed in Chapters 8-11.
forms. For example, Barem has formed dative pronouns by adding the element -tuk to the reflexes of the free pronouns (ituk 1SG.DAT, natuk 2SG.DAT, utuk 3SG.DAT, etc).

Table 7.5a shows the reconstructed free pronouns for PNA and for each Northern Adelbert subgroup (or in the case of Gavak, the attested forms). Reconstruction of the pronoun forms in each subgroup's proto-language is discussed in Chapters 8-11.

Table 7.5a: reconstructed free pronouns in PNA and subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>P. Tibor</th>
<th>P. Kumil</th>
<th>P. M-B</th>
<th>P.Numugen</th>
<th>P.Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ye 1SG</td>
<td>*ye</td>
<td>*ye</td>
<td>*i</td>
<td>*ye</td>
<td>*yo</td>
<td>ying</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ne 2SG</td>
<td>*ne</td>
<td>*ne</td>
<td>*ne</td>
<td>*ne</td>
<td>*no</td>
<td>ning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*we 3SG</td>
<td>*wo</td>
<td>*wo</td>
<td>*u</td>
<td>*we</td>
<td>*wo</td>
<td>aung</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*yin 1PL</td>
<td>*yik</td>
<td>*ik</td>
<td>*in</td>
<td>*in</td>
<td>*yi</td>
<td>yin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nin 2PL</td>
<td>*nik</td>
<td>*nik</td>
<td>*nan</td>
<td>*an</td>
<td>*nai, *ni</td>
<td>nin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*win 3PL</td>
<td>*wuk</td>
<td>*wik</td>
<td>*un</td>
<td>*wed</td>
<td>*wi</td>
<td>aun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most subgroups reflect the PNA forms quite faithfully. Kumil-Tibor has rounded PNA *e to PKT *o in the 3SG, which is not a regular change. However, it is not surprising, given that many Kumil-Tibor languages have undergone diachronic changes or have synchronic processes which round e to o adjacent to a labial consonant. Proto-Kaukombar also rounded PNA *e in the singular forms, but this was a regular sound change. Gavak added the suffix -ng.

For the plural forms, the Tibor and Kumil groups share the innovation of final *k which replaces PNA final *n. Proto-Numugen has also innovated 2PL *an and 3PL *wed. The loss of final *n in Proto-Kaukombar is regular. There are some discrepancies in the vowel for the 2PL, which is *a in Proto-Manep-Barem and Proto-Numugen, but *i in the other languages.

Table 7.5b shows reflexes of the PNA free pronouns in a representative language from each subgroup. Forms which have undergone irregular innovations are in parentheses.
Table 7.5b: reflexes of PNA free pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>P. Tibor</th>
<th>Bep.</th>
<th>Manep</th>
<th>Karian</th>
<th>Miani</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ye 1SG</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>yə</td>
<td>yo</td>
<td>(ying)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ne 2SG</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>nə</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>(ning)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*we 3SG</td>
<td>wo</td>
<td>wo</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>wə</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>(aung)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*yin 1PL</td>
<td>(yik)</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>in</td>
<td>in</td>
<td>yi</td>
<td>yin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nin 2PL</td>
<td>(nik)</td>
<td>(ni)</td>
<td>nan</td>
<td>(an)</td>
<td>ni</td>
<td>nin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*win 3PL</td>
<td>(wuk)</td>
<td>(wi)</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>(wər)</td>
<td>wi</td>
<td>aun</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PNA possessive pronouns are formed with affixation of the suffix *-ner to the free pronouns. Reflexes of *-ner are found in Manep-Barem, Numugen, Kaukombar, and the Kumil language Moere, but are not found in the Tibor languages or Gavak. The reconstructed PNA possessive pronouns and the reconstructions for individual subgroups are shown in Table 7.5c.

Table 7.5c: reconstructed possessive pronouns in PNA and subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>P. M-B</th>
<th>P. Numugen</th>
<th>P. Kaukombar</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG *ye-ner</td>
<td>ener</td>
<td>*i-ner</td>
<td>*ye-ner</td>
<td>*yo-nor</td>
<td>(yem)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG *ne-ner</td>
<td>nener</td>
<td>*na-ner</td>
<td>*ne-ner</td>
<td>*no-nor</td>
<td>(neme)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG *u-ner</td>
<td>onor</td>
<td>*u-ner</td>
<td>*we-ner</td>
<td>*o-nor</td>
<td>(umo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL *yi-ner</td>
<td>(iki)</td>
<td>*in-ner</td>
<td>*i-ner</td>
<td>*yi-nor</td>
<td>(indime)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL *ni-ner</td>
<td>nikiner</td>
<td>*nan-ner</td>
<td>*a-ner</td>
<td>*ni-nor</td>
<td>(nendime)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL *wi-ner</td>
<td>wikiner</td>
<td>*un-ner</td>
<td>*wed-iner</td>
<td>*wi-nor</td>
<td>(undumo)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reflexes of *-ner are not found in Tibor, which does not have separate forms for the possessive pronouns. A reflex of *-ner is not found in Gavak either. Instead, Gavak possessive pronouns are formed with the suffix -me for the singular forms and -dime for the plurals. Moere is the only Kumil language with reflexes of the PNA forms, so it is listed in the place of Proto-Kumil in Table 7.5c.

The reflexes of the PNA possessive pronouns in Moere, Proto-Manep-Barem, Proto-Numugen, and Proto-Kaukombar are for the most part straightforward, following regular sound changes, including a change of PNA *e to Proto-Kaukombar *o. Affixation of possessive *-ner to the Proto-Numugen free
pronouns *in '1PL' and *an '2PL' resulted in a sequence of two adjacent *n's, one of which deleted. An epenthetic *i was inserted between the Proto-Numugen free pronoun *wed '3PL' and *-ner, resulting in *wediner. Moere *ki *kier '1PL' is irregular, lacking the expected nasal reflex of *n.

Table 7.5d illustrates reflexes of the PNA possessive pronouns with a representative language from each subgroup (except Gavak and Tibor, which do not have reflexes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Manep</th>
<th>Yaben (Numugen)</th>
<th>Maia (Kaukombar)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ye-ner</td>
<td>ener</td>
<td>i-ner</td>
<td>yənalu</td>
<td>yonor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ne-ner</td>
<td>(ikier)</td>
<td>na-ner</td>
<td>nənalu</td>
<td>nonor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*we-ner</td>
<td>ne-ner</td>
<td>u-ner</td>
<td>wənalu</td>
<td>onor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*in-ner</td>
<td>niki-ner</td>
<td>ini-ner</td>
<td>inyinalu</td>
<td>inor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*an-ner</td>
<td>onor</td>
<td>nani-ner</td>
<td>anyinalu</td>
<td>ninor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wor-ner</td>
<td>niki-ner</td>
<td>uni-ner</td>
<td>wajinalu</td>
<td>winor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some Northern Adelbert languages have object-marking prefixes which are essentially the same in form as the free pronouns, sometimes with slight alterations to the vowel. This is the case in Maia for example, where the forms of the object prefixes differ from the free pronouns only in changes to the vowel, as illustrated in Table 7.6d.
Table 7.6d Maia free pronouns and object prefixes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>free pronouns</th>
<th>obj. prefixes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>yo</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>ni-/no-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>u-/o-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>nae</td>
<td>ni-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>wi</td>
<td>wi-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As discussed in section 7.2, some Northern Adelbert languages have 1SG and 2SG singular object pronouns which are formed by addition a reflex of PNA *p to the free pronouns, resulting in PNA *ip- 1SG.OBJ and *nap- 2SG.OBJ. In Mauwake, these are free standing pronouns. In Manep, Karian, and Gavak, the reflexes are prefixes that are used only with the verb 'to see'. Barem has both free standing pronouns and prefixes reflecting PNA *ip- and *nap-. In Barem as well, the prefixes are used only with 'to see'. Plural objects of 'to see' are marked with reflexes of the prefix *ib- in Barem, Manep, and Karian. Gavak also has a specialized plural object prefix used only with 'to see', but it is not cognate. Table 7.6e illustrates the reflexes of PNA object pronouns in individual languages.

Table 7.6e: reflexes of PNA object pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
<th>Barem (QK)</th>
<th>Manep</th>
<th>Karian</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ip- 1SG</td>
<td>efə</td>
<td>iv-, ivo</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>yə-</td>
<td>ip-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nap- 2SG</td>
<td>nefa</td>
<td>nav-, nawo</td>
<td>nav-</td>
<td>no-</td>
<td>nap-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>ØØ</td>
<td>Ø-, uwo</td>
<td>Ø-</td>
<td>Ø-</td>
<td>Ø-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ib- PL</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>imb-</td>
<td>imb-</td>
<td>ivo-101</td>
<td>(iru-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although both Mauwake and Barem have free-standing object pronouns, they are not entirely cognate. The final a in Mauwake ifa 1SG.OBJ and nefa 2SG.OBJ is not cognate with the final o in

99 nap 2SG.OBJ also differs from the corresponding free pronoun *ne in the vowel.
100 Synchronically, they may be better analyzed as fossilized prefixes that are now part of the verb stem.
101 Karian actually has three plural object prefixes for 'to see': 1PL inyimbə-, 2PL anyimbə-, and 3PL ivo-. The 1PL and 2PL forms are derived historically from the corresponding pronouns plus *ib-.
Barem ivo 1SG.OBJ and navo 2SG.OBJ. For this reason, I reconstruct PNA *ip- and *nap- as prefixes. Their use was possibly restricted to 'see', as in Manep, Karian and Gavak, with a later innovation for use as free standing pronouns in Mauwake and Barem.

### 7.5.2 PNA subject/tense marking

As outlined in the descriptions of individual languages in Chapters 1-6, most Northern Adelbert languages have verb endings that mark subject and tense. Under Hardin's (2002) analysis of Maia, verb endings mark subject and aspect/mood, not tense, and this analysis may apply to other Kaukombar languages as well. For the purposes of reconstructing PNA subject/tense endings, I treat the Maia perfective-realis endings as equivalent to past tense in other languages, and imperfective-realis endings as equivalent to present or hodiernal tense in other languages.

Table 7.6f shows past tense endings from each Northern Adelbert subgroup, and the forms reconstructed for PNA. For Tibor, Numugen, and Kaukombar languages, the reconstructed forms are shown. Dashes in a cell indicate no form has been reconstructed. Mauwake represents the Kumil languages, and both Manep and Barem are included, as they each retain different parts of the PNA paradigm. Proto-Kaukombar endings are composed of the perfective aspect marker *-g(e), followed by a subject/realis mood marker. Proto-Numugen has added past tense marker *-i to the PNA endings.

---

102 In this section I refer to endings which occur on final verbs. The endings on medial verbs are much more diverse in both form and function across Northern Adelbert languages. The only reconstructed medial verb endings are the same subject markers *-be and *-eb.
Table 7.6f: past tense/subject markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
<th>PTibor</th>
<th>Manep</th>
<th>Barem</th>
<th>PNum.</th>
<th>PKa.</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*-Vm</td>
<td>-a-m</td>
<td>*-em</td>
<td>umi</td>
<td>-me</td>
<td>*-Vm(-i)</td>
<td>*(-ge)-mo</td>
<td>um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>-e-m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-a(n/ŋ)</td>
<td>-a-n</td>
<td>*-en</td>
<td>an</td>
<td>-en</td>
<td>*Vn(-i)</td>
<td>*(-g)-ia</td>
<td>eng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-e(n/ŋ)</td>
<td>-e-n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-ad</td>
<td>-a-k</td>
<td>*-et</td>
<td>ad</td>
<td>-et</td>
<td>*Vr(-i)</td>
<td>*(-ge)-a</td>
<td>er</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-ed</td>
<td>-e-k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-min</td>
<td>-(a-mik)</td>
<td>*-emin</td>
<td>umin</td>
<td>-min</td>
<td>*-min(-i)</td>
<td>*(-ge)-mi</td>
<td>min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>(-e-mik)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-man</td>
<td>-a-man</td>
<td>*-eman</td>
<td>uman</td>
<td>(-ma)</td>
<td>*-man(-i)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>-e-man</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-mid</td>
<td>-(a-mik)</td>
<td>*-emid</td>
<td>(-umin)</td>
<td>-mid</td>
<td>*-mid(-i)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-mit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>(-e-mik)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the PNA 1SG.PST marker *-Vm, it is only possible to reconstruct the consonant, since the vowel is not consistent across individual subgroups, and it sometimes precedes, and sometimes follows *m.

Two forms are reconstructed for both the 2SG.PST and the 3SG.PST, one with *a, and the other with *e. In Mauwake, Manep, Barem, and possibly Numugen languages, the use e or a in the suffix depends on the verb's class. For the 2SG.PST, Kumil-Tibor languages indicate final *n, but Gavak indicates final *ŋ. The other languages are ambiguous.

For the plural endings, both Mauwake and Manep have one form for 1/3PL.PST. Mauwake 1/3PL -mik is a reflex of the PNA 3PL.PST *-mid, with a regular sound change of *d > k. Manep 1/3PL -umin is a reflex of PNA 1PL.PST *-min. Manep also uses the same forms for 2/3SG.PST, derived from the PNA 2SG.PST.

Table 7.6g shows the endings for either present tense or hodiernal tense, or in the case of Proto-Kaukombar, imperfective/realis. In every subgroup, the forms in Table 7.6g are morphologically

---

103 Two allomorphs with e and a in the 3SG endings are also found in Tibor languages, but in the 3SG hodiernal endings, not the past tense ending.
related to the past tense forms illustrated in Table 7.6f for at least some endings. In Numugen and Kaukombar, the past endings simply add an additional suffix to the present endings. In the other subgroups, it is the reverse; the present tense endings are formed by adding morphology to the past tense endings. Mauwake present tense is formed with the addition of the present tense marker -i to the past tense forms, and Manep present tense is formed with the addition of -ik. The Proto-Tibor 1SG hodiernal is formed by adding -ek to the 1SG past form (the forms of other Proto-Tibor hodiernal suffixes are not related to their past tense counterparts). For Barem, the hodiernal endings have initial k where the past tense endings have initial m or a vowel. Gavak 2SG and 3SG present are formed by adding -ng to their past tense counterparts. What all these languages have in common is the present/hodiernal tense is related to the past tense through the addition of some kind of velar. A PNA present tense marker *-ik can tentatively be reconstructed based on the Mauwake, Manep, and Proto-Tibor 1SG forms, and Barem could also reflect this, with unexplained loss of *i. However, the element -ng used with the Gavak 2SG and 3SG present endings is not the expected reflex of *k.

Table 7.6g: present tense/subject markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
<th>PTibor</th>
<th>Manep</th>
<th>Barem</th>
<th>PNum.</th>
<th>PKauk.</th>
<th>Gavak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOD PRS</td>
<td>HOD PRS</td>
<td>HOD PRS</td>
<td>HOD PRS</td>
<td>HOD PRS</td>
<td>HOD PRS</td>
<td>HOD PRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>-i-yem</td>
<td>*-ekem</td>
<td>-ikimi</td>
<td>-ke</td>
<td>*-Vm</td>
<td>*-mo</td>
<td>-em</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>-i-n</td>
<td>*-ik</td>
<td>-ian</td>
<td>-kan</td>
<td>*-Vn</td>
<td>*-ia</td>
<td>-ng-eng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>-i-ya</td>
<td>*-ak/-ek</td>
<td>-egan</td>
<td>-ko, -ka</td>
<td>*-Vr</td>
<td>*-ad</td>
<td>-ng-er</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>-i-mik</td>
<td>*-emig</td>
<td>-ikimin</td>
<td>-kin</td>
<td>*-min</td>
<td>*-mi</td>
<td>-emin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>-i-man</td>
<td>*-emag</td>
<td>-ikaman</td>
<td>-ka</td>
<td>*-man</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-emen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>-i-mik</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-ikimin</td>
<td>-kid</td>
<td>*-mid</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-emit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is not clear if the reconstructed PNA verb endings in Table 76f marked subject as well as tense, or only the subject. Since reflexes of these endings are used in both past and present tense conjugations in most languages, and also are used in future tense endings in languages like Gavak, I only assign the meaning of person/number of the subject to these endings.
7.5.3 PNA vocabulary

Below I present the reconstructed PNA vocabulary with supporting cognate sets. I reconstruct a PNA form if there are reflexes with regular sound correspondences in at least two languages belonging to different branches of PNA. This includes cases where a reconstruction is based only on two adjacent or nearby languages. For example, PNA *teŋ 'branch' is based only on Manep and Gavak reflexes. As Manep and Gavak are adjacent and speakers of these languages are in regular contact, there is a possibility that this lexical item spread from one language to the other through borrowing, rather than both languages inheriting it from PNA.

If resemblant forms are found in two languages, but the sound correspondences are not regular, I do not reconstruct a PNA form. For example, Manep karerak and Gavak karerang 'parrot' resemble each other, but final k in Manep and final ng in Gavak are not a regular correspondence, so I do not reconstruct a PNA form based on these words.

When the reflexes are ambiguous between the presence or absence of a segment in PNA, that segment is listed in parenthesis in the reconstruction. For example, reflexes of *gabe(k) 'bone' are ambiguous between *gabe and *gabek. When reflexes are ambiguous between two segments, both segments are listed in parentheses with a slash between them, as in *muga(n/ŋ) 'bird'. When a lexical item for a particular language is listed in parentheses, this indicates that, although the item resembles forms in other languages, it is not cognate. These forms are included for the sake of completeness, to indicate that they have been identified as non-cognate.
*ad- 'go'
  Kumil *eg-
    Mau: ek-
  Manep-Barem *ad-
    Bar: and-
    Man: and-

*-at (adjective-forming suffix)
  Tibor *-at
    Mok: -at
    Pam: -at
  Manep-Barem *-at
    Bar: -as
  Numugen *-at
    Yab: -at
    Usan: -at
    Usan nanat 'sharp' nân 'tooth'
  Kaukombar *-at
    Maia: -at
  Gavak: -et

In all languages but Gavak, the reflexes of *-at appear to be fossilized morphology that is no longer productive. It derives an adjective from a noun, for example Pamosu kupil 'saliva' and kupilat slippery, or Proto-Kaukombar *yag 'water' and *yag-at 'wet'. Two derived adjectives with this suffix have been constructed for PNA: *bin-at 'heavy' from *bin 'weight', and *ked-at 'red' from *ked 'blood'.

*-aw (NMLZ)
  Tibor *-aw
    Pam: -av
  Kumil *-aw
    Mau: -owa
  Manep-Barem *-aw
    Bar: -av
    Man: -ap
  Numugen *-aw
    Usan: -au

Reflexes of *-aw are used to form gerunds and derived nouns.

*ag- 'to see'
  Tibor *eg- *ega-, *egi-
    Mok: ek-, eka-, ok-, eki-
    Pam: ek, eka-, eki-
    HA: engg-, enggi-
    Maw: wek-
    Kow: e'
In the Tibor languages with multiple roots, reflexes of *ega- is used with past tense, *eki- is used with imperatives, and *ek- is used with other conjugations (see section 3.1 on multiple stems in Tibor languages).

*am-, *amum- 'cry'

Tibor *om- *omum-
Mok: om-, omum-
Pam: om- omum-
HA: om-
Maw: mom-

Kumil *emem-
Bep: emem-
Mau: omom-

Manep-Barem
Bar: amuw-
Man: amum-

Numugen
Par: em-
Yar: em-

Kaukombar
Mala: mum- umum-

Gavak: m-

*an- 'to eat'

Proto-Kumil-Tibor *en- *enim-
Tibor *en-, *enim-
Mok: en-, enum-
Pam: en-
Proto-Numugen *ni- is likely related.

*ar- 'become'
Tibor: *al-, *el-, *a-
   Mok: el-
   Pam: al-, el-, a-
   HA: al-, el-, a-
Kumil *ar-
   Mau: ar-
Numugen
   Usan: -r
Manep-Barem *ar-
   Bar: ar-
   Man: ar-

Usan -r is a derivational suffix used to turn an adjective into a process verb (Reesink 1987: 46). The reflex of *ar- has a similar use in Manep, for example gadap 'strong' and gadawar- 'strengthen'.

*arakai 'road'
Tibor *ereke
   Pam: elehe
   HA: erehe
   Kow: erehe
Kumil
   Mau: era
Numugen *alakai 'road'
   Yab: ala'i
   Kar. (Boia): alakai
   Kar. (Barto): ala'ai
   Par: alakei
   Uku: ([yake])

*arek 'trunk, piece'
Tibor *arek 'trunk'
   Mok: alek
Pam: *alek
HA: *erek
Kumil *are'
   Bep: are
   Moe: are'
   Mau: ara
Manep-Barem
   Bar: arek

*areker 'crayfish'
   Tibor *ereker
      Mok: *lekel
      Pam: *olohol
Manep-Barem
   Man (Sim.): areker
   Man (Mal.): areger
Numugen *irakai 'crayfish'
   Yab: irake
   Usan: iray
   Par: ilaka
   Uku: ilake
   Yar: ilake

   The Manep reflexes suggest that this reconstruction is bimorphemic *arek(e)-er, since the correspondence between Simbukanam Ø and Malas g is usually due to a morpheme boundary. The initial vowel in the Numugen forms does not correspond with Tibor and Manep-Barem.

*ari 'later, after'
   Tibor *ari
      Pam: aline
      HA: erina
      Kow: ari
   Kumil *ari
      Moe: ari
Manep-Barem *ari
   Bar: ari
   Manep: ari

*ariman 'public, openly'
   Manep-Barem *aruman
      Bar (QK): aruman
      Bar (Bun.): arman
      Manep: aramen
   Kumil *ariman
      Mau: ariman
*auw- 'to burn, cook'
  Kumil *auw
    Mau: aw-, ow-
  Manep-Barem *auw-
    Bar: auw-
    Man: auw-
  Numugen *au-
    Usan: oa-

*aw- 'to do, get, take'
  Tibor *ew- 'take, get'
    Pam: ev-
    HA: ew-
    Maw: w-
    Kow: ev-
  Kumil *aw- 'take, get'
    Bep: ew-
    Moe: ew-
    Mau: aaw-
  Manep-Barem *aw-
    Bar: aw-
    Man: aw-
  Kaukombar *aw-
    Maiani: awu-
    Gavak: w- 'get'

*bab 'older brother'
  Tibor *bab
    Mok: bawa, bowa
    Pam: pape 'elder sibling'
    HA: pav
    Maw: apa
    Kow: pap
  Kumil *pap
    Bep: apav
    Moe: apav
    Mau: paapa
  Manep-Barem *bab
    Bar: bab
    Man: ba, baba
  Numugen *bab
    Yab: bavu
    Kar: bab
    Par: baba
    Uku: babe
    Yar: babo
Kaukombar *-bab
  Maia: *-bav
  Maiani: *-pap
  Miani: *-bab
  Mala: *-bav, baba

Gavak: ba

*babad- 'break, cut'
  Manep-Barem *babad-
    Bar: babad- 'break up, cut up'
    Man: bamband- 'cut up'
  Numugen *babad-
    Kar: bəbər 'break'
  Kaukombar *bad-, *babad-
    Maia: buad- 'cut', bua-buad- 'break into pieces'
    Maia (Saki): bad- 'cut'

  PNA *babad- may have been a reduplicated form (*ba-bad-), since both reduplicated and non-
  reduplicated forms are found in Kaukombar languages. However, it is not possible to reconstruct non-
  reduplicated *bad- for PNA, since reflexes are only found in Kaukombar.

*baner 'signal drum, ironwood tree'
  Tibor *baner
    Mok: banel
    Pam: panol 'ironwood tree'
    HA: banel
  Manep-Barem *baner
    Bar (QK): banor
    Bar (Bun.): banar

  The ironwood tree (Tok Pisin kwila) is used to carve signal drums. Usan banderi 'signal drum'
  is similar but not cognate.

*badim 'platform, bed'
  Manep-Barem *badim
    Man: bandim
  Kaukombar *badim
    Mala: badim

*bak- 'carve, sharpen'
  Tibor *bak-
    Pam: pah-, pa-, pav-
    Kow: apah-
  Numugen *bak- *bakat-
    Yab: ba'at-
    Kar (Boia): bak-, bakat-
Pamosu and Karian both have allomorphic variants of the same stem, but only one variant *bak-
is reconstructable to PNA. Barem *f- 'carve' is similar, but would reflect initial *p, not *b.

*barat, *babarat 'year'
Manep-Barem
Bar: babaras
Kaukombar
Maia (Wagedav): barat
Mala: babarat

May be related to Proto-Numugen *bebel 'star'.

*baram 'hornbill'
Tibor
Mok: balom
Pam: kumbalom
HA: param
Manep-Barem *baram
Bar: baram
Man: buram
Numugen *baram
Kar: baləm
Gavak: navuram

In Gavak na- is not an independent word, but is found on many bird names. This is possibly a loanword in at least some languages, as the change of *a > o in Mokati and Pamosu, and *a > u in Gavak are irregular.

*-be, -eb SS
Tibor
Mok: -ep, be
Pam: -op (SS sequential), embe (SS coordinator)
Kumil
Mau: -ap/-ep (SS sequential)
Numugen
Usan: -āb, -ub
Kar: -bə
Gavak: -be

These suffixes and coordinators all mark same subject on a medial verb.

*begen 'light'
Kumil-Tibor *begin
Tibor *begi 'light'
Mok: beki
Pam: peki
HA: peki
Kumil
Mau: *paakina

Manep-Barem *begen
Bar: *bagen, bigen
Man: *bangen

Numugen *begen 'light'
Usan: *begen
Kar: *bagan

Kaukombar *bogo
Maia (Saki): *bogo

*e > i in Kumil-Tibor is irregular.

*ben 'adze/axe'

Kumil-Tibor *ben
Tibor *be
Pam: *pe 'axe'
HA: *be 'stone 'axe'

Kumil *pen
Mau: *pena 'adze'

Manep-Barem *ben
Bar: *ben 'axe'
Man: *banu 'axe'

Numugen *ben
Usan: *ben
Kar: *bian
Par: *ba
Uku: *bon

Gavak: *panu

*beren 'road'

Kumil-Tibor *beren 'road'
Tibor *bere 'road' (PNA *baren)
Mok: *bele
HA: *bele

Kumil *peren
Bep: *peren
Moe: *permua

Manep-Barem *baren
Bar: *baren
Man: *baren

Moere *permua is 'road+man', with lenition of the medial syllable.

*bik 'bamboo'

Kumil *pika
Bep: *piha
Mau: *pia
Manep-Barem: *bik
Bar: bik

*bik *bik-at 'heavy, weight'
Tibor: *bik-at
Mok: binat, binan
Pam: pinand
HA: binan
Maw: pinan
Kow: pinant

Kumil *pine'
Bep: pine
Moe: pine'
Mau: *pina 'heavy, weight'

Manep-Barem: *bin, *binat
Bar: bin
Man: binat

Numugen: *binat
Yab: binatu
Usan: binat
Kar: binuat
Par: binata
Uku: binat
Yar: binata

Kaukombar: *ubi 'heavy'
Maia (Wagedav): *ubi 'heavy, weight', *ubin- 'be heavy'
Maiani: upi
Miani: *ubi
Mala: *umbi

Gavak
*binet

The initial u in Kaukombar *ubi is likely the 3SG possessor prefix, at least historically. While in Maia *ubi both a noun 'weight' and an adjective 'heavy', the other languages are only glossed as 'heavy'. While PNA final *n deleted in Proto-Kaukombar, it is preserved in Maia *ubin- 'be heavy', where it is not word-final.

*bug- *bugum- 'to sit'
Tibor: *bug- *bugum-
Mok: buk-, bugum-
Pam: pukem-, puk-
HA: pukum-, bug-, buk-
Maw: pok-
Kow: pu'-, pu'um-

Kumil: *puk-
Mau: pok-
Manep-Barem *bug-, *bugum
Bar: bug-, bugum-
Man: bung-, bungom-

Numugen *bug, *bugam-
Yab: bigwal-
Usan: bug-, bugâm-
Kar. (Boia): big-, bigum-, bigam-
Par: buguriam-
Yar: buguliam-

Kaukombar *bug-
Maia: bug-
Maiani: -puk
Miani: bug-
Mala: buk-

In Tibor, the reflexes of *bugum- are used with most past tense conjugations, present progressive, irrealis/future, and imperatives. Tibor reflexes of *bug are used with hodiernal conjugations. In Manep-Barem, reflexes of *bug- are used with past and present tense, and *bugum- with future tense and imperatives. The pattern of distribution of the two forms in Numugen languages is not clear.

*bugar 'house post'

Tibor *boka, bokan
Mok: bokan
Pam: poka
HA: pokan
Kow: pu'an

Kumil *puga
Bep: pu'a
Mau: poka

Manep-Barem *bugan
Bar: bugan

Kaukombar *buga
Maia: buga
Mala: buka

Gavak: bugong

Final *ŋ usually deleted in Kumil-Tibor, so the nasals in Mokati, Hember Avu, and Kowaki are unexplained.

*buruk 'pig'

Manep-Barem *buruk
Bar: buruk
Man (Sim.): buruk
Man (Mal.): buru

Numugen *buruk 'pig'
Yab: bulu
Usan: *bur
Kar. (Boia): *buru
Kar. (Barto): *buru
Par: *bulu
Uku: *buruk
Yar: *bulua

Gavak: *bur

This is a borrowing from Austonesian (Proto-Oceanic *boRok 'pig').

*debik 'dirty'

Tibor *debik
Mok: *dewik
HA: *tembik

Kumil
Moe: *tempi

Manep-Barem *debik
Man: *jimbik

The expected Moere reflex is *kempi.

*degen 'straight'

Kumil-Tibor *dagen
Tibor *degen 'straight'
Mok: *degenat
Pam: *teke

Kumil *gegen 'straight'
Bep: *e'en
Moe: *kengen
Mau: *kakena

Manep-Barem *degen
Bar: *digen
Man: *dangen

Numugen *degen 'long', right (hand)'
Yab: *dagamu
Usan: *degen
Kar: *dagan
Par: (dágasiki)
Uku: *dogon
Yar: *dogana

Kaukombar *dogo 'straight', *dogon- 'stand'
Maia (Wagedav): *dogo 'straight', *dogon- 'stand'
Maia (Saki): *dogon- 'stand'
Maiani: *tokon- 'stand'
Miani: *dogo 'straight', *dogon- 'stand'
Mala: *dokodoko 'straight'
The expected Mauwake reflex is *kekena. Rounding of *e in Yarawata is unexplained.

*darem- 'to stand'
Manep-Barem *darem-
   Barem: darem-
   Manep: darem-
Numugen *daram-
   Yar: daram-
Kaukombar *derem-
   Mala: terem- 'stand up'

*demin 'how many'
Kumil *gemin
   Bep: emin
   Moe: kemin
   Mau: kamin
Manep-Barem *demin
   Bar: dimin

The expected Mauwake reflex is **kemin

*didum 'bottom'
Kumil *gugum
   Mau: kukuma
Manep-Barem *didum
   Bar: didum

*diruw 'buttress roots, roots above ground'
Tibor *diruw
   HA: diluv
Kaukombar *-duruw
   Maia (Wagedav): -durub 'roots above ground'

See also *durun 'roots'.

*duag 'snake'
Manep-Barem *duag
   Bar: duang
   Man: duang
Numugen *duag
   Yab: dua
   Kar . (Boia): duəg
   Kar (Barto): duək
   Uku: dua
Gavak: dok
*dur 'tail'
Manep-Barem *dur
   Bar: dur
   Man: diru
Numugen *dur
   Kar: dur
Kaukombar *-durag
   Maia: -dira
   Maiani: -tura
   Miani: -dura
   Mala: durak
Gavak: arur

Proto-Kaukombar *-durag may be cognate, but the final syllable is unexplained.

*durun 'root'
Tibor *duru
   Mok: dulu
   Pam: tulu
Numugen *durun, *udun
   Yab: durunu
   Usan: durun
   Kar: durun, urun
   Par: urunu
   Uku: udun
   Yar: uduna

The similar form *diluw has the specific meaning of 'buttress roots', 'above ground roots'

*eba 'net bag'
Tibor *eba
   Mok: opa
   Pam: epa
   HA: emba
   Maw: epa
   Kow: epa
Numugen *abaw
   Yab: abu
   Usan: abaw
   Kar: abuV
   Par: aba
   Uku: abo
   Yar: aba

*ew- 'say'
Tibor *ew-
   Pam: ev-
Manep-Barem
   Man: w-
Kaukombar *ew-
   Mala: ew-
Gavak: w-

The loss of the initial vowel in Manep is unexplained.

*gabe(k) 'rib'
   Manep-Barem *gabe(k)
      Bar: gabegarem
   Gavak: gep

See *garem 'bone'

*gar 'platform'
   Manep-Barem *gar
      Bar: gar
   Numugen *gar
      Usan: gâr ‘bed’
   Gavak: ger

*garem 'bone'
   Manep-Barem *garem
      Bar (QK): irigarem 'face', gabegarem 'ribs'
      Bar (Bun.): irigrem
      Man: gamangarem 'chest' kumangarem 'nape'
   Kaukombar *-garem 'bone'
      Maia (Wagadev): -garum
      Maia (Saki): -garum
      Maia (Pila): -nggarum
      Maiani: -karum
      Miani: -garom
      Mala: -garem

Reflexes of *garem are not attested as independent words in Manep and Barem, but are found only in compounds. Barem irigarem is a compound of irik 'face' and garem (irik 'face' is attested as an independent word). In Manep, kumangarem is a compound of kuman 'nape' and garem, and gamangarem 'chest' is a compound of gaman 'liver' and garem. It is therefore not clear that garem has the meaning 'bone' in Manep-Barem. However, the Barem expression for chest is gaman ksen 'liver-bone', which suggests that the corresponding Manep expression could be bone-liver. The Maia varieties are expected to have the reflex **-garom, and Maiani is expected to be **-karom.

*gedaw 'strong'
   Tibor *gedaw
      Mok: getav
      Pam: ketav
HA: *ketav
Manep-Barem: *gadaw
Bar: gadav
Man: gadap

*geman 'liver'
Kumil-Tibor: *gema
Tibor: *gema
   Pam: kema
   HA: gema fua 'lungs'
   Maw: kema
   Kow: 'ema
Kumil: *gema
   Bep: ema
   Moe: kema
   Mau: kema

Manep-Barem: *gaman
Bar: gaman
Man: gaman

Kaukombar: *-gema
   Maia (Wagadev): -gama 'inside'
   Maia (Saki): goama 'inside'
   Maia (Pila): goama 'inside'
   Maiani: -kema
   Miani: -gema
   Mala: -kama 'inside'

Gavak: gamemang

*girik- 'turn'
Tibor: *giri, *giri fer-
   Mok: gili
   Pam: kili fel 'turn over (trns)', kili fuv- 'turn over (intrs), turn around'
   HA: gilembik-
   Maw: kiri fer-
Kumil: *gir-
   Bep: ir-
   Moe- kir-
   Mau: kir-

Manep-Barem
   Bar: girik-
   Man (Sim.): girig-, girug-
   Man: (Mal.): giri-

Kaukombar
   Maia (Wagedav): (kirik 'turn a log')

Gavak: gigiris- 'become, turn into'
Maia kirik found in May & Loewke (1982) and glossed as 'turn a log'. Presumably it is a verb stem. However, the expected reflex of PNA *g in Maia is g. In Pamosu and other Tibor languages, reflexes of *giri are coverbs used in conjunction with a light verb.

*guan 'skin'
Manep-Barem *gun
Man: gunu
Numugen *guan 'skin'
Yab: gwenu
Usan: goan
Kar: guən
Par: goana
Uku: guan
Yar: guana
Gavak: gong

The loss of *a in Manep gunu is unexplained.

*gun 'louse'
Kumil *guna
Bep: una
Moe: kuna
Mau: (kua)
Manep-Barem *gun
Bar: gun
Man: gunu
Numugen *gun
Yab: gunu
Usan: gun
Kar: gun
Par: gunu
Uku: gun
Yar: gun
Kaukombar *-gu
Maia (Wagadev): nagu
Maiani: aku
Miani: agu
Mala: -ku
Gavak: igun

The expected Mauwake form is **kuna. The initial n in Maia nagu is unexpected.

*gurum 'thick'
Tibor *gurum
Mok: gulum
Pam: kulum
**Kumil *gurum**
Mau: *kuruma*

**Manep-Barem *gurum**
Bar: *gurum*
Man: *gurum*

**Numugen *gurum**
Kar: *gurum*

**Gavak:** *gurum*

*ib 'feces'

**Tibor *ibu**
- Mok: *ipu*
- Pam: *ipu*
- HA: *imbu*
- Maw: *ipu*
- Kow: *ipu*

**Kumil *ipa**
- Bep: *ipa*
- Moe: *mpua*
- Mau: *ipa*

**Manep-Barem *ib**
- Bar: *imb*
- Man: *imbu*

**Numugen *ibi 'feces'*
- Yab: *ibi*
- Usan: *ibi*
- Kar: *ivi*
- Par: *ibi*
- Uku: *ibi*
- Yar: *ibia*

**Kaukombar *-ib 'feces'*
- Maia (Wagadev): *-ib*
- Maia (Saki): *-im*
- Maia (Pila): *-im*
- Miani: *-ip*
- Mala: *-ip*

**Gavak:** *iv-ot*

Gavak *ivot* is a compound of *iv 'feces'*+ *vot 'fruit,seed'*. 

*iben 'vagina'

**Kumil-Tibor *iben**

**Tibor *ibe**
- Mok: *upe*
- Pam: *upe*
- HA: *imbe*
- Kow: *ipe*
Kumil *ipen
    Bep: ipen
    Moe: impen
    Mau: ipena

Manep-Barem *iben
    Bar: imbién

Kaukombar *-ube 'vagina'
    Maia (Saki): -be
    Maia (Pila): -mbe
    Miani: -ube

*id- 'give to PL'
Manep-Barem *id-
    Bar: -ind
    Man: und-

Numugen
    Usan: ind- 'give 1PL'
    Kar: ind- 'give 1PL', aind- 'give to 2PL', ir- 'give to 3PL'

Kaukombar *yiet-, *niet-, *wiet-
    Maia (Wagedav): i-es (give to 1PL), ni-es- (2PL) wi-es-3PL
    Mala: et- (give to 1PL), net- (2PL) ot-3PL

Gavak: ir-

The Manep-Barem, Gavak, and Usan reflexes are used for any person plural object. In Karian and the Kaukombar languages, additional elements are added to distinguish person. However, these elements are not cognate between Karian and Kaukombar, so are not reconstructible to PNA. There has been an irregular change of PNA *d to Proto Kaukombar *t.

*id- 'roast'
Tibor *id-
    Mok: it-
    Pam: it-
    Maw: it-
    Kow: it-

Kumil *id-
    Moe: nt-
    Mau: iki-

Manep-Barem
    Man: indaw-

*idik 'frog'
Kumil *iti
    Bep: iti
    Moe: iti
    Mau: ikia

Manep-Barem *idik
    Man: injik
*idin 'smell'
Tibor *idu
   Pam: itu 'aroma'
   HA: induw-
Kumil *ikin
   Bep: itinew-
   Moe: intinew-
   Mau: ikina
Manep-Barem *idin
   Bar: injin

This form seems to have been originally a noun or a coverb, which was reanalyzed as a verb stem in Hember Avu, and with a change of *i > Proto-Tibor *u.

*iduw- 'to go'
Tibor *idiw- to go' (see PNA *iduw)
   Mok: iti- (itak)
   Pam: itiv-
   HA: indiw-
   Kow: tiw-
Kumil *itiw-
   Bep: itiv-
   Mow: ntiv-
   Mau: itiw-, ikiw-
Manep-Barem *iduw-
   Bar: induw-
   Man: injiw-
Numugen *idu-
   Yab: ijuw-
   Usan: ij-
   Kar: ij-
   Uku: id-
   Yar: id-
Kaukombar *iduw
   Maiani: ituw-
   Mala: induw-
Gavak: daw-, du-

*ig-, *igVd- *igam- to be'
Tibor *ig-, *iga-, *igu-, *igam-, *igud-
   Mok: ik-, ikua-, ikuam-, ikut-
   Pam: ik-, ika, iku-, ikam-, ikal-
   HA: ingga-, inggu-, inggam-, inggor-
   Kow: 'a-, 'u-, 'am-, 'ot-
Kumil *ig-
   Bep: i'
In most languages, 'to be' has more stems than any other verb. Three of these stems can be reconstructed for PNA. In Tibor languages, *ikam- is used with past tense singular conjugations, *ika- with past tense plural, *ik- and *iku- are used with hodiernal, and *igud- is used with irrealis and imperatives.

*igar- 'hit PL'
  Manep-Barem *inggar-
    Man: inggar- 'hit PL'
  Kaukombar *igar-
    Kar: ig-, igal 'hit 3PL'
  Gavak: gar- 'hit PL'

*iguar 'penis'
  Kumil-Tibor *iguar 'penis'
  Tibor *iguar 'penis'
    Mok: ikal, ikual
    Pam: ikual
    HA: ingguar
    Maw: ikual
    Kow: u'ar
  Kumil *igor
    Bep: i'or
    Moe: ingkor
    Mau: ikora
  Manep-Barem *iguar
    Bar: ingguar
    Man: unggwar
  Numugen *iguar 'penis'
    Yaben: igwalu
    Usan: igoar
Kar. (Boia): igwor
Kar. (Barto) igwar
Par: igwarā
Uku: iguar

Kaukombar *-iguar 'penis'
Maia (Saki): -guar
Maia (Tani): -ngar
Miani: -ikoar
Mala: -kar

*i gum-, *igumet- 'burn'
Tibor *igumet-, *igum- 'burn, cook'
Mok: kumet-, ukuma-, kume-, kum-
Pam: ikumes-, ikum-, ikum-
Maw: kum-
Kow: kum-

Kumil *gum-
Mau: kuum- 'burn, bake'

Numugen *igum-, *igumet-
Yaben: agumat-
Usan: gum-
Kar. (Boia): igumat-, igum-

*ikaw 'smoke'
Kumil *ikew
Moe: ikev 'tobacco'
Manep-Barem *ikaw
Bar: ikav
Man: (ikam)

Numugen *ikab
Yaben: i'abu
Usan: iap 'smoke', (qabu) 'tobacco'
Kar. (Boia): ikaw
Kar. (Barto) yu'kop
Par: ikapa
Uku: ikap
Yar: ikapa

Kaukombar *ikaw
Maia (Wagedav): ikav
Miani: ikav
Mala: yav

With the exception of Usan, the same form is used for 'smoke' and 'tobacco' in the Numugen languages. Usan qabu 'tobacco', is probably a borrowing, since Usan does not usually epenthesize a final vowel. The final *b in Proto-Numugen does not correspond with reflexes of *w in other languages.
*im 'hair'
  **Tibor** *imi
    Mok: *imi
    Pam: *imi
    HA: *imi
    Maw: *imi
    Kow: *imi
  **Numugen** *im
    Yaben: *imu
    Usan: *im
    Kar: *im
    Uku: *im

*im- 'boil, cook in pot'
  **Tibor** *im-
    Mok: *im-
    Pam: *im-
    HA: *im-
    Maw: *im-
    Kow: *im-
  **Kaukombar** *im-
    Mala: *im-

*in- 'to sleep'
  **Tibor** *in-
    Mok: *in-
    Pam: *in-
    HA: *in-
    Maw: *in-
    Kow: *in-
  **Kumil** *in-
    Bep: *in-
    Moe: *in-
    Mau: *in-
  **Manep-Barem** *in-
    Bar: *in-
    Man: *in-
  **Numugen** *in-
    Yab: *in-
    Usan: *in-
    Kar: *in-
    Par: *in-
    Uku: *in-
    Yar: *in-
  **Kaukombar** *in-
    Maia (Wagedav): *in-
    Mainia: *in-
Miani: in-
Mala: in-
Gavak: in-

*inigirik- 'to turn in one's sleep'
Kumil *inigirik-
    Mau: inikiri-
Manep-Barem *inigirik-
    Bar: ininggirik-

Derived from *in- 'sleep' and *girik- 'turn'.

*ip 'hair, leaf'
Kumil *ifa
    Mau: ifa 'leaf'
Manep-Barem *iw 'leaf'
    Bar: iv
    Man: iwu
Kaukombar *-iw
    Maia (Wagadev): wiv 'leaf'
    Maiani: wuiv 'leaf'
    Miani: wiv 'hair'
    Mala: -iv 'hair'
Gavak: aip 'leaf'

*iper 'salt, ocean'
Tibor *ifer 'salt'
    Mok: yel
    Pam: ivol
    HA: iver
    Maw: ivir
    Kow: iver
Kumil *ifer 'salt, ocean'
    Bep: ifer
    Mau: ifera
Manep-Barem
    Bar (QK): ivor 'rain'
Numugen *iwer 'salt' (see also *yer 'ocean')
    Yab: iwalu
    Kar: yuar
    Par: iwara
    Uku: iwol
    Yar: iwala
Kaukombar *iwer 'salt, sea'
    Maia (Wagadev): ivor
    Maia (Saki): ivor
    Maia (Pila): iwor
Miani: *iwor
Mala: *iver

QK *ivor 'rain' is likely related. Polysemy between 'water' and 'rain' is found in Usan, Parawen and Yarawata.

*ir-, *iru- 'go up'

Tibor *ir-, *ira-, *iru-
Mok: *il-, *ila-, *ilu-
Pam: *il-, *ila-, *ilu-
HA: *ilak-
Maw: *ir-
Kow: *ir-, *iru-

Kumil *ir-
Bep: *ir-, *iriw-
Moe: *ir-, *iraw-
Mau: *ir-

Manep-Barem *ir-
Bar: *ir-, *iru-
Man: *ir-

Numugen *ir-
Yaben: *il-
Usan: *ir-, *iro-
Kar: *ilak-

Kaukombar *ir-
Maia (Wagedav): *ir-
Miani: *ir-

The velar in Hember Avu is unexplained.

*irin 'all'

Kumil-Tibor *irin
Tibor *irin
Mok: *ilin
Pam: *ilin
HA: *irin

Kumil *irin
Mau: *irina

Manep-Barem *irin
Bar: *irinka
Man: *irin

*iruar 'aibika greens'

Tibor *iruar
Mok: *ilual
Pam: *ilual
HA: *iruar
Manep-Barem *iruar
  Bar: iruar 'aibika; megapode fowl'
  Man: uruar 'megapode fowl'

Names of vegetables are often used as codewords for meat when guests are present, leading to the polysemy in Manep-Barem.

*irub- 'come up'
  Tibor *irub-
    Mok: ilov-
    Pam: ilup-
    HA: irumb-
  Kumil *irup-
    Mau: irip-, urup-
  Manep-Barem *irub-
    Bar: irumb-
    Man: irumb-
  Kaukombar
    Mala: romb-

Z'graggen also gives iromb- for Mala 'go up'.

*irub- 'fill'
  Manep-Barem *irub-
    Bar: irumb-
    Man: irumb-
  Gavak: irb-

*isiw- 'leave behind'
  Manep-Barem
    Bar: iv-
  Gavak: isiw-

*it- *yag *it- 'bathe'
  Tibor *is-
    Mok: is-
    Pam: is-
    HA: si-
    Maw: is-
    Kow: is-
  Kumil *yagi-
    Bep: yahi-
    Moe: engkai-
    Mau: yaki-
  Manep-Barem *yag *is- 'bathe'
    Bar: yanggu-
    Man: yanggu is-
Reflexes of *it- do not always necessarily refer to bathing with water. For example Pamosu mundu famung is-u-ek [fire ash bathe-PRG-3.HOD] 'he is painting himself with fire ash' (Tupper 2012: 408). In Barem, yanggu- 'bathe' has formed from *yag *is- 'water bathe' with regular loss of *s. yanggu- can be used for bathing in things other than water, for example fumuang te yanggu-am-ko [dust LOC bathe-IPFV-R] 'he (a bird) bathing in dust'.

*ituw- 'take out'
Tibor *suw-
Pam: siv- 'detach, take out'
Manep-Barem *ituw-, *utuw- 'take out, take off'
Bar: ituw-, utuw-
Man: ituw-, utuw-
Kaukombar *tuw-
Maia (Wagedav) suv- 'pull out'
Gavak: uwit-

If Gavak is cognate it has undergone metathesis.

*iw- 'put'
Kumil *wi- 'put, place, set'
Moe: wi-
Mau: wu-
Manep-Barem *iw- 'put inside'
Bar: iv-
Man: iw-

*kai 'sugarcane'
Numugen *kai
Usan: qai
Par: ka
Uku: ke
Yar: kaya
Kaukombar *kai
Maia (Wagedave): ai
Maia (Saki): kai
Maia (Pila): *kai
Maiani: ai
Miani: ai
Mala: ngai

**kam 'day, sun'

Tibor *kamu
- Mok (Wan.): *kam beki 'daylight'
- Pam: *pekinamu (daytime)
- HA: *hamu 'sun'
- Maw: (hemari)
- Kow: (hemari)

Kumil *ama 'sun'
- Bep: ama
- Moe: mua
- Mau: ama

Manep-Barem *kam 'sunlight, day, daytime'
- Bar: kam
- Man: *kamu

Kaukombar *kam
- Maia (Wagedav): (koiam)

Gavak: *kom 'day, time, season'

**kamar 'sago'

Numugen *kamar
- Yab: *amalu
- Usan: amar
- Kar. (Boia): *amalu
- Par: kamaru
- Yar: komaru

Kaukombar *kamar 'sago' (<PNA *kamar)
- Maia (Wagedave): amar
- Maia (Saki): kamar
- Maia (Pila): kamar
- Miani: amar
- Mala: namar

PNA *k regularly became *ng~n in Mala, but has only been recorded with *n for namar 'sago' (see Chapter 11).

**kamun 'pan'

Tibor *kamuna
- HA: hamuna
- Kowaki: hamuna

Kumil *amin
- Bep: omin
- Moe: muin
Mau: *amina

**Manep-Barem**

Man: *kumun 'pot'

**Kaukombar *kamun**

Maia (Wagedave): *wamun
Maia (Saki): *kamung
Maia (Pila): *kwamun
Miani: *amun
Mala: *ngamun, *namun

**Gavak:** *kamin 'pot'

Final *a* in Tibor languages is unexplained. The expected Manep reflex is **kamun.**

*kanam 'later'*

**Numugen *kanem**

Yaben: *'anam
Usan: *ganam

**Kaukombar *kanam**

Maia (Wagedave): *anam
Maia (Pila): *kanam
Miani: *anam

*kapil 'grease, fat'*

**Tibor *kafir**

Mok: *(val)
Pam: *eval
HA: *havil
Maw: *awir
Kow: *havir

**Kumil *afil**

Bep: *afir
Moe: *wisawir
Mau: *afil

**Manep-Barem *kawil**

Bar: *kawir
Manep: *kawil

**Kaukombar *kawil 'fat'**

Maia (Wagedave): *wawil
Maia (Saki): *koawir
Maia (Pila): *kuawir
Maiani: *uwawil
Miani: *awir
Mala: *avir

**Gavak:** *kivir

The Maiani form appears to have 3SG inalienable possessor prefix *u-*. Moere is a compound with *wisa 'meat' as the first element.
*kapur 'lime'
  Kumil *afur
    Bep: afur
    Moe: fur
    Mau: afura
  Manep-Barem *kawur
    Bar: kavur
    Man: kavur
  Gavak: kor

*kar 'skin'
  Manep-Barem *kar
    Bar: kar
  Kaukombar *-kar
    Mala: -ngar

Moere kar 'skin' looks similar but is not cognate, as the expected reflex for Moere would be **ara.

*karim- 'swell'
  Tibor *kirim-
    Mok: kilim-
    HA: hirim-
  Kumil *arim-
    Mau: arim-
  Manep-Barem *karim-
    Bar (QK): karum-
    Bar (Bun.): karm-
    Man: karim-
  Numugen *karim- 'to swell'
    Yab: alim-
    Usan: arim-
    Kar. (Boia): karim-
    Yar: karim-
  Kaukombar *karim- 'swell'
    Maia (Wagedave): arim-
    Maia (Saki): karim-
    Maia (Pila): karim-
    Mala: ngarim-

The change of *a > i in Tibor languages is irregular.

*kasik 'wild'
  Tibor
    Pam: wasik
    HA: esik
Kumil *asi
Mau: asia

Manep-Barem *kasik
Bar: ksik
Man (Sim): kasik 'very'
Man (Mal.): kasi 'very'

Kaukombar
Maia: katok
Mala: ato

Gavak: kusik

'Wild' is used as a modifier meaning 'very' in Waskia, so Manep kasik 'very' is not surprising. The change of *i > o in Kaukombar languages is irregular.

*kasin 'mosquito'

Kumil
Mau: iina

Manep-Barem *kasin
Bar: kain
Man: kasin

Numugen *kain
Par: kaina
Yar: kaina

Kaukombar *kasi
Maia (Wagedave): asi
Maia (Saki): kasi
Maia (Pila): kasi
Maiani: asi
Miani: asi
Mala: ngasi

Gavak: kasin

Mauwake iina may not be cognate, since *s is usually retained.

*keb 'speech'

Tibor *kopu
Pam: opu
Kow: hopu

Numugen *keb 'speech'
Yab: 'avu
Usan: qob
Kar. (Boia): kavu
Kar. (Barto) 'avu

Gavak: kep
*kebar 'mouth'

Tibor *kebar 'mouth'
  Mok: koval
  HA: hember
  Maw: apar
  Kow: hopar

Kumil *opor 'speech'
  Bep: opor
  Mau: opora

Manep-Barem *kabuar
  Bar: kambuar
  Man: kambar

Numugen *kabar
  Yab: kabal, kabali
  Usan: abar, abari
  Kar (Boia): kava-ilu
  Kar (Barto): 'ava-ilu

Kaukombar *-kebar 'mouth'
  Maia (Wagadev): -wabuar
  Maia (Saki): -kamnoar
  Maia (Pila): -kambuar
  Maiani: -epuar
  Miani: -obuar
  Mala: -ambar

Gavak: kawor

The vowel reflexes have irregularities in several languages. Karian kavailu is 'mouth' + 'hole'

*keb- 'say'

Tibor *keb-
  Mok: kow-

Gavak: keb-

*ked 'blood'

Kumil-Tibor*ked 'blood'

Tibor *ketu 'blood'
  HA: hetu
  Kow: hetu

Kumil *ega 'blood'
  Bep: e'a
  Moe: engka
  Mau: aka

Numugen *ked 'blood'
  Yab: 'adu
  Usan: geru
  Kar. (Boia): kar
  Kar. (Barto): 'ar
Par: *kara
Uku: *kod
Yar: *kada

Kaukombar *(k)od 'blood'
Maiani: *ot
Miani: *od
Mala: *od, ond

Gavak: *kakat

*ked-at 'red'

Kumil-Tibor *kedat
Tibor *ketat 'red'
  Mok: *ketat 'red'
  Pam: *etat 'red'
  HA: *hetat
  Maw: *etat
  Kow: *etat

Kumil *ege'
  Moe: *ngke'

Manep-Barem *kadat
  Bar: *karas
  Man: *kandat

Numugen *kadat 'red'
  Yab: *karatu
  Kar. (Boia): *karət
  Kar. (Barto): *arət
  Par: *(kara)
  Uku: *(urat)

Kaukombar *kedat
  Maia (Wagedav): *kedat
  Maia (Saki): *kedat

Expected Kowaki reflex is *hetat.

*k(a/e)kawin 'palm cockatoo'

Tibor *kVkawin
  Mok: *kakavin
  HA: *kekavin

Kumil
  Mau: *aawina

Manep-Barem
  Bar: *qkavin

It is not clear what vowel to reconstruct in the initial syllable, as the Mokati and HA reflexes do not fit a regular correspondence, and both the Mauwake and Barem reflexes are ambiguous between PNA *a and *e.
*kemi 'bow'

Manep-Barem
  Man: *kimu

Kumil *ami
  Bep: *omi
  Moe: *mui
  Mau: *amia

Numugen *kemi
  Yab: *ami
  Usan: *emi
  Kar. (Boia): kami
  Par: kami
  Uku: komi
  Yar: kamia

Kaukombar *kumui
  Maia (Wagadev): *umu
  Maia (Saki): *kumu
  Maia (Pila): *kumui
  Miani: *umui
  Mala: *mui

The vowel correspondences in the initial syllable are irregular.

*kenam 'base'

Tibor *kena
  Mok: kena
  Pam: ena
  HA: ena
  Maw: ena
  Kow: hena

Kumil *kenem
  Bep: enem
  Moe: nem
  Mau: onoma

Manep-Barem *kanam
  Bar: kanam
  Man: kanam

Numugen
  Usan: qanam 'base' (of a tree)

Kaukombar *kenam 'base'
  Maia (Wagadev): otowanam 'source, base, reason'
  Maia (Saki): koanam
  Maia (Pila): koanam
  Miani: enam

Gavak: kenmang
Gavak is *kenmang 'base' is formed from the reflex of *kenam plus *mang 'place, piece'. The source of *oto- in Maia (Wagedav) is unclear. The most literal meaning of *kenam is the base of a tree, but it is used metaphorically for bases and origins of all kinds.

*kepak flying fox

Prot Kumil-Tibor *kefak
Tibor *kewak
  HA: hevak
  Maw: ewak
  Kow: heva'
Kumil *efe' 'flying fox'
  Bep: efe
  Mau: afa

Kaukombar
Maia kavakavak 'small bat'

Although the sound correspondences are perfect, Maia kavakavak should possibly be excluded. Flying foxes are quite large and are a food source. They are generally not considered to be the same kind of animal as small bats. Other reconstructions for 'flying fox' are PNA *malabuŋ, Proto-Numugan *nunai

*keta 'coconut'

Kumil-Tibor *keta
  Tibor *keta
    Mok: keta
    Pam: eta
    HA: heta
    Maw: eta
    Kow: heta
Kumil *eka
  Moe: ka
Manep-Barem *kata
  Bar: kta
Kaukombar *kata 'coconut'
  Maia (Wagedave): ata
  Maia (Saki): kata
  Maia (Pila): kataw
  Maiani: ata
  Miani: ata
  Mala: nata

*ki Question particle

ManeBarem *ki
  Bar: ki
  Man: i
Numugen *ki
  Usan: qi
Kaukombar *i
Maini: i
Miani: i
Mala: i

In Barem and Karian, reflexes of *ki are used for polar questions. The expected Manep reflex is **ki.

*kibem 'kundu drum'
Tibor *kibem
Mok: kivom
Kumil *ibem
Moe: mpem
Manep-Barem *kibem
Bar (QK): kimbem
Bar (Bun.): kimbiem
Man: kimbem
Numugen *kibem
Yab: siwamu
Usan: sibemi
Kar. (Boia): sivam
Par: kiboama
Uku: kibem
Yar: kibama

The expected Ukuriguma reflex is **kibom.

*kidar 'breadfruit'
Kumil *iger
Mau: ikera
Manep-Barem *kidar
Bar: kindar
Numugen: *kidar
Kar (Boia): kijar

*kilal 'night bird of prey'
Kumil *ilel
Mau: ilela 'owlet nightjar'
Numugen *kirar
Kar. (Boia): kiruəɾ ‘bird sp, night bird of prey’

Manep sirar 'night bird of prey' may be cognate with irregular change of *k > s/ _i

*kima- 'tell'
Manep-Barem *kima-
Bar: kima
Numugen *kima-
    Kar (Boia): kima-

*kit 'meat'
Manep-Barem *kit
    Bar: kit
    Man: kitu
Numugen
    Par: kitunea
    Uku: kit
    Yar: kitunia

The extra material in Parawen and Yarawata is unexplained.

*kuaken 'old'
Manep-Barem *kuken
    Bar: qkon
    Man: kuken
Numugen *kwakan 'old'
    Yab: wa'anu
    Usan: qoan
    Kar. (Boia): kwakən
    Kar. (Barto): ‘wa’ən

The vowel correspondences do not match.

*kuar, *kakuar 'hot'
Manep-Barem
    Man: kuar- 'be hot'
    Bar: qkuar 'hot'
Gavak: kor, kakor

Both base and reduplicated forms can be reconstructed for PNA, but it is not clear if there is a difference in meaning.

*kuari 'tulip tree'
Kumil
    Mau: (arina)
Numugen *kwari
    Kar (Boia): kwari
    Kar (Barto): ‘wali
Kaukombar *wari
    Maia (Wagedav): wari
    Maiani: wari

_Gnetum gnemon_, called tulip in Tok Pisin, is a tree whose leaves are commonly cooked and eaten.
*k(u/a)bum 'stinging nettle (Tok Pisin salat)'

Kumil *apuma
Mau: apuma

Manep-Barem *kubum
Bar: kumbum
Man: kumbum

Kaukombar:
Maia (Wagedav): wabum

The vowel in the first syllable in Manep-Barem indicates *kubum, but the Mauwake reflex indicates *kabum. Maia is ambiguous.

*kudi 'banana'

Manep-Barem *kudi
Bar: kundi
Man: kunju

Numugen *kwedi 'banana'
Yab: 'waji
Usan: qori
Kar. (Boia): kwaji
Par: kwari kwa:ri
Uku: kodi
Yar: kodia

Kaukombar *kudi 'banana'
Maia (Wagadev): idi
Maia (Saki): kidi
Maia (Pila): kindi
Maiani: uti
Miani: udi

Expected Barem reflex is kunji. The vowel in the first syllable of Proto-Numugen *kwedi is not cognate with other languages.

*kuduruk 'fly' (insect)

Tibor*kuduruk
Mok: kunduruk
Pam: unduruk
HA: hondoruk
Maw: unduruk
Kow: tiruk

Kumil *uguru
Bep: mu'uru
Moe: mukuru
Mau: kuura

Manep-Barem *kuduruk
Bar (QK): kunduruk
Bar (Bun.): kunruk
Man (Sim.): kunduruk
Man (Mal.): kunduru

Numugen *kuduruk
  Yab: udlulu
  Usan: urur
  Kar. (Boia): kururu
  Kar. (Barto): ululu
  Uku: kuduruk
  Yar: kudulu

Kaukombar *kuduru
  Maia (Wagadev): aduru
  Maia (Saki): kaduru
  Miani: udiru
  Mala: uduru

Gavak: (karer)

Bepour mu’uru and Moere mukuru 'fly' probably reflect PKT *ma 'small flying insect' plus *kuduruk ‘fly’. The expected Mauwake reflex is **ukura, rather than the attested kuura. The consonants in Gavak karer ‘fly’ fit the expected reflexes, but the vowels do not, so it is probably not cognate.

*(k/g)ugu(t/d) 'shadow'

Tibor *gugut
  Mok: gukut
  Pam: kukut
  HA: mukukut
  Maw: kukut
  Kow: 'o’u

Kumil
  Bep: (o’ut)
  Moe: ngkuku
  Mau: (kukusa)

Maneph-Barem
  Bar: (kinggur)

Numugen *kugud
  Yab: 'ugudu
  Usan: gugur
  Kar: kugur

Kaukombar *-gugud
  Maia (Wagadev): -gugud
  Miani: -gugun
  Mala: -gugut

This reconstruction is problematic, as the voicing of the initial and final stops don't correspond across the subgroups. However, given that voiced stops undergo conditioned changes, in the
environment of other voiced stops, and this reconstruction potentially has three voiced stops, these could possibly be regular reflexes following as yet unidentified patterns.

*kukum 'dumb'  
Kumil-Tibor *kukum  
Tibor *kukum  
   Pam: *uhum 'foolish, stupid'  
Kumil *ukum  
   Mauwake: opaimik uuma 'dumb'  
Numugen *kukum  
   Usan: *quum 'deaf, dumb'  

*kum 'brain'  
Tibor *kum  
   Mok: kokum  
   Pam: mendekumu  
   HA: munumu  
   Maw: mundu kumu  
   Kow: munti'imu  
Manep-Barem *kum  
   Man: kumu jinu, kumu kavil  
Numugen *kum  
   Yab: akakum  
   Usan: *goum  
   Kar. (Boia): kakakum 'brain, marrow', taji kakakum 'brain'  
   Kar. (Barto): 'a'aum  
   Par: kumu  
   Uku: tarikum  
   Yar: kumu  
Kaukombar *-kum  
   Maia (Wagedav): -kum  
   Miani: um  
   Mala: (umun)  

Ukuriguma is 'head'+'brain'. Manep jinu also means 'brain', so it is not clear what the distinction between kumu and jinu is. Manep kumu kavil is 'brain'+'fat, grease'. It is not clear what the first element of the compound is in Tibor languages, but Pamosu mendekumu is apparently monomorphemic now.

*kumang 'nape'  
Tibor *kuma, kuma *genav  
   Mok: danggel kuma, kumanggena  
   Pam: uma ilu- 'raise head'  
   HA: uma  
   Maw: uma, uma kenav  
   Kow: huma'enap
Manep-Barem *kuman
   Bar (QK): kuman
   Bar (Bun.): kumuwan
   Man: kumangarem 'nape', kumanur- 'bow head'

Numugen *kuman
   Yab: 'umanu
   Usan: uman
   Kar. (Boia): kuman
   Kar. (Barto): 'uman
   Par: kumana
   Uku: kumanggoto
   Yar: kumana

Kaukombar *-kumua
   Maia (Wagedav): umua
   Maia (Pila): -kumua
   Maiani: umuaiti

   Waskia komang indicates this had a velar nasal. Mokati, Mawak, Kowaki, Manep, and
   Ukuriguma are 'nape'+'bone'

*kupi 'betelpepper vine'
   Kumil *ufi
      Bep: ufi
      Mau: ufia

Manep-Barem *kuwi
   Bar: kuvi

Kaukombar *kuwi
   Miani: kuwi
   Mala: uwi

*kurun 'black'
   Manep-Barem *kurun
      Bar: kurun
      Man: kurun

   *kurun 'black' (see also PN *umaw)
      Yab: 'ulunu
      Usan: urun
      Kar. (Boia): kurun
      Kar. (Barto): 'urun

*kurum 'valley'
   Tibor *kurum
      Mok: kakurum
      HA: fakurum

Kumil *urum
   Mau: epa uruma
Manep-Barem *kurum
   Bar: kurum
   Man: kurum
Numugen *kurum 'valley'
   Usan: qurum
   Kar. (Boia): kurum
Gavak: kurume

Mokati and HA are compounds, with the word for 'place' as the first element. The word for 'place' is also seen in the Mauwake phrase.

*kumag, *kamug 'testicles'
Tibor *kumag
   Mok: ikakumak
   Pam: umang
   HA: inggumang
Kumil *imeg
   Moe: (kumang)
   Mau: imeka
Manep-Barem *kumag
   Man: kumang
Kaukombar *kamu
   Maia (Wagedav): (-wamu)
Gavak: kamogot

Mokati and Hember Avu are compounds with 'penis' as the first element. Moere is likely a borrowing, as the expected reflex is **(i/u)meng. Gavak has is a compound with -ot 'fruit, seed'. Maia and Gavak also have swapped the places of the vowels.

*kuaw 'village'
Kumil *owow
   Bep: owow
   Mau: owowa
Manep-Barem *kuaw
   Bar: kuav
Kaukombar *kuaw
   Maia (Wagedav): av
   Maia (Pila): kawa
   Maiani: awav
   Miani: waw
   Mala: av

*ma , *mia 'what'
Tibor *mia
   Mok: memia
   Pam: mia
   HA: mia
Maw: mamea
Kow: mea

Kumil
Bep: mia
Mau: mauwa

Manep-Barem *ma
Bar: ma
Man: masi

Numugen *ma
Yab: ma
Usan: māi, meimi
Kar: mɔma, ma
Par: ma
Uku: ma
Yar: mama

Kaukombar *maia
Maia: maia
Maiani: maia
Miani: mia
Mala: mala

*ma- 'talk, say'
Kumil *ma-
Mau: ma-
Manep-Barem *ma-
Bar: ma-

*madeŋ 'man'
Kumil-Tibor *made 'person'
Tibor *made
Mok: mande
Pam: mande
HA: mande
Maw: mande
Kow: mande

Kumil *mage
Moe: mangke

Manep-Barem *RED-maden
Bar (QK): mamunden
Bar (Bun): mundien

Numugen *made
Uku: mado, madon

Kaukombar *muade 'man'
Maia: muado
Maiani: muato
Miani: muado
Mala: muande
The change of *a to *ua in the initial syllable of Proto-Kaukombar is irregular. The only indication of final *ŋ rather than *n is the lack of final nasal on the Mauwake reflex, as word-final *n is reflected as n in Mauwake, but final *ŋ was deleted.

*mak 'behind, back'
Tibor
Pam: mahu 'behind'

Manep-Barem *mak
Bar: mak te, muak te 'behind, later' makten 'back'
Man: mak 'after' (mukur 'back')

*main 'weak, soft'
Tibor *men
Pam: men

Manep-Barem *main
Bar: main
Man: main

Numugen *mein
Usan: mein

Waskia maingar- 'weaken' indicates a velar nasal.

*maiw 'aibika greens'
Manep-Barem *maiw
Bar: maiw
Man: maip

Numugen
Kar: mai igəm

*mam 'taro'
Tibor *ma
Mok: ma
Pam: ma
HA: ma
Maw: ma
Kow: ma

Kumil
Moe: ma
Mau: moma

Manep-Barem *mam
Bar: mam
Man: mamu

Numugen *mam
Yab: mamu
Kar: mam
Par: mama
Uku: *mam
Yar: *mamo

**Kaukombar *mam**
- Maia (Wagadev): *mam
- Maia (Saki): *mam
- Maia (Pila): *mam
- Maiani: *mam
- Miani: *mam
- Mala: *mam

**Gavak**: *mom

Loss of final *m in Moere is irregular.

*malabuŋ 'flying fox'

**Kaukombar *marabu**
- Maia (Pila): *marambo
- Miani: *marapu
- Mala: *marabu

**Gavak**: *malewong

See also *kepak 'flying fox'

*mar 'wing'

**Manep-Barem *mar**
- Bar: *mar
- Man: *maru

**Gavak**: *amer

*maur 'Victoria crowned pigeon'

**Kumil *maur**
- Mau: *muura

**Manep-Barem *maur**
- Bar: *maur

**Numugen *maur**
- Karian: *maur

*me 'NEG'

**Tibor *me**
- Mok: *mV-
- Pam: *me-

**Kumil *me**
- Bep: *me
- Moe: *me
- Mau: *me

**Manep-Barem *me**
- Bar: *me
Numugen *me
   Yab: mə
   Usan: me
   Kar: mə
   Par: [ma]
   Uku: [me]
   Yar: [me]

Kaukombar *me
   Maia (Wagedav) me
   Maiani: me
   Miani: me
   Mala: me

In all languages, reflexes of *me precede the negated verb. In the Tibor languages, it is a prefix. The forms listed Parawen, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata are what Z'graggen transcribed, but these may not be accurately represent the vowel, as he transcribes [me] for Yaben and [ma] for Karian, but both of these in actuality have mə. If the Ukuriguma form is actually *me, then it would be necessary to reanalyze the vowel phoneme inventory, as Ukuriguma does not have /e/ under my analysis.

*megam, *magaw 'star, year'

Kumil-Tibor *megam 'star, year'
   Tibor *megam
      Mok: menggam 'year'
      HA: menggam 'star'
      Maw: menggam 'star'
      Kow: me'am 'star'

Kumil *megem
   Moe: mengkem
   Mau: mokoma

Manep-Barem *magam 'firefly'
   Bar: manggam
   Man: manggam

Numugen
   Kar. (Barto): mwən'an

Kaukombar *magaw 'star'
   Maia (Wagadev): magav
   Maia (Saki): manggap
   Maiani: makav
   Miani: magav

Gavak: magep

Polysemy in Pamosu sepena 'star, year, firefly' supports the inclusion of Proto-Manep-Barem *magam 'firefly'. Kumil-Tibor and Manep-Barem suggest PNA *megam, but Gavak and Kaukombar suggest *m(e/a)gaw.
*meger- 'look for'  
Tibor *mager-  
  Pam: mangelev-  
Kumil *meger-  
  Moe: mengker-  
Manep-Barem *meger-  
  Bar (QK): munggor-  
  Bar (Bun.): manggar-  
Kaukombar *migar-  
  Maia: mik-, mikar-

The expected QK Barem reflex is **minggor-. The vowel reflexes in Maia are not regular.

*mekiw 'land, ground'
Tibor *meki 'garden'  
  Mok: meki  
  Pam: mehi 'garden', imehiv 'ground level'  
  HA: mekiv  
  Maw: imhi  
  Kow: emehi  
Kumil *mekiw  
  Bep: mehiw  
  Mau: miiwa  
Manep-Barem *mekiw  
  Bar (QK): mikiv  
  Bar (Bun.): makiv  
  Man: mikip  
Kaukombar  
  Mala: misiv  
Gavak: mai

The loss of final *w in Tibor languages is irregular.

*men 'breast'
Proto-Kumil-Tibor *men  
Tibor *me  
  Pam: me  
  HA: me  
  Kow: me  
Kumil *mena  
  Bep: mena  
  Moe: mena  
Manep-Barem *men  
  Man: manu  
Gavak: menam

See also Proto-Kaukombar -mek.
*merir- 'vomit'

   Kumil-Tibor *merir-, *merirew- 'vomit'
   Tibor *merire-, *merirew- 'vomit'
       Mok: melile- melilew-
       Pam: melile-, melilev-
       HA: milil-
       Maw: mirir-
       Kow: mirir-, miriraw-
   Kumil *merir- 'vomit'
       Bep: merir-, merirew-
       Moe: merir-
   Manep-Barem *mil-
       Bar: mir-
       Man: mil-
   Numugen *me?ir-
   Gavak: (mingal-)

The Manep reflexes suggest that PNA contains *l, but Bepour indicates *merir-. Since the Manep form irregularly loses the final syllable, I have based the PNA form on Bepour. Tibor *melile- is used with past and hodiernal tenses, while *melilev is used with other conjugations. Manep-Barem irregularly contracted to *mil-.

*melik 'eel'

   Tibor *melik
       Mok: melik
       Pam: melik
   Manep-Barem *merik
       Bar (Bun.): marik
       Man: mirik
   Gavak: mel

*mid-, midet- 'pierce, shoot'

   Proto-Kumil-Tibor *mid-
   Tibor *mud-
       Pam: mund- mundet-, mundes- munda-
       Maw: mund-
       Kow: munt-
   Kumil *mig-
       Bep: mi'-
       Moe: mingka-
       Mau: mik-
   Kaukombar *medet-
       Maia (Saki): mendet-
       Maia (Pila): mendes-
The vowel correspondence is not regular, but Maia e and Proto-Kumil *i suggest PNA *i, which became *u in Proto-Tibor.

**mud 'fire, firewood'

**mud[u]

Tibor

- Mok: *mutu 'fire' (Tinami); *mundu 'firewood', *munduv 'fire' (Wanambre)
- Pam: *mundu 'fire, firewood'
- HA: *mundu 'fire'
- Maw: *mundu 'fire'
- Kow: *muntu 'firewood', *muntu etat 'fire'

Kumil

- Mauk: *mukuna 'fire'

Manep-Barem

- Bar: *mund 'firewood', *munduv 'fire'
- Man: *mundu 'tree, wood'

Numugen

- Usan: *mur 'firewood'
- Kar (Boia): *mur 'firewood'
- Par: *muru
- Yar: *muru

Kaukombar

- Maiani: *amut
- Mala: *mud

Gavak: *murep 'firewood'

The Wanambre dialect of Tinami usually deletes the stop portion of final prenasalized stops. Wanambre does not treat the *d in Proto-Tibor *mud[u], with epenthetic final *[u], as word-final. Mauwake *mukuna appears cognate other than the unexplained final nasal. However, Mokati and Barem also have added material at the right edge, which gives the meaning 'fire' from the shorter base from with the meaning 'firewood'

**mudag, *mag 'eye'

Kumil-Tibor

**mudag

Tibor

- Mok: *mundaweka
- HA: *mundang geren, *mundanggumu

Kumil

- Mau: *mokoka, *mokaksa

Manep-Barem

- Bar: *muang iv
- Man: *musang iwu

Numugen

- Yab: *maginyo
- Usan: *mag
- Kar (Boia): *mag
- Kar (Barto): *mog
- Par: *mukita
Uku: mag
Yar: makita

Kaukombar *mudag
Maia (Wagedav): umuda
Maia (Pila): -mundua
Maiani: -muta
Miani: -muda
Mala: -mutak

Gavak: mek

Kaukombar *mudag and Kumil-Tibor indicate *mudag, while Gavak and Numugen indicate *mag. Manep-Barem *musag is similar, but PMB *s does not correspond with PNA *d. Many languages compound 'eye' with 'fruit'/seed'.

*mudir 'ant'
Tibor *mudir
Mok: mundil
Was: mudidir

Manep-Barem *RED-mudir
Bar (QK): mamunjir
Bar (Bun.): mumjir
Man: mumunjir

*muduru 'type of greens'
Tibor *muduru
Mok: muturu

Kaukombar *muduru
Miani: muduru

*muga(n/ŋ) 'bird'
Tibor *muga 'bird'
Mok: mungga
Pam: mungga
HA: mungga
Mawak: mungga
Kow: mu’a

Manep-Barem (*mununggan)
Bar (QK): (mununggan)
Bar (Bun.): (mun-guan)

Kaukombar *muga 'bird'
Maia: muga
Maiani: muka
Miani: muga
Mala: muka

See also Proto-Numugen *maragwan and PNA *nebek. The Barem forms are similar, but contain an additional unexplained syllable.
*mugurun 'shouting, laughter'
  Tibor *mugurun
      Pam: mungulun 'shout'
  Kumil *mugurun
      Mau: mukuruna 'shouting'
  Manep-Barem *mugurun
      Bar: munggurun 'laughter'

*mum 'night bird'
  Tibor *mum
      Mok: mum 'night bird'
      Pam: mum 'Papuan frogmouth'
  Kumil *muma
      Mau: muuma 'Papuan frogmouth'
  Kaukombar *mum 'owl'
      Maia (Wagedav): (mumam)
      Maiani: mum
      Mala: mum 'bird type'

*munag 'egg'
  Tibor *munag
      Mok: (Tin.): munak
      Mok (Wan.): munang
      Pam: munang
      HA: minang
      Maw: munangk
      Kow: muna'
  Kumil *muneg
      Bep: mune'
      Moe: munong
      Mau: muneka
  Numugen *munag
      Kar (Boia): munəg
      Kar (Barto): munək

*muŋ 'husband, man'
  Manep-Barem *mun
      Bar: mun 'husband'
      Man: mumu 'man'
  Kumil *mua 'man'
      Bep: mua
      Mau: mua
  Numugen *mun
      Uku: mun 'man'
  Kaukombar *-mu
      Mala: -mu 'husband'.
The lack of final nasal in Kumil indicates final *ŋ, rather than *n. Resembles Proto-Sogeram *-mum 'husband'

*mutar 'mushroom

Tibor *mutar
Mok: mutal
Pam: musal
Kumil *mukar
Mau: moora
Manep-Barem *mutar
Manep: mutar
Numugen *mutar
Kar: mutəl
Kaukombar
Maia: muitar

Lenition of *t to s in Pamosu musal is an irregular change.

*mup- 'pull'

Tibor *muw-
Pam: muw-
Maw: muw-
Kow: muw-
Kumil- *muf-
Bep: muw-
Moe: mufur-
Mauwake: muf-
Manep-Barem *muw-
Bar: muw-
Kaukombar *muw-
Maia: muw-

Bepour and Moere both have unexpected reflexes, as medial *p is usually reflected as f in Bepour and w in Moere, but the reverse is seen here. This is important since the Kumil languages Bepour and Mauwake are the only group which distinguishes distinct reflexes of intervocalic *p and *w. Since Mauwake is the best documented Kumil language, I base PNA *mup- off of Mauwake muf-

*nasin 'spirit'

Tibor *nenasin
Mok: nenasin, nanasin
Pam: nenasin
HA: nenasin
Kow: nenasin
Kumil *inasin
Moe: inasin
Mau: inasina
Manep-Barem
Bar: *(nasin)*

Numugen
Kar: *nanasin*

Reflexes of *nasin also have the sense 'foreign, foreigner, white person' in every language' Barem *nasin* is probably a loan, since *s usually deleted.

*nat-* 'give to 2SG'
Tibor
Mok: *nat-* 'give to 1/2SG'

Manep-Barem *nas-
Bar: *-n*
Man: *nas-

Numugen
Kar: *nət-

Kaukombar
Maia (Wagedav): *ine-s-
Mala: *nit-

Gavak: *nas-

*nebek 'bird'*
Kumil *nebe' 'bird'
Bep: *nepe*
Moe: *nempe'*
Mau: *nepa*

Manep-Barem *nebek*
Man: *nambe*

Kaukombar
Mala: *nembe pai* 'Tok Pisin'

*nem 'with'*
Manep-Barem
Bar: *nem*

Gavak: *nem*

*nag, *nenag 'tooth, sharp edge'*
Proto-Kumil-Tibor *kenag*

Tibor
Mok: *kenembeka*
Pam: *nanggepiha* 'tooth', *eneng* 'sharp edge of axe'
HA: *henang*
Maw: *nanggapiha* [nʌŋɡʌpʰʌ]

Kumil *eneg*
Bep: *ene*
Moe: *nengkere'*
Mau: *eneka*
Manep-Barem *nanag
   Bar: nanang
   Man: nanang 'tooth, sharp edge'

Numugen *nanang 'tooth'
   Yab: nana
   Usan: nàn
   Kar. (Boia): nanəg
   Kar/ (Barto): nanək
   Par: nanakuda
   Uku: nag [naʌ:g]
   Yar: nenakura

Kaukombar *-nenak 'tooth'
   Maia (Wagedav): -nana 'tooth', -ene 'sharp edge'
   Maia (Saki): -ngana
   Maia (Pila): -ngana
   Maiani: -nena
   Miani: -nena
   Mala: -nanak 'tooth' -nek 'sharp edge'

Gavak: anek

Proto-Kumil-Tibor *kenag 'tooth' does not match in the initial syllable with other languages, which mostly point to PNA *nenag. The original form is probably *nag, which was combined with unidentified elements ke- in Kumil-Tibor and ne- in the other subroups. Proto-Kaukombar *-nenak 'tooth' reflects PNA *nag, while Proto-Kaukombar *-nek 'sharp edge' reflects PNA *nag. Gavak anek reflects *nag with a fossilized possessor affix, seen also in arur 'tail' < *dur and aip 'leaf' < *ip. *nag also seems to be reflected by Ukuriguma nag.

Mokati, Pamosu, and Mawak are compounds with 'liver/heart', which also refers to fruit pits, which may be the sense it has here. A similar compound is found in Parawen and Yarawata ('tooth'+'fruit'). Moere is a compound with are' 'trunk, piece', which is similar to Manep-Barem 'tooth'+trunk/base' for 'molar'.

*nener- 'hit 2SG'
   Kumil *nenar-
      Mau: nenar-
   Manep-Barem *nanar-
      Man: nanar-
   Kaukombar *nanar-
      Kar: nən-, nənal-

*nəm 'tree'
   Tibor *na
      Mok: na
      Pam: na
      HA: na
      Maw: na
      Kow: na
Kumil *nama
Bep: nama
Moe: nama
Mau: (nomokowa)

Manep-Barem
Bar: wam

Numugen *nam 'tree'
Yab: namu
Usan: nam
Kar: nuəm
Par: nama
Yar: nama

Kaukombar *nam
Maia (Wagedav): nanam
Maiani: nam
Miani: nam
Mala: nanam

Gavak: ngam, ngom

This is the only reconstructed PNA form with initial *ŋ, which otherwise is only found word-finally. As such, it is speculative, since a regular correspondence for initial *ŋ has not been established. Word-initial ng is allowed, but infrequent, in Gavak, identified only in a handful of words. This may have been the case in PNA as well.

*pai 'mango'

Manep-Barem *pai
Bar: fai
Man: pui

Kaukombar *wai
Maia (Wagedav): vai

This probably originally referred to Mangifera minor, rather than the introduced Mangifera indica, which was introduced to PNG after 1870, but is currently more popular (Bourke 2010). Mauwake fiiwua 'mango' may also be related.

*par- 'call'

Tibor *far-
Pam: fal, fel, fa-
HA: fal-
Maw: far-
Kow: far-

Kumil *far-
Bep: far-
Moe: fa-
Mau: far-

Manep-Barem *par-
Bar: far-
Man: pur-
Numugen *ar-
    Yaeb: al-, a-
    Usan: ar-
    Kar: ar-
    Uku: ar-
    Yar: ar-
Kaukombar *war-
    Maia (Wagedav): varav 'call N'

*perem 'floor, platform'
   Tibor
       Pam: fel 'platform'
Manep-Barem *perem 'bamboo type, bamboo floor'
   Bar: farem
   Man: purem
Numugen *erem
   Usan: orom
   Kar (Barto): alam

Barem also has par 'platform, loft, bench', but this is certainly borrowed, as Barem lacks initial p in native vocabulary.

*pi 'bad'
   Tibor *fifi 'bad'
       Mok: yat
       Pam: fivi
       Maw: fivi
       Kow: fivi
   Kumil *fia
       Moe: fia
Manep-Barem *pi
   Man: pi
Kaukombar
   Pila: wiwi

Mokati yat is the reflex of *pi with the adjective suffix -at. Tibor and Kaukombar languages reduplicate the stem.

*pia 'papiti'
   Tibor
       Pam: fia
   HA: fia
Kaukombar
   Maia: wiya
*piduw 'bundle'
Manep-Barem *pinduw
  Bar: finduv
Kaukombar *iduw
  Maia (Wagedav): iduv

*piluw 'hole'
Kumil *fuluw
  Mau: fuluwa
Manep-Barem *piruw
  Man: pirup
Numugen *ilu
  Kar: ilu

*pi(t)- 'blow'
Tibor *pi
  Mok: fi
  Pam: fi
  HA: fi
  Maw: fit-
  Kow: fi
Kumil *fi-
  Bep: fi-
  Moe: fi-
  Mau: fi-
Manep-Barem *pis-
  Bar: fi-
  Man: pis-

In Mokati and Pamosu, fi is a coverb that pairs with a light verb. A light verb may be the source of the Mawak and Manep alveolars.

*puk 'skin, body'
Tibor *fuk[u]
  Mok: uku
  Pam: fuku
  HA: fuku
  Maw: fuku
  Kow: fu'u
Manep-Barem *puk
  Bar: fuk 'body'

Polysemy between 'skin' and 'body' is widespread in languages in the area, including Tok Pisin.

*puruk 'smell, hear, perceive'
Tibor *furuk
  Mok: luk-
*selew 'sand, beach'
  Tibor *serew
    Mok: selew
    Pam: selev
    HA: selep
    Maw: sereb
    Kow: sereb
  Kumil *selew
    Bep: sereb
  Manep-Barem *selew
    Man: silep
  Numugen *erew
    Yab: alo
    Usan: oro sow
    Kar. (Boia): araw
    Par: arorowa
    Uku: ole
    Yar: alowa
  Kaukombar *solow
    Maia (Wagedav): solov
  Gavak: silep, selep

The meaning of the element sow in Usan oro sow is not known.

*sowaw 'sand, beach'
  Tibor *sowaw
    Mok: sowav
    HA: sowav
    Maw: sowav
    Kow: sowav
  Kumil *sowew
    Bep: sowew
    Moe: sowew
  Manep-Barem *sowaw
    Bar: siwaw

Barem *s usually deleted, but is preserved in siwaw.
*siruw 'loincloth'

Barem-Manep *siruw
  Man: sirup

Kaukombar *turuw
  Maia (Wagedave): suruv
  Maia (Saki): surub
  Maia (Pila): surub
  Miani: surub
  Mala: suruv

May be related to Proto-Kumil *iruw 'loincloth'.

*sisimur 'sunfly'

Tibor *ma *sisimur
  Mok: misisimur, mususumur
  Pam: masisimul

Manep-Barem *sisimur
  Man: kasisimur

Mauwake maa samora 'mosquito' looks similar. samora means 'bad'. See also Proto Kumil-Tibor *ma 'small flying insect'.

*susur 'ant sp.'

Tibor *sisur
  Pam: sisul

Kumil *susur
  Mau: susura

Kaukombar *tutur
  Maia (Wagedav): susul
  Maini: tutul
  Miani: tutur

*suw- 'push'

Tibor *suw-
  Mok: suw-
  Pam: suv (coverb)
  Maw: suw-
  Kow: suw-

Kumil *suw-
  Bep: suw-
  Moe: suw-
  Mau: suuw-

Manep-Barem
  Bar: isuw-

Kaukombar *utuw-
  Miani: usuv-
  Mala: usiw-
Barem *s usually deleted, but was retained in *isuw-.

*taban 'mountain'

Numugen *taban 'mountain'
Yab: tabanu
Kar. Boia: tavon
Kar. Barto: tavon
Par: tatapa
Uku: tatpan
Yar: tatapa

Kaukombar *tapa 'mountain'
Maia (Wagedav): tapapa 'steep place, mountainous'
Maiani: tapa
Miani: tapa
Mala: tapa

The usually reflex of PNA *b in is Proto-Kaukombar *b, not *p.

*tabir 'plate'

Tibor *sabir
Mok: savil
Pam: sapil
HA: sambir
Maw: sapir
Kow: sapir

Kumil *epir
Bep: epir
Moe: mpir
Mau: epira

Numugen (*tabin 'plate')
Yab: tawinu
Kar: tavin

Kaukombar *tabir 'plate'
Maiani: tapir
Miani: tabir
Mala: tambir

Kumil *a > e is irregular. Proto-Numugen *tabin does not correspond with other languages in the final segment.

*tak 'leaf'

Tibor *saku
Mok: saku
Pam: saku
HA: saku
*tak refers to a flat, broad leaf, while *ip is long and thin. Kowaki doesn't have a reflex with the meaning 'leaf', but has sa'u in *ape sa'u 'palm' < Proto-Tibor *abe saku.

*takaw 'grasshopper'
   Kumil
   Mau: aawa
   Manep-Barem *takaw
   Bar: skav
   Man: takap
   Kaukombar *takaw
   Maia (Wagedav): takav

*taw 'piece of wood'
   Manep-Barem *taw
   Bar: tav
   Man: tawu
   Numugen *taw
   Yaben: taw

*te LOC
   Tibor *te
   HA: te
   Manep-Barem *te LOC, INST
   Bar: te
   Man: te
   Numugen *te
   Yab: tə
   Usan: -t
   Kar: tə

*teber 'tree sp.' (Tok Pisin: mangas)
   Tibor *seber 'today'
   Mok: sembel
   Pam: sembel
   HA: sember
   Manep-Barem *teber
   Bar (QK): timbor
   Bar (Bun.): tambar
   Manep: tamber
   Numugen
   Kar. (Boia): tambar
   Kaukombar
   Mala: tambar
Possibly *Hibiscus tileaceus*. The expected Mokati and Pamosu reflexes are *sepel*.

*temen 'now'

Tibor *seme 'today'*
- Pam: *seme*
- Maw: *seme*
- Kow: *seme*

Numugen *temen*
- Yab: *tamanu*
- Usan: *temen*
- Kar. (Boia): *taman*
- Uku: *tomon*
- Yar: *tamana*

*teteri 'chicken'

Tibor *teteri*
- Mok: *teteli*

Kumil *ekeri*
- Bep: *eheri*
- Moe: *keri*
- Mau: *(aara)*

Manep-Barem *teteri*
- Bar (QK): *stiri*
- Bar. (Bun): *stari*
- Man: *tatiri*

Numugen *teteri*
- Yab: *talia*
- Usan: *teteri*
- Kar. Boia: *tòrisa*
- Par: *tatori*
- Uku: *tatori*
- Yar: *tatalia*

Kaukombar *tatar 'chicken'*
- Maia (Saki): *tatar*
- Maia (Pila): *tatar*
- Miani: *tatar*

Gavak: *tater*

*t(e/i)bik 'rain'

Kumil-Tibor *tebik 'rain'*

Tibor *sebik 'rain'*
- Mok: *siwik*
- Pam: *sepik*
- HA: *simbik*
- Maw: *sepik*
- Kow: *sepi'
Kumil *ipi'  
Bep: ipi  
Moe: mpi'  
Mau: ipia  

Manep-Barem *tebik  
Man: timbik  

Gavak: tep

It is not clear whether the vowel in the initial syllable should be reconstructed as *i or *e. Manep is ambiguous between the two, and Mokati, Hember Avu and Kumil languages suggest *i, while other languages suggest *e.

*teŋ 'branch'  
Manep-Barem *ten  
Manep: tanu  
Gavak: tengken

In Gavak, -ken is found as the second element in many words for long, thin objects, for example sambiaŋken 'broom', and kundengken 'earthworm'.

*tuar 'crocodile'  
Tibor *tuar  
Mok: tual  
HA: (tuan)  
Maw: (duar)  
Kow: tuar  

Manep-Barem *tuar  
Bar: tuar  
Man: tuar  

Numugen *tuar  
Kar (Boia): tuar  
Kaukombar *tuar  
Mala: tar

The Kumil languages also have tuar but this is certainly a borrowing. Inconsistencies in the Tibor forms also suggest these are borrowings. A possible source is Waskia tuar.

*tukum 'stick'  
Kumil-Tibor *tukum  
Tibor *tukum  
Pam: nanduhum  
Maw: (tukum)  

Kumil *ukum  
Bep: 'um  
Mau: uuma 'handle' (kuuma 'stick')  

Numugen *tukum  
Kar (Boia): war tukum 'walking stick'
*ub- 'to plant'

Kumil-Tibor *ub-

Tibor *ub-
  Mok: uw-
  Pam: up-
  HA: umb-
  Kow: op-

Kumil *up-
  Bep: ip-
  Moe: mpu-
  Mau: up-

Manep-Barem *ub-
  Bar: umb-
  Man: umb-

Numugen *ub-
  Yab: ub-
  Usan: ub-
  Kar: uw-
  Uku: uw-

Gavak: uw-

*ub- 'boil'

Manep-Barem
  Bar: umbaw-

Kumil *up-
  Mau: uup-

Kaukombarare *ub-
  Maia: uv-

*ubar 'branch'

Tibor *ubar
  HA: umbar
  Kow: opar

Kaukombar *ubar
  Maia: ub-

*udir(a/e)m 'leech'

Tibor *udila
  Mok: utila
  Pam: itila

Manep-Barem *iderem
  Bar: inderem
  Man: inderem

Karian *udilam
  Kar: ujiləm
Mauwake *kadilam* 'leech' is a loan, probably from Amako *katilam*.

*um- 'to die'*
- **Kumil-Tibor** *um-
  - **Tibor** *um*
    - Mok: *um-
    - Pam: *um-
    - HA: *um-
    - Maw: *um-
    - Kow: *um-
  - **Kumil** *um-
    - Bep: *im-
    - Moe: *um-
    - Mau: *um-
- **Manep-Barem** *um-
  - Bar: *um-
  - Man: *um-
- **Numugen** *um-
  - Yab: *um-
  - Usan: *um-
  - Kar: *um-
  - Par: *um-
  - Uku: *um-
  - Yar: *um-
- **Kaukombar** *um-
  - Maia (Wagedav): *um-
  - Miani: *um-
  - Mala: *um-

*un- 'to draw water'*
- **Kumil-Tibor** *un-
  - **Tibor** *un-
    - Mok: *un-
    - Pam: *un-
    - HA: *un-
    - Maw: *un-
    - Kow: *un-
  - **Kumil** *un-
    - Bep: *un-
    - Moe: *un-
    - Mau: *un-
- **Manep-Barem** *un-
  - Bar: *un-
  - Man: *un-, unew-
- **Numugen** *un-
  - Yab: *un-
  - Usan: *un-
Kar: un-
Par: un-
Uku: un-
Yar: un-
Kaukombar *un-
Maia (Wagedav): un-
Mian: un-
Mala: un-
Gavak: un-

*un-at 'female'
Kumil-Tibor *unet
Tibor *unet
   Mok: unen
   Pam: unend
   HA: unen
   Maw: unend
   Kow: unent
Kumil *une'
   Bep: une
Numugen *unad
   Yab: unad
   Usan: unor
   Kar: unar
   Par: unara
   Uku: unar
   Yar: unad

Nasal spreading in the Tibor reflexes indicate this was two morphemes.

*unim 'name'
Kumil-Tibor *unim
Tibor *unim
   Mok: unim
   Pam: unim
   HA: unim
   Maw: unim
   Kow: unim
Kumil *unim
   Bep: unum
   Moe: onim
   Mau: unuma
Manep-Barem *unim
   Bar: unim
   Man: unim
Numugen *unim
   Yab: unyimu
Usan: unum
Kar: unyim
Par: unima
Uku: unim
Yar: unima

Kaukombar *-unim
Maia (Wagedav): unim
Miani: -inum
Mala: -num

*unin 'bee'
Tibor *uni
Mok: uni
HA: uni

Kumil
Mau: (ununka)

Manep-Barem *unin
Bar: unin
Man: unin

Gavak: unin

Mauwake ununka may not be cognate, as it contains an additional unexplained syllable.

*up- 'dance'

Kumil-Tibor*uf-
Tibor *uw-
HA: uw-
Maw: uw-
Kow: uw-

Kumil *uf-
Bep: if-
Mau: uf-

Manep-Barem *uw-
Bar: uw-
Man: uw-
Numugen *uw-
Yab: uya-
Kar: uy-
Uku: uw-
Yar: w-

Kaukombar *uw-
Maia: uv-
Maiani: uv-
Miani: uw-
Mala: uw-

Gavak: uw-
*uram 'belly'

Kumil-Tibor *uram
Tibor *ula
  Mok: ula
  Pam: ula
  HA: ula
  Kow: ura

Kumil *urem
  Bep: urem
  Mau: uroma

Numugen *iram
  Yab: ilamu
  Usan: irim
  Kar: iluəm
  Par: ilam (guts)
  Uku: odilam (guts)
  Yar: ilama (guts)

Kaukombar *-ram
  Maia: -ram
  Maiani: -ram
  Miani: -ram
  Mala: -ram

The first syllable in Ukuriguma odilam is unaccounted for, but is perhaps relate to kod 'blood'.

*usa 'grasshopper'

Tibor
  Mok: usa

Numugen
  Kar: usa

Gavak: (uta)

Mokati and Karian reflexes suggest PNA *usa, but Gavak uta suggests PNA *uta, so may not be cognate.

*ut- 'give to 3SG'

Kumil-Tibor *ut-
Tibor *ut-
  Mok: wet-
  Pam: us-, u- 'give to 3SG/PL'

Kumil *uw-
  Bep: uw-
  Moe: uw-
  Mau: iw-

Manep-Barem *ut-
  Bar: -u, -t
Man: *us-
Numugen *ut-
   Yab: *ut- 'give SG"  
   Usan: *ut-  
   Kar: *ut-
Kaukombar *ut-
   Maia: *us-  
   Mala: *ut-
Gavak: *us-

*uyaw 'spear'
Kumil-Tibor *uyaw
   Tibor *uyaw 'spear'  
      Mok: yya
      Pam: yua
      HA: uyap
      Maw: yya
      Kow: uyeb
Kumil *uyew
   Bep: uyeb  
   Mau: wiowa
Manep-Barem: *uyaw
   Bar: uyaw
   Man: uyap
Kaukombar *uyaw
   Maia (Wagedav): wiav
   Maia (Saki): wiab
   Maia (Pila): wiab
   Maiani: uyav
   Miani: uyav
   Mala: (umav)

*wa 'pig, marsupial game animal'
Kumil-Tibor *wa
   Tibor *wa
      Pam: wa 'pig, marsupial'
      HA: wa
      Mawak: wa
      Kowaki: wa
Kumil *wa 'pig'
   Bep: wa
   Moe: wa
   Mau: waaya
Manep-Barem
   Bar: wagi 'cuscus'
   Man: wayi 'cuscus'
Numugen *wai
  Yaben: wai
  Usan: wai
  Kar: wa
  Yar: wei

Kaukombar *wat 'pig'
  Maia (Wagedav): wat
  Maia (Saki): wat
  Maia (Pila): was
  Maiani: wat
  Miani: was
  Mala: at

When referring to marsupials, reflexes of *wa are followed by a modifier specifying a more specific animal. For example, Karian wa tawara and wa ’avin are two types of wallaby, and Mokati wa meleke and wa bikise are two types of cuscus. When used without a modifier, Tibor *wa and Numugen *wat simply mean 'pig'. The final Proto-Numugen *t is unexplained.

*waben 'arm, hand'
  Kumil-Tibor *waben
    Tibor *abe
      Mok: ape
      Pam: ape
      HA: embe
      Maw: ape
      Kow: ape

  Kumil *wapen
    Bep: wapen
    Moe: ampen
    Mau: wapena

  Manep-Barem *waben
    Bar: omben
    Man: imben

Numugen *uben
  Usan: uben
  Kar: uvan
  Uku: ubon

Kaukombar *-wab(e/o) 'hand, arm'
  Maia: -wabo
  Maiani: -wapu
  Miani: -uabo
  Mala: -nambe

Gavak: aven

*waben kenam 'shoulder, tricep, deltoid'
  Tibor *abe kenam 'wrist'
    Mok: ape kena 'shoulder'
Pam: *ape na 'tricep/delt'
HA: *embe hena 'tricep/delt'
Kow: *ape hena 'shoulder'

**Manep-Barem**
Man: *imben kanam 'part of arm'

Manep *imben kanam is pulled from Z'graggen's Manep recordings. It is not clear from the audio which part of the arm the speaker is referring to.

* *waben kuman 'wrist, shoulder'*

**Kumil-Tibor** *wapen kuma*
- Tibor *abe *kuma 'wrist'*
  - Mok: *ape kuma 'wrist', abukuma 'shoulder'*
  - Pam: *apuma*
  - HA: *embe huma 'upper arm'*
- **Kumil** *wapen *uma*
  - Mauwake: *wapen uma*

**Manep-Barem** *waben kuman 'wrist'*
- Bar: *omben kumuan*
- Man: *imben kuman*

**Numugen**
Kar (Bar): *uman*

May be related to Proto-Sogeram *kuman 'arm, hand'*

* *wak- 'break'*

- **Tibor** *wak-*
  - Pam: *wah-*
- **Manep-Barem** *wak-*
  - Bar: *ok-*
  - Man: *uk-, ukew-*

* *wag 'hand drum'*

**Kumil** *waga*
- Bep: *wa'a*
- Mau: *oka*

**Kaukombar** *wag*
- Maia (Wagedav): *wag*
- Maia (Saki): *wang*
- Maia (Pila): *wang*
- Mala: *ak*

If Mauwake *oka* is cognate, then the change of *wa > o* is irregular.

* *wag 'canoe'*

**Manep-Barem** *wag*
- Manep: *unggu*
Kaukombar *wag
Miani: wag
Gavak: wok

Borrowed from Austronesian (Proto-Oceanic *waga).

*war-, *wa- 'hit, fight'
Tibor *wa-, *war-
   Mok: w-, wa-, wel-
   Pam: a-, al-, ol-
Kumil *war-
   Bep: ar-
   Mau: war- 'spear, kill, shoot, cut down'
Manep-Barem *war-
   Bar: or-, o-
   Man: ur-, u-
Numugen *war-
   Kar: w-, wal- 'hit 3SG'
   Par: wa-
   Uku: war-
   Yar: war-
Kaukombar
   Maiani: war-
   Miani: war-
Gavak: ur- 'hit 3SG'

Besides the meaning 'fight, hit', this is used as a light verb in many constructions. See also *inggar-, *nenar-, *yenar-.

*wawik 'flood, flood water'
Tibor
   Pam: avik
Manep-Barem *uwik
   Bar: uvik
   Man: uvik

The expected Barem reflex is **ovik.

*wayaŋ 'white'
Manep-Barem *wayan
   Bar: oyan
   Man: uyan
Kaukombar *waya
   Maia: waia
   Maiani: waya
   Miani: waya
   Mala: ala
Proto-Numugen *iwan 'lime' is possibly also cognate.

*wediem 'sun'

Manep-Barem *wedem
  Bar (QK): undem
  Bar (Bun): undiem

Numugen *wediem
  Yab: wayamu
  Usan: worom
  Kar. Boia: wejam
  Par: wareama
  Uku: odemo
  Yar: udeama

Kaukombar *wedem
  Maia: wedem
  Maiani: witem
  Miani: idem
  Mala otem

Gavak: urume

In Ukuriguma, which does not have phonemic /e/, *e usually became o, but is reflected as e in the second syllable of odemo, probably due to the preceding *i. The final vowel in Gavak urume is unaccounted for.

*wayek NEG

Tibor *waik
  Mok: wakat, wahat
  Pam: waik, wahik
  HA: aik
  Maw: waik
  Kow: wai

Kumil *wia
  Bep: oya
  Moe: we
  Mau: wia

Manep-Barem *wiek
  Bar: uyek
  Man: we, weget

Numugen *uyek
  Yab: uwə
  Usan: uwe
  Kar: uyə
  Par: wak
  Uku: woyak
  Yar: wek

Kaukombar *weyek
Maia (Wagedav): weie, we
Maia (Saki): we
Maia (Pila): we
Maiani: we
Miani: we, weyek
Mala: ila

*uyak
  Yab: uwə
  Usan: uwe, ue
  Kar: uyə
  Par: wak
  Uku: woyak
  Yar: wek

Gavak: waik

The form I reconstruct for *wayek is simply a best guess, since it is not possible to trace the exact changes affecting the vowels and semivowels. *wayek comes after a verb or negated predicate. The retention of final *k in the Numugen languages Yarawata and Parawen is irregular.

*wisir 'centipede'
  Tibor *wir
    Mok: wil
    Pam: wil
  Manep-Barem *usir
    Bar: vir
    Man: usir
  Numugen *waya
    Maia: wisir
  Kaukombar *witir
    Maia (Wagedav): wisir
    Maiani: isir
    Miani: isir

Gavak: user

*wud 'song', *wud *war- 'to sing and dance'
  Tibor *udu
    Mok: utu, utu wa- 'dance'
    Pam: itu, itu ol- 'sing'
    HA: indu wal-
    Kow: itu w-
  Kumil *ugu
    Moe: ngkua wa- 'sing'
  Manep-Barem
    Bar: undar- 'sing'
    Man: undundu 'drum beat'
Numugem *ud *war- 'sing'
   Yab: udu 'song' udu wal- 'sing'
   Usan: ur war-
   Kar. (Boia): ur war-
   Par: ur wari-
   Uku: ud war-
   Yar: uru war-

Kaukombar
   Maiani: wur 'singsing type'

*wud *war- 'to sing' is literally 'hit a song'. Initial *w is also suggested by Waskia wur 'song'.

*yag 'water'
Kumil *yaga
   Bep: ya'a
   Moe: engka
   Mau: eka

Manep-Barem
   Bar: yang
   Man: yanggu

Numugem *yag
   Yab: yag
   Usan: ya
   Kar. (Boia): yuəg
   Kar. (Barto): yuək
   Par: yaga
   Uku: yag
   Yar: yaga

*yag
   Maia (Wagedav): yag
   Maia (Saki): yang
   Maia (Pila): yang
   Maiani: yak
   Miani: yag
   Mala: ak

*yap 'footprint'
Tibor *yaf
   Mok: ake yau
   Pam: avu 'track'

Manep-Barem *ain yaw
   Bar: ain yav
   Man: ainap

Numugem *yaw
   Usan: iau

Kaukombar
   Maia (Wagedav): unaeiav
Gavak: yap-am (footprint-PL)

*yau 'who'

Tibor *au
  Mok: mate au
  Pam: mandia
  Kow: auna

Manep-Barem *yu
  Bar (QK): yu
  Bar (Bun.): yo
  Man: yu

Numugen *ya
  Yaben: ya
  Usan: (your)
  Kar: ya

Kaukombar *yau
  Maia (Wagedav): yao
  Maiani: ya
  Miani: ya
  Mala: ao, ano

*yenar- 'hit 1SG'

Kumil
  Mau: enar-

Manep-Barem
  Man: nar-

Kaukombar
  Kar: yən-, yənal-

*yis- 'give to 1SG'

Tibor *is-
  Pam: is- 'give to 2/3SG'

Manep-Barem *is-
  Bar: -is
  Man: is-

Numugen *yes-
  Usan: yes-
  Kar: yəs-

Kaukombar *yis-
  Maia (Wagedav): i-s-
  Maiani: yis-
  Miani: yes-
  Mala: it-

Gavak: is-
8. Proto-Manep-Barem

In this chapter, I illustrate the changes that have taken place in Barem and Manep respective to Proto-Northern Adelbert, and reconstruct Proto-Manep-Barem lexical items. In Section 8.1, I present the sound correspondences used to reconstruct the Proto-Manep-Barem phoneme inventory, and discuss the distribution and allophones of the reconstructed PMB phonemes. Section 8.2 discusses sound changes that took place in Proto-Manep-Barem respective to Proto-Northern Adelbert (in other words, those that are shared by Manep and Barem). In Sections 8.3 and 8.4, I discuss the changes that took place in Barem and Manep respective to PMB. Section 8.5 discusses the grammatical morphemes that have been reconstructed for PMB, including verb affixes, pronouns, adpositions, and other function words. Section 8.6 presents the reconstructed PMB vocabulary.

8.1 Proto-Manep-Barem phonemes

The tables below illustrate the reconstructed Proto-Manep-Barem phoneme inventory.

Table 8.1a: Reconstructed PMB vowel phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>*i</td>
<td>*u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>*e</td>
<td>*o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>*a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.1b: Reconstructed PMB consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>*p, *b</td>
<td>*t, *d</td>
<td></td>
<td>*k, *g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>*m</td>
<td></td>
<td>*n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>affricate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trill</td>
<td></td>
<td>*l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>*w</td>
<td></td>
<td>*y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vowels

Table 8.1f shows the vowel correspondences used to reconstruct PMB vowels, and the conditioning environments in which those correspondences are found.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMB environment</th>
<th>Barem (QK)</th>
<th>Barem (Bun.)</th>
<th>Manep (Sim.)</th>
<th>Manep (Mal.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/r#</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/V[+high]C_</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>ie</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/C_CV</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/C_Ci</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/uC_</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>uo</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/p</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/iC_</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>ia</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/uC_</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>ua</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ai</td>
<td>ai</td>
<td>ai</td>
<td>ai</td>
<td>ai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/p_</td>
<td>ai</td>
<td>ai</td>
<td>ai</td>
<td>ui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*au</td>
<td>au</td>
<td>au</td>
<td>au</td>
<td>au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ua</td>
<td>ua</td>
<td>ua</td>
<td>ua</td>
<td>ua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*∅</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PMB *o can only be tentatively reconstructed based on four word sets in which Barem o corresponds to Manep o. One of these, the conjunction *o, may very well be a borrowing of Tok Pisin o 'or' in both languages.
Table 8.1e: evidence for PMB *o

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>Barem (QK)</th>
<th>Barem (Bun.)</th>
<th>Manep (Sim.)</th>
<th>Manep (Mal.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*bok 'grandfather'</td>
<td>*bok</td>
<td>*bok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*mos 3SG.COM</td>
<td>*mo</td>
<td>*mos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*o CONJ</td>
<td>*o</td>
<td>*o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*umo 'coastward'</td>
<td>*umo (Bun.)</td>
<td>*umo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stops

Table 8.1f: PMB stop correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>environment</th>
<th>Barem (QK)</th>
<th>Barem (Bun.)</th>
<th>Manep (Sim.)</th>
<th>Manep (Mal.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*p</td>
<td></td>
<td>f</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*b</td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/#_</td>
<td>mb</td>
<td>mb</td>
<td>mb</td>
<td>mb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/DV_,_VD</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>mb</td>
<td>mb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*t</td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/VT</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*d</td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/DV_,_VD</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/#_</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/#_i</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nj</td>
<td>nj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*k</td>
<td></td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/_#</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/_+</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/_Vk</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*g</td>
<td></td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/V_</td>
<td>ngg</td>
<td>ngg</td>
<td>ngg</td>
<td>ngg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/DV_,_VD</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>ng</td>
<td>ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/#_</td>
<td>ng</td>
<td>ng</td>
<td>ng</td>
<td>ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/#(monosyllables)</td>
<td>ng</td>
<td>ng</td>
<td>ngg</td>
<td>ngg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proto-Manep-Barem had three voiceless stops *p, *t, and *k, and three voiced stops *b, *d, and *g. In reconstructed vocabulary, *t and *k are found in all possible positions, but *p is only found word-initially. The three voiced stops are found in all possible positions, although there is only one reconstruction with final *b.

For Proto-Manep-Barem voiced stops, it is possible to reconstruct plain voiced and prenasalized-voiced allophones. PMB word-initial voiced stops are reflected as plain voiced stops in both Manep and Barem, while PMB intervocalic voiced stops are reflected as nasal-stop sequences in both languages. Since plain voiced stops and nasal-stop sequences are in complementary distribution in directly-inherited vocabulary, we can reconstruct the same pattern of complementary distribution to PMB, with a plain voiced allophone word-initially, and a prenasalized voiced allophone intervocalically.

Word-final voiced stops were also likely realized as prenasalized-voiced in Proto-Manep-Barem. In both Barem and Manep, voiced stops are typically realized as nasal-stop sequences. However, word-final *g is reflected as a velar nasal in both languages, as in *musag 'eye' > Barem muang [muɑŋ], Manep musang [musɑŋ]. Thus word-final *g may have also been realized as a nasal in PMB. However, this is not entirely clear, since there are also instances in both Manep and Barem where word-final PMB *g is reflected as a nasal stop sequence after subsequent changes led to the addition of a following vowel. For example, PMB *yag 'water' > Manep yanggu, following a change in Manep where monosyllabic words added final -u.

Table 8.1g illustrates the reflexes of PMB *b, *d, and *g in Manep and Barem in word-initial, intervocalic, and word-final positions, along with the reconstructed PMB voiced stop allophone for each position.
Table 8.1g: voiced stop allophones in Proto-Manep-Barem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>position</th>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>PMB allophone</th>
<th>Barem</th>
<th>Manep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>word-initial: plain voiced</td>
<td>*baren 'road'</td>
<td>*[b]</td>
<td>*baren</td>
<td>*baren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*dur 'tail'</td>
<td>*[d]</td>
<td>*dur</td>
<td>diru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*gaman 'liver'</td>
<td>*[g]</td>
<td>*gaman</td>
<td>*gaman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intervocalic: prenasalized-voiced</td>
<td>*kibem 'hand drum'</td>
<td>*[mb]</td>
<td>*kibem</td>
<td>*kibem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*widar- 'draw bow'</td>
<td>*[nd]</td>
<td>*windar-</td>
<td>*windar-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*iguar 'penis'</td>
<td>*[ŋg]</td>
<td>*ungguar</td>
<td>*ungguar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>word-final: prenasalized-voiced</td>
<td>*ib 'feces'</td>
<td>*[mb]</td>
<td>*imb</td>
<td>*imbu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*mud 'firewood'</td>
<td>*[nd]</td>
<td>*mund 'firewood'</td>
<td>*mundu 'wood'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*musag 'eye'</td>
<td>*[ŋ(ŋ)]</td>
<td>*muang</td>
<td>*musang</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In both Manep and Barem, the reflex of a voiced stop is also affected by whether there is another voiced stop in the environment. In Barem, if the consonant preceding or following a voiced stop is another voiced stop, both are realized as plain voiced. For example, PMB *gadaw 'strength' > gadav, and *bagen 'light' > bagen. The only exception to this is that final *g is reflected as a nasal regardless, as in *duag 'snake' > duang. In Manep, the pattern is similar, but more complicated, as stops at each place of articulation behave differently. Alveolar stops behave the same as in Barem: they are realized as plain voiced in the environment of another voiced stop, as in PMB *gadaw 'strength' > gadap, and *gaid 'sky' > gaid. Velar stops are realized as a velar nasal under the same conditions, as in *bagen 'light' > bangen, and *dagen 'straight' > dangen. Labial stops are unaffected by another voiced stop in the environment, and are realized as prenasalized, as in PMB *babad- 'to cut up' > bamband- and PNA *debik 'dirty' > jimbi. Since these patterns are different between Manep and Barem, it is not clear how a voiced stop in PMB would have been affected by another voiced stop in the environment.
Fricative *s

Proto-Manep Barem had one fricative, *s, which was deleted in most environments in Barem (see section 8.2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMB Barem (QK)</th>
<th>Barem (Bun.)</th>
<th>Manep (Sim.)</th>
<th>Manep (Mal.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*s</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nasals

Proto-Manep-Barem had two nasals, *m and *n, which were found in all phonotactic positions. While both Manep and Barem have a velar nasal, there is no phonemic velar nasal reconstructed for Proto-Manep-Barem, although a velar nasal was possibly an allophone of *g (see above).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMB Barem (QK)</th>
<th>Barem (Bun.)</th>
<th>Manep (Sim.)</th>
<th>Manep (Mal.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liquids

Proto-Manep-Barem had two liquids, *r and *l. PMB *l is rare, found in only three reconstructed forms. Both *r and *l are not found in word-initial position.

In both Barem and Manep verb roots ending in *r, *r deletes before certain affixes. For example PMB *war-min 'we hit' > (Bun.) omin, Manep wamin; *war-mi 'I hit' > Barem ome, (Sim.) wami. Since this deletion occurs in a similar way in both languages, it likely applied in Proto-Manep-Barem as well.
Glides

Proto-Manep-Barem had two glides, *w and *y. *w appears in all phonotactic positions, but *y does not appear word-finally. Word-final reflexes of *w are realized as a bilabial fricative [β] (an allophone of /w/) in Barem, and as p in Manep, which suggests it was a fricative in this position in Proto-Manep-Barem. It is clear that we should reconstruct PMB *w for this correspondence, rather than *p, since when a Manep word with final p is the first element in a compound, it has w instead. For example, *PMB *gadaw 'strong' > Manep gadap, (Barem gadav) but Manep gadawar- 'strengthen', derives from PMB *gadaw and *ar- 'become'. The same alternation is seen in Manep bumbup 'ripe, red' and the compounds bumbuwar- 'be red' and bumbuwuyan 'baby' ('ripe'+ 'white').

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>Barem (QK)</th>
<th>Barem (Bun.)</th>
<th>Manep (Sim.)</th>
<th>Manep (Mal.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*l</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Word and syllable structure

Proto-Manep-Barem words were of one, two, or three syllables. Initial syllables did not require an onset, and final syllables could lack a coda. Consonant clusters were not allowed. Verb roots were of one or two syllables, and always ended in a consonant.
8.2 Manep-Barem innovations

Manep and Barem share four sound changes with respect to Proto-Northern Adelbert: two mergers and two subphonemic shifts. In addition to these shared phonological innovations, there are numerous PMB reconstructions for which cognates have not been identified in other Northern Adelbert languages. It is likely that some of these are shared lexical innovations.

Table 8.2a: Manep-Barem sound changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>innovation</th>
<th>type of change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ŋ &gt; n</td>
<td>merger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*e &gt; a/Ca</td>
<td>merger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*p &gt; w/V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*g &gt; ŋ</td>
<td>shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*d &gt; j/i</td>
<td>shift</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PNA*ŋ > n

In Manep-Barem, PNA *ŋ and *n merged as n, a change that took place in most other Northern Adelbert subgroups as well.

Table 8.2b: Manep Barem wordsets with *ŋ > n

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>Barem (QK)</th>
<th>Barem (Bun.)</th>
<th>Manep (Sim.)</th>
<th>Manep (Mal.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*guaŋ 'skin'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>gunu</td>
<td>gunu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wayaŋ 'white'</td>
<td>*wayan</td>
<td>oyan</td>
<td>uyan</td>
<td>uyan</td>
<td>uyan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*gemaŋ 'liver'</td>
<td>*gaman</td>
<td>gaman</td>
<td>gaman</td>
<td>gaman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kumaŋ 'nape'</td>
<td>*kuman</td>
<td>kuman</td>
<td>kumuan</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>kumangarem¹⁰⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*bugaŋ 'post'</td>
<td>*bugan</td>
<td>bugan</td>
<td>buguan</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, there is one piece of evidence that this change may have taken independently in Manep and Barem. In the Kimbu Kambuar dialect of Barem, which is no longer spoken (see Chapter

---
¹⁰⁴ Manep kumangarem is from *kuman 'nape' + *garem 'bone'. The velar nasal in kumangarem is the regular reflex of *n+*g, which became ng (see section 8.4).
2), the word for 'liver' is in *gaman, from PNA *gamaŋ. If this reflects the regular reflex of *ŋ in Kimbua Kambuar, then the change of *ŋ > n must have taken place separately in Manep and Barem.

PNA *e > a/_Ca

PNA *e became PMB *a when it was an initial syllable and the following vowel was *a. This change also occurred in Numugen languages and some Kaukombar languages.

Table 8.2c: Manep Barem wordsets with *e > a/_Ca

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>Barem (QK)</th>
<th>Barem (Bun.)</th>
<th>Manep (Sim.)</th>
<th>Manep (Mal.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*kenam 'base'</td>
<td>*kanam</td>
<td>kanam</td>
<td>kanam</td>
<td>kanam</td>
<td>kanam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nenag 'tooth'</td>
<td>*nanag</td>
<td>nanang</td>
<td>nanang</td>
<td>nanang</td>
<td>nanang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*gedaw 'strong'</td>
<td>*gadaw</td>
<td>gadav</td>
<td>gadav</td>
<td>gadap</td>
<td>gadap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kebuar 'mouth'</td>
<td>*kabuar</td>
<td>kambuar</td>
<td>kambuar</td>
<td>kambar</td>
<td>kambar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*geman 'liver'</td>
<td>*gaman</td>
<td>gaman</td>
<td>gaman</td>
<td>gaman</td>
<td>gaman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*megam 'star'</td>
<td>*magam</td>
<td>manggam</td>
<td>manggam</td>
<td>manggam</td>
<td>manggam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ked-at 'red'</td>
<td>*kadat</td>
<td>karas</td>
<td>karas</td>
<td>kandat</td>
<td>kandat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PNA *p > w/V_

PNA *p merged with *w as w after a vowel. In Manep, it has the allophones [p] and [w].

Table 8.2d: Manep Barem wordsets with *p > w/V_

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>Barem (QK)</th>
<th>Barem (Bun.)</th>
<th>Manep (Sim.)</th>
<th>Manep (Mal.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*up- 'dance'</td>
<td>*uw-</td>
<td>uw-</td>
<td>uw-</td>
<td>uw-</td>
<td>uw-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ip- '1SG.OBJ'</td>
<td>*iw-</td>
<td>iv-</td>
<td>iv-</td>
<td>ip-</td>
<td>ip-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ip 'leaf'</td>
<td>*iw</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>ivu</td>
<td>ivu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kapur 'lime'</td>
<td>*kawur</td>
<td>kawur</td>
<td>kawur</td>
<td>kawur</td>
<td>kawur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kapil 'fat'</td>
<td>*kawil</td>
<td>kavir</td>
<td>kawur</td>
<td>kawil</td>
<td>kawil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kupi 'betelpepper vine'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>kuvi</td>
<td>kuvi</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*iper 'salt, ocean'</td>
<td>*iwer</td>
<td>ivor 'rain'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>iver</td>
<td>iver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PNA *g > ŋ/#, *d > j/ i

Word-final PNA *g shifted to ŋ in Manep-Barem, but this change is submorphemic. In both modern languages, /ŋ/ and /g/ still do not contrast in word-final position. Another submorphemic shift in Manep-Barem is that *d became an affricate j before *i.

Reflexes of PNA *wa-

PNA *wa- became o- in Barem and u- in Manep. At first glance this may seem like a shared innovation that took place in Proto-Manep-Barem, with PNA *wa- becoming PMB *u-, which later lowered to o in Barem. However, this path of change can be ruled out, since PNA *u- is retained as u- in both Barem and Manep, which means that PNA *wa- and *u- were kept distinct in Proto-Manep-Barem. I therefore assume that the change from PNA *wa- to a monophthong vowel took place independently in the two languages after they split.

Reduplication

A number of Manep and Barem forms have undergone reduplication. In many cases, reduplication applied to words for things which are often found in groups (ants, roots, people), but the reduplicated form generally is used for both singular and plural. In only one case ('child') is does a reduplicated form for the plural contrast with a non-reduplicated form for the singular. Reduplicated forms also undergo vowel changes in the reduplicated syllable for which I have been unable to establish a pattern, and which behave differently in the Bunabun and Qkuan Kambuar dialects of Barem. Some Barem reduplicated forms have non-reduplicated counterparts, while others do not.

Two of the forms which have undergone reduplication in Barem ('root' and 'ant') also reduplicated in Manep. However, since no clear pattern for reduplication has been established for each
individual language or dialect, it is not yet possible to reconstruct how reduplication may have worked in Proto-Manep-Barem. Some examples of Barem and Manep reduplication are listed in Table 8.2e.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>proto-form</th>
<th>non-reduplicated Barem</th>
<th>QK</th>
<th>Barem</th>
<th>Manep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMB *dawir 'child'</td>
<td>dawir 'child'</td>
<td>davidavir 'children'</td>
<td>deduir 'children'</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNA *maden 'person'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>mamunden</td>
<td>mumdiem</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNA *mudir 'ant'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>mamunjir</td>
<td>mumjir</td>
<td>mumunjir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMB *murin 'root'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>mamurin</td>
<td>mumurin</td>
<td>mururin, muririn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNA *degen 'straight'</td>
<td>digen</td>
<td>didigen</td>
<td>digidien</td>
<td>dangen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.3 Sound changes in Barem

In this section, I outline the sound changes which have taken place in Barem, respective to PMB.

**V > ∅/#T_T**

When the first two consonants of a polysyllabic word were voiceless obstruents (*p, *t, *k, or *s), the intervening vowel deleted, resulting in a consonant cluster. For example, PNA *keta 'coconut' > kta, and *pataw- 'lift, raise' > ptaw-.

In many cases, the initial consonant in a cluster created via vowel deletion subsequently lenited to a fricative, as detailed below.

**t > s/#_T**

When vowel deletion created a sequence of word-initial t followed immediately by another voiceless obstruent, t lenited to s, as in *takap 'grasshopper' > skav, and *tatirik 'chicken' stiri (QK),
stari (Bun.). There is, however, some variation between a fricative and stop in the pronunciation of some words, for example skat–tkat 'orphan', and skun–tkun 'moon' (see Chapter 2).

\*k > x/#_k

In a cluster of underlying /kk/, the first velar stop shifted to a voiceless velar fricative [x] (<q > in the Barem orthography). This occurs word-initially in clusters created by historic vowel deletion, for example PMB *kakas 'dog' > qka, and *kuken 'old' > qkon. This change was not phonological, as the fricative is best analyzed as an allophone of /k/ (see Chapter 2).

\*p > f

PMB *p became f (a bilabial fricative) before a vowel in Barem, as in *par- 'to call' > far-. This would have been a subphonemic shift, but innovative vocabulary such as pon 'sea turtle' and par 'bench' (both loans from Waskia) has reintroduced p in word-initial position, so that modern Barem has a distinction between /p/ and /f/. Before another obstruent, the phonetic realization of the reflex of *p varies between a fricative and a stop (see Chapter 2).

\*s > Ø

PMB *s was deleted in several environments, including word-initially (*sinem 'unripe' > (QK) inem, (Bun.) iniem), intervocally (*musag 'eye' > muang), and word-finally (*kakas 'dog' > qka). PMB *s is only preserved in consonant clusters that resulted from vowel deletion, as in *kasik 'wild' > ksik, although it was lost in others, as in PMB *umuns- 'to tie' > umun-. This indicates that the *s > Ø took placed after *V > Ø/#T_T.
*t > s/a_#

Word final *t became s after *a, as in PMB *ked+at 'red' > karas, *pur+at 'rotten' > furas, and PNA *barat 'year' > babaras. Since word-final s derived from *t did not subsequently delete, this change must have taken place after *s > Ø.

*l > r

PMB *l merged with *r in Barem: *tawal 'island' > tawar, *mil- 'vomit' > mir-.

*e > o/_r# (QK) *e > a/_r# (Bun)

*e changed its quality before word-final r, becoming o in Qkuan Kambuar, and a in Bunabun. For example, PMB *taiwer 'cassowary' > QK taiwor, Bun. taiwar, PNA *baner 'signal drum' > QK banor, Bun. banar.

Although there is no obvious phonetic motivation for a change of *e > o or *e > a in this context, cognates in Manep and other Northern Adelbert languages clearly indicate this was PNA *e. We can therefore also reconstruct Proto-Barem *e for the o:e correspondence between the Barem dialects, even where there are not cognate terms outside of Barem. For example, QK kior and Bun. kiriar 'men's house' point to Proto-Barem *kirer.

An apparent exception to the change is the sequence -rer, in which *e continued as e in both Barem dialects: PMB *arer 'two' > arer, Proto-Barem *bagarer 'terminalia tree sp.' > QK bagarer, Bun. bagrer.

*e > i/#C_CV(C) (QK), *e > a/#C_CV(C) (Bun)

When *e was the first syllable in a polysyllabic word, it changed to i in Qkuan Kambuar and a in Bunabun. For example, PNA *teber 'mangas plant' > QK timbor, Bun. tamber, *bagen 'light' > QK
bigen, Bun. bagen, *mekiw 'ground, garden' > QK mikiv, Bun. makiv, and *dagen 'straight' > Bun. digen. An exception to this change is when the following vowel is *a, in which case *e > a in both QK and Bunabun, a change shared with Manep, as outlined above.

There are a number of words in which Qkuan Kambuar i corresponds with Bunabun a, but there are no cognates outside Barem. For these, I reconstruct Proto-Barem *e. Proto-Barem *nembek 'big' > QK nimbek, Bun. nambek, *meser 'betel nut' > QK misor, Bun. masar; *bew- 'wait' > QK biw-, Bun. baw-.

*V > ∅/VC.CV (Bun.)

In Bunabun, medial vowels were sometimes lost in words that are trisyllabic or longer, as in Proto-Barem *urumik 'fish' > (QK) urumik, (Bun.) urmik; Proto-Barem *gigiruk 'crooked' > (QK) gigiruk, (Bun.) gigruk.

When vowel loss created a cluster with a prenasalized voiced stop, this stop lost the prenasalization if it came second in the cluster, as in Proto-Barem *kamandim 'bow' > (QK) kamandim, (Bun.) kamdim. If the prenasalized stop was the first segment in the cluster, it lost the oral portion to become a nasal: PNA *kuduruk 'fly' (QK) > kunduruk, (Bun.) kunruk.

There are a few unexplained exceptions to this rule: PMB *yawarap 'again' > (Bun.) yawarav, PMB *kiwinem 'yam' > (Bun.) kivinem, PMB *iderem 'leech' > (Bun.) enderem, and Proto-Barem *kuragat 'frog' > (Bun.) kuruanggat.

High vowel insertion: V[-high] > iV/iC_, V[-high] > uV/uC_(Bun.)

In Bunabun, a high vowel was inserted before a non-high vowel when the previous vowel was high. For example, PMB *kibem 'hand drum' > (QK) kimbem, (Bun.) kimbem; PNA *kumaŋ 'nape' >
(QK) *kuman*, (Bun.) *kumuan*; *sinem* 'green, unripe' > (QK) *inem*, (Bun.) *iniem*. This rule also applies productively in Bunabun inflected verbs (see Chapter 2).

In some instances, the conditioning high vowel was subsequently lost due to the change *V > \(\emptyset/#T\_T\). From the inserted vowel in Bunabun, it is possible to reconstruct the conditioning vowel that was deleted. For example, from QK *ftam*-, Bun. *ftiam* 'to serve', it is possible to reconstruct Proto-Barem *pitam*-

**wa- > o/\_**

Word initial *wa-* became *o* in Barem. PMB *waben* 'arm, hand' > *omben*, *war-* 'to hit' > *or-*; *wayan* 'white' > *oyan*. This initial *o* in Barem corresponds with *u* in Manep, and derives from Proto-Northern Adelbert *wa-* . Since word-initial PNA *u* continued as *u* in both Manep and Barem, I retain PMB *wa* for the *o:*u correspondence.

**Relative chronology of Barem sound changes**

Table 8.2d presents the Barem sound changes for which there is evidence of having applied in a particular order. First, it is clear that Bunabun high vowel insertion applied before *V > \(\emptyset/#T\_T\), since vowels which deleted according to this rule first triggered the insertion of a high vowel. Second, *V > \(\emptyset/#T\_T\) applied before deletion of *s*, since *s* is preserved immediately following another voiceless obstruent. Third, *t > s/a_\_# applied after deletion of *s*, since word-final *s* did not delete when it is a reflex of *t.*
Table 8.3a: relative chronology of Barem sound changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1) high vowel insertion (Bun.)</th>
<th>Proto-Barem *k(i/u)sien 'bone'</th>
<th>Proto-Barem *pitam- 'serve'</th>
<th>*kasik 'wild'</th>
<th>*barat 'year'</th>
<th>*usir 'centipede'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2) *V &gt; Ø/#T_T</td>
<td>ksien</td>
<td>ptiam</td>
<td>ksik</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) *s &gt; Ø/V_ , #_V</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>uir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) *t &gt; s/a_#</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>baras</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.4 Sound changes in Manep

Below I outline the sound changes which took place in Manep relative to PMB.

*i > u, *u > i

A common change in Manep is that *i became u when the preceding or following vowel was *u. The opposite change occurred as well, with *u becoming i in the environment of i. Neither of these changes appears to be regular, and it does not seem possible to predict which vowel, if any, will assimilate to the other. For example, a change of *i > u is seen in *iguar 'penis' > unguar, *kudi 'banana' > kunju, and *ikus 'to shoot' > ukus-. The opposite change of *u to i is seen in *dur 'tail' > diru and *iduw- 'go' > injiw-. In many cases, both vowels have remained the same, for example *irub 'come up' > irub- and *unim 'name' > unim. In other cases there is variation, as in *ituw- 'pull out, take off, > ituw~utuw, and *murin 'root' > mururin–muririn. Sporadic change between high vowels is common in Northern Adelbert languages.
*a > u/p_

PMB *a became u in Manep after p, for example *par- 'to call' > pur-, and *panar 'village' > punar. This applied also to the sequence /ai/ in *pai 'mango' > pui. For unclear reasons, the change did not occur in *pataw- 'to lift' > pataw-. This vowel change also took place in *baram 'hornbill' > buram, but not in the similarly shaped *baren 'road' > baren and *barek 'uncle/nephew' > barek.

*e > a/#C_C, *e > i/C_Ci

*e became a in initial syllables when followed by a consonant, as in PNA *ben 'axe' > banu, *men 'breast' > manu 'breast', and *nebek 'bird' > nambek. If the following vowel was *i, then *e raised to i instead, as in *mekiw 'ground' > mikip, PNA *tebik 'rain' > timbik, *merik 'eel' > mirik, and *debik 'dirty' jimbik. In monosyllabic open syllables, *e is reflected e, as in *me 'NEG' > me and *te 'LOC' > te.

*∅ > u/(C)V(C)_#

Monosyllabic content words with added a final u in Manep. For example, PMB *kit 'meat' > kitu, and *mur 'short' > muru. This change did not apply to words of the shape *CVVC, such as *tuar 'crocodile' > tuar, or *ain 'foot' > ain, suggesting that these are bisyllabic in PMB, rather than monosyllables with phonological diphthongs. This change also did not apply to function words, including monosyllabic pronouns, as well as the locative/instrumental adposition *te, *mak 'after, behind', and *yam 'nothing'.

The change also did not apply to kinship terms: *ya 'uncle' > yaya, *baw 'older brother' > baba, ba, *bok 'grandfather' > bok, and possibly *gar > gar 'clan, extended family', assuming that these words are directly inherited.
As mentioned in Section 1, the realization of voiced stops in Manep-Barem can be affected by another voiced stop in the environment. In Manep, a velar stop *g became a nasal ng when the preceding or following consonant was also a voiced stop, as in *dagen 'straight' > danger and *bugum-'sit' > bungom-. While [g] and [ŋ] would have originally been in complementary distribution, there are now words in Manep (of unknown origin) with intervocalic [ŋ] not in the environment of a voiced stop, such as yangi 'yellow', which makes this distinction phonemic.

When compounding resulted in a sequence of *n+*g, this became a velar nasal. Examples are *ain+gaman 'sole of foot' > aingaman, and *waben+*gaman 'palm of hand' > imbengaman, *kuman+*garem > kumangarem 'back of head', and *gaman+*garem.'chest cavity' > gamangarem.

Final *k deleted in the Malas dialect, as in *buruk 'pig' > buru, and *kuduruk 'fly' > kunduru. Monosyllabic *PMB words ending in *k were not affected by this change, as final /u/ was added to these words first, as in *yik 'sore' > iku. This change also affected morpheme-final *k in compound words and k-final verb roots, such as *wabek- 'to hold' > imbe-, and *girik 'to hold' > giri-.

Word-final *k did not undergo any changes in the Simbukanam dialect, but morpheme-final *k became voiced (without prenasalization) word-medially, for example on verb roots, such as *wabek- 'to hold' > imbeg-, and *girik 'to hold' > girig-, but also other suffixed morphemes, such as the negator weget < PMB *uiek, with the fossilized suffix -et.
**w > p/ #**

Word-final *w became p in Manep. For example, PMB *yawaraw 'again' > yawarap, and *pitiw 'sago' > pitip. This change applied after the addition of u to monosyllabic words, as it did not apply to forms such as iwu 'hair' < PMB *iw.

**wa- > u/#**

Word-initial *wa- merged with *u- in Manep, becoming u. PMB *war- 'to hit' > ur-, *wayan 'white' > uyan, *waben 'arm, hand' > imben (with later fronting of u > i).

**Relative chronology of Manep sound changes**

For three of the Manep sound changes, it is possible to determine the order they happened in relative to another change. Since the changes that applied to word-final *k and *w did not apply to monosyllabic words, it is clear that these changes happened after the addition of final u to monosyllabic words.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*tak 'broad leaf'</th>
<th>*taw 'some'</th>
<th>*buruk 'pig'</th>
<th>*uyaw 'spear'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>*∅ &gt; u/ #(C)VC_</td>
<td>taku</td>
<td>tawu</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>*k &gt; ∅/ + (Malas)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>buru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*w &gt; p/ #</td>
<td>taku</td>
<td>tawu</td>
<td>buru</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.5 Proto-Manep-Barem grammatical morphemes

Verb template

The following verb template can be reconstructed for Proto-Manep-Barem.

Table 8.5a: Proto-Manep-Barem affix ordering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBJ</th>
<th>root</th>
<th>R-markers</th>
<th>IPFV</th>
<th>subject + tense/mood</th>
<th>SER</th>
<th>NMLZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The ordering of verb root and affixes in Table 8.5a is nearly the same in both Manep and Barem, with one caveat: Manep synchronically does not have direct object prefixes, but it does have fossilized elements at the beginning of some verb stems, which derive from PMB object-marking prefixes. Forms for the direct object-marking prefixes, R-markers, the nominative affix (*-aw) and the serial verb affix (*-V) are reconstructible for PMB, as are several subject+tense/mood affixes (see below). Of the cells in Table 8.5a, it is not possible to reconstruct any forms only for the imperfective slot. Where the verb root and affixes are all cognate in Manep and Barem, it is possible to reconstruct as fully inflected PMB verb, as in *par-id-ak 'call-PL-2SG.IMP' > Barem far-ind-iak, Manep pur-end-a.

Direct object prefixes

It is possible to reconstruct a set of Proto-Manep-Barem direct object-marking prefixes, which were only used on a small number of verbs. In Barem, direct object prefixes are attested only on two verbs, angg- 'to see', and anggar- 'to teach, show'. In Manep, there are several sets of verb stems that have the person/number of the direct object included in the meaning of the stem. Some of these Manep verbs have elements that, while not synchronically separate morphemes, are clearly related to the Barem direct object prefixes.
Table 8.5b: PMB direct object-marking prefixes and their reflexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>Barem</th>
<th>Manep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>*iw-</td>
<td>iv-</td>
<td>iwansar- 'teach/show 1SG'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>iwan-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>*naw-</td>
<td>naw-</td>
<td>nawansar- 'teach/show 2SG'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>naweng- 'see 2SG'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>*uw-</td>
<td>uw-</td>
<td>uwansar- 'teach/show 3SG'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>uworum- 'follow/chase SG'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>*imb-</td>
<td>imb-</td>
<td>imbandar- 'teach/show.PL'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>imbensim- 'see.PL'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>imberum- 'follow/chase.PL'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reflexes of the PMB direct object prefixes are used on only the verbs 'to see' and 'to teach/show' in both Manep and Barem, so we can reconstruct their use on these two verbs in PMB. There are also reflexes of on the Manep verbs for 'to chase/follow', but as no cognate term has been identified in Barem, their use on this verb in PMB cannot be reconstructed. Cognate prefixes in Karian and Gavak are also restricted to 'to see', while the cognate pronouns in Kumil languages are general direct object pronouns (see Chapter 7).

R-markers

Both Manep and Barem have a set of verb suffixes I call R-markers, which are used to mark indirect objects, beneficiaries, maleficiaries, and experiencers in bodily and mental state verbs. In Manep, these R-markers are similar in form to the verb roots with the meaning 'to give', which have different forms depending on the person/number of the recipient.105

The Manep and Barem R-markers and the Manep 'give' verbs are used to reconstruct the PMB forms in Table 8.5c, which function both as verb roots with the meaning 'to give', as well as affixes in other constructions, such as bodily and mental state verbs.

---

105 I have analyzed 'to give' in Barem as being composed of the R-markers affixed to a phonologically empty root, whereas in Manep I have analyzed the R-markers and verb roots as separate morphemes, since they are not homophonous. An alternate analysis for 'to give' in Barem would be a set of verb stems that are (mostly) homophonous with the R-markers.
### Table 8.5c: PMB R-markers and their reflexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>Barem R-markers</th>
<th>Manep R-markers</th>
<th>Manep 'to give'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>*is</td>
<td>-i</td>
<td>-is</td>
<td>is-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>*nas</td>
<td>-n</td>
<td>-ans</td>
<td>nas-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>*us</td>
<td>-w (for 'to give')&lt;br&gt;-t (for other verbs)</td>
<td>-as</td>
<td>us-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>*ind</td>
<td>-ind</td>
<td>-and</td>
<td>und- 'give to 3PL'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some explanation is necessary for some of the Manep and Barem forms used for the PMB reconstructions. First, the Barem 3SG R-markers -w is used only for the verb 'to give', while other verbs use the form -t. PMB *s regularly deleted in Barem, which is reflected in -w (as well 1SG -i and 2SG -n). It is possible that 3SG -t also reflects PMB *us, with irregular fortition of *s. Second, in addition to und- 'give to 3PL', Manep also has the verbs inind- 'give to 1PL' and nanind-'give to 2PL' which may also reflect PMB *ind, with additional material added. Alternately, it is possible that PMB also distinguished 1/2/3PL, and that this distinction was lost in Barem. However, since the Manep plural R-marker -and is used for all plurals without a person distinction, I have reconstructed PMB *ind as the R-marker for all plurals, rather than 3PL specifically. Third, some Manep R-markers have undergone irregular vowel changes, which is not surprising in grammatical morphemes. Furthermore, some verb stems affect the vowel quality in Manep R-markers. For example, bim-ond-in 'he tricked them' and bim-ons-in 'he tricked you', rather than expected *bim-and-in and *bim-ans-in.

**Subject+tense/mood affixes**

Table 8.5d shows the reconstructed PMB subject and tense/mood suffixes.
Table 8.5d: PMB subject+tense/mood suffixes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>Barem</th>
<th>Manep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*-mi</td>
<td>-me</td>
<td>Sim: -umi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1SG.REM</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mal: -umoi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-min</td>
<td>Bun: -min</td>
<td>-umin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL.REM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-Vn</td>
<td>-Vn</td>
<td>-Vn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG.REM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-ak</td>
<td>-ak</td>
<td>-a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG.IMP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1SG.REM *-mi

Barem *me\(^{106}\) and Manep *umi (Sim.) and *umoi (Malas) '1SG.PST' are used to reconstruct PMB *-mi '1SG.REM'. Although the usual reflex of final *i in Barem is i, not e, it also applied in the only other grammatical morpheme with final *i that has been reconstructed, the question particle *i (Barem e). The insertion of o in Malas -umoi is also not a regular change.

1PL.REM *-min

Bunabun *min '1PL.REM' and Manep *umin '1/3PL.PST' are used to reconstruct PMB *-min 1PL.REM. Although both Manep and the Qkuan Kambuar dialect of Barem have combined the 1PL and 3PL past, these were distinct in Proto-Manep-Barem, and the distinction in maintained in the Bunabun dialect of Barem with *min '1PL.REM' and *mid '3PL.REM'. Qkuan Kambuar *mind '1/3PL.R' is derived from PNA *-mid '3PL.REM', while Manep *umin '1/3PL.PST' is derived from *-mid '1PL.REM'. The merger of the 1PL and 3PL remote past markers is probably due in part to their similarity in form, and has occurred in other Northern Adelbert languages as well, including Hember Avu and Mauwake.

\(^{106}\) In Bunabun, this marker is used for 1SG.REM, while in QK it is 1SG.R (see section 9.2.3).
2SG.REM *-Vn

The PMB 2SG past marker *-Vn is reconstructed based on Barem -Vn '2SG.REM' and Manep -Vn '2/3SG.PST'. Since PNA had both *-Vn '2SG.REM' and *-Vd '3SG.REM', a distinction that Barem maintains, it is clear that the meaning of the PMB marker was 2SG, and not 2/3SG. Manep extended -Vn to cover both the 2SG and 3SG past, a change that also took place in the Tiboran language Hember Avu.

In Barem, the form of the vowel in -Vn is determined by the preceding consonant. The allomorph -an is used after velars and r, -en is used after alveolars (except r), and -on is used after labials. In Manep, which allomorph is used depends on the verb's class, which only roughly correlates with its final consonant (see Chapter 2). However, if we look only at Manep verbs which are inherited from PMB, they follow a similar pattern to Barem, with some small differences. We can therefore reconstruct the following allomorphs of Proto-Manep-Barem *-Vn: *-en is used after an alveolar (except *r), *-an is used after velars and *r, and *-on is used after labials. This pattern is illustrated in Table 8.e.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>Barem (Bunabun)</th>
<th>Manep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*an-en 'eat-2SG.REM'</td>
<td>anen</td>
<td>anen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*in-en</td>
<td>inien</td>
<td>inen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*is-en 'give_1SG-2SG.REM'</td>
<td>yen</td>
<td>isen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ag-an 'see-2SG.REM'</td>
<td>anggan</td>
<td>angan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*iduw-on 'go-2SG.REM'</td>
<td>induwon</td>
<td>injiwon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*aw-on 'take-2SG.REM'</td>
<td>awon</td>
<td>awon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.5f illustrates how in both Manep and Barem, a final r on a verb stem deletes before the reflex of *-Vn. This r-deletion is not a regular phonological process in both Manep and Barem, but

107 Some Manep s-final verbs, pis- 'blow' < *pis- and ukus- 'shoot' < *ikus- take the 2/3SG.REM form -in, rather than -en (pisin 'he blow, ukusin 'he shot'). The -in allomorph is also used on historically *k-final verbs, which are now vowel-final in the Malas dialect, and g-final in Simbukanam (imbegin 'he held' < *wabek-, girigin 'he turned' < *girik-).

108 Manep verbs ending in -ar have an irregular 2/3SG.PST suffix -mun (see Chapter 2).
is only triggered by specific suffixes. Since it is triggered by the reflexes of *-Vn in both languages, I reconstruct inflected forms without *r for PMB.

Table 8.5f: deletion of r before *-Vn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMB verb step</th>
<th>PMB inflected form</th>
<th>Barem (Bunabun)</th>
<th>Manep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*war- 'to hit'</td>
<td>*wa-an 'hit-2SG.REM'</td>
<td>wan (/or-an/)</td>
<td>wan (/ur-an/)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*par- 'to call'</td>
<td>*pa-an 'call-2SG.REM'</td>
<td>fan (/far-an/)</td>
<td>puan (/pur-an/)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2SG.IMP *-ak

The Barem 2SG imperative affix -ak and corresponding Manep -a are used to reconstruct PM *-ak '2SG.IMP'. The Malas dialect of Manep regularly lost final *k, but the Simbukanam dialect did not. However, both dialects have lost the final *k in -a '2SG.IMP'.

Nominative *-aw

Barem -av and Manep -ap/-ep\(^{109}\) are suffixed to a verb stem to create a noun or a gerund. In both languages, this suffix is the final suffix on a verb, and does not co-occur with a subject/tense suffix, although it can co-occur with R-markers. The most common use of the nominative affix in both languages is to form a gerund, illustrated in (801) for Barem, and (802) for Manep.

(801) banar mata onor ptunggu-av mata nem. (Barem)
    signal_drum method 3.POSS cut-NMLZ method with
    'Signal drums have their method for carving them.'

(802) kanana matap und-ap wetar-eng-kimin. (Manep)
    so speech give_3PL-NMLZ finish-IPFV-1/3PL.PRS
    'So we're done with giving speeches.'

These suffixes are also used in both languages to form nouns with a fixed meaning, as in Barem umav 'death' and Manep umap 'death' < PMB *um- 'die'. These nouns do not always have equivalent meanings in the two languages, for example Barem anav 'food' and Manep anap 'crops' < PMB *an-

---

\(^{109}\) Manep has two forms of the nominative suffix, used on different verb classes.
'eat', or Barem *inggidia* 'residents' and Manep *inginde* 'way of life', from PMB *igid- 'to live at, be at'.

**PMB pronouns**

Two pronoun paradigms are reconstructible to Proto-Manep-Barem. These are subject pronouns and possessive pronouns.

The reconstructed PMB subject pronouns are identical in form to the Manep pronouns. Barem has added the ending -ne to the singular subject pronouns, and -o to the plural subject pronouns. Furthermore, the Qkuan Kambuar and Bunabun dialects have markedly different paradigms from each other, and both dialects have idiosyncratic changes for some of the forms. QK has 2SG *nane* instead of expected *nene*, and Bunabun has idiosyncratic changes in the 2SG and 3rd person pronouns, including the extension of the historically 3PL to plural and singular. Table 8.5g lists the reconstructed PMB subject pronouns, with their reflexes in Barem and Manep. Forms which have undergone irregular changes are in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>QK</th>
<th>Bunabun</th>
<th>Manep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>*i</td>
<td>ine</td>
<td>inie</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>*ne</td>
<td>(nane)</td>
<td>nene</td>
<td>ne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>*u</td>
<td>une</td>
<td>(ono)</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>*in</td>
<td>ino</td>
<td>inuo</td>
<td>in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>*nan</td>
<td>nano</td>
<td>(ane)</td>
<td>nan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>*un</td>
<td>uno</td>
<td>(ono)</td>
<td>un</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An argument could be made to reconstruct *na* for the 2SG form, rather than *ne*, since this would make the PMB singular and plural pronoun forms completely symmetrical, as they are in Qkuan Kambuar, with the PMB plural being formed with the addition of final *n* to the singular. However,
other Northern Adelbert languages, including the Kumil-Tibor languages, Usan, and Gavak, point to *ne for the PNA 2SG.

Possessive pronouns are formed with the addition of the suffix *-ner (<PNA *-ner) to the subject pronouns. As with the subject pronouns, the reconstructed PMB singular possessive pronouns are again identical to Manep. An epenthetic vowel is inserted in QK and Manep between the final *n of the plural subject pronouns and *-ner. QK inserts a copy of the preceding vowel, while Manep inserts i. The changes of *-er to -or in QK and -ar in Bunabun are regular. Bunabun onor is not cognate, and like the Bunabun subject pronouns, it is used for both 3rd person singular and plural.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PMB</th>
<th>QK</th>
<th>Bunabun</th>
<th>Manep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>*i-ner</td>
<td>inor</td>
<td>iniar</td>
<td>iner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>*na-ner</td>
<td>nanor</td>
<td>nanar</td>
<td>naner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>*u-ner</td>
<td>uninor</td>
<td>uninor</td>
<td>uninor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>*in-ner</td>
<td>uninor</td>
<td>uninor</td>
<td>uninor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>*nan-ner</td>
<td>nananor</td>
<td>anar</td>
<td>naniner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>*un-ner</td>
<td>ununor</td>
<td>(onor)</td>
<td>uniner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3SG.COM *mos

The Barem 3SG comitative pronoun mo and its Manep counterpart mos are used to reconstruct PMB *mos '3SG.COM'. The 3SG.COM pronoun is used to indicate accompaniment, as in the Barem example in (803) and the Manep example in (804).

(803) Ukir faret-di kodi mo bugum-mid.
water_rat call-3PL.PFV.SS come-3PL.PFV.SS 3SG.COM sit-3PL.REM
'They called to Water Rat and came and sat down with him.' (Barem)

(804) pirup kambar te mos inj-umin si sag-in.
hole mouth LOC 3SG.COM go-1/3PL.PST DS go_down-2/3SG.PST
'They went with her to the mouth of the hole, and she went down.' (Manep)

Although Barem has a full paradigm of comitative pronouns, only two comitative pronouns are attested for Manep: mos and a plural comitative pronoun munang, which is not cognate with Barem's plural comitative pronoun mainde.
**LOC and INST *te**

The PMB postposition *te is reconstructed based on Barem *te and Manep *te. In both languages, *te can be used to indicate location, time and instrument. As a locative postposition, it has a broad range of meanings, including 'to', 'in', 'on' and 'at'. The use of *te as a locative postposition is illustrated for Barem in (805-806), and for Manep in (807-808)

(805) *biga te induw-od.*
- ocean  LOC  go-3SG.REM
- 'He went to the ocean.'

(806) *duadup te ivom.*
- basket  LOC  put_inside-2PL.IMP
- 'Put them in the basket.'

(807) *umansi dinggop te injiw-on.*
- husband forest  LOC  go-2/3SG.PST
- 'the husband went to the forest'

(808) *kivinem ge ang-amin, suap kanam te.*
- yam one see-1/3PL.PRS bamboo base  LOC
- 'They saw a yam, at the foot of a bamboo.'

The temporal use of *te is illustrated in (809) for Barem and (810) for Manep.

(809) *[April] te Bunabun amun tu-mid.*
- April in Bunabun house burn-3PL.REM
- 'In April, they burned houses in Bunabun.'

(810) *menda bok sese uniner kanup te munem abal ingind-ep wetar-eng-kimin.*
- before grandfather grandmother 3PL.POSS time LOC demon far live-NMLZ
- finish-IPFV-1/3PL.PRS
- 'Before, in our grandparents' time, demons didn't live far away.'

The use of *te as an instrumental postposition is illustrated in (811) for Qkuan Kambuar Barem and (812) for Manep.

(811) *ine sawen te umun-ume.*
- 1SG cane.sp INST tie-1SG.R
- 'I tied it with sawen cane.'
(812) $u$ kimu $te$ w-an.
   3SG  bow  INST  hit-2/3SG.PST
'He shot it with a bow.'

*urite 'inside'

Although the locative postposition $te$ can include the meaning of 'in' or 'inside' in both Barem and Manep, as in the Barem example in (806), both languages have another postposition, $urite$ (< PMB *urite$^{111}$), with the more specific meaning of 'inside'. In the Barem example in (813), compare the use of $te$ biga $te$ 'to the ocean' with biga $urite$ 'in the ocean'. The use of $urite$ makes it clear that the character in the narrative, who is a sea turtle, was living inside the ocean, rather than on the beach, for example.

(813) biga $te$ and-a dokm-od. inggid-iomada, biga $urite$.
   ocean  LOC  go-SER  run-3SG.REM  live-SG.IPFV.SS  ocean  inside
've ran away to the ocean. She lived there, in the ocean...'

The example in (814) illustrates the use of $urite$ in Manep, where it again expresses a more specific notion of 'inside' compared to the general locative postposition $te$.

(814) bumu butug-umin ne uner kumu ka kumun $urite$ banumin.
   neck  cut-1/3PL.PST  3.SS  3SG.POSS  head  DET  pot  inside  put-1/3PL.PST
'They cut her neck and put the head inside a pot.'

Question marker *i

Barem $e$ and Manep $i$ are used to reconstruct the PMB question particle *i. Barem $e$ is used at the end of a yes/no question (815), as is Manep $i$ (816). As noted above, the lowering of *i to $e$ in Barem is not a regular change, but only applied grammatical morphemes.

(815) ain $te$ kur-kan $e$?
   leg  INST  come-2SG.HOD  Q
'Did you come on foot?'

111 *urite is likely derived from *urir 'belly' + *te 'LOC'.

331
WH-questions in Barem (817) and Manep (818) do not use the question particle.

(817) go ma babaras te?
DEM what year LOC
'What year was that?'

(818) ne masi umb-ian?
2SG what plant-2SG.PRS
'What are you planting?'

The conjunction *o

The conjunction *o in both Barem and Manep is used to link items in a list, especially when those items are intended as examples, rather than an exhaustive list. (819) and (820) illustrate the use of *o in Barem.

(819) [bafalo] ono nem urum-mid. o [bafalo], o buruk, o ma, water_buffalo 3 also kill-3PL.REM CONJ water_buffalo CONJ pigs CONJ what
o nem ka. CONJ everything
'They killed the water buffalo too. Water buffalo, pigs, everything.'

(820) kta kanam, o kuvi kanam, o buak kanam, iwak opk-av ka coconut CONJ betelpepper_vine CONJ betel nut theft hold-NMLZ just
nambek.
big
'Coconuts, betelpepper vine, betelpepper, it's just a lot of theft' (these things are being stolen)

The examples in (821) and (822) illustrate similar use of *o in Manep, where it is used to link illustrative items in a list.

(821) yam kasap uyan una bin-ond-umin si, o mundu ambor ambor, nothing white_people 3PL trick-PL-3PL.PST DS CONJ tree good good
kaun o, tindun o gawam ninika rambutan CONJ kwila CONJ calendar_tree huge
'the white men cheated them out of all the good trees, rambutan, kwila trees, and huge calendar trees'
Although the form and function of o are are identical in Manep and Barem, I only tentatively reconstruct the PMB conjunction *o, for two reasons. First, the PMB phoneme *o can only be tentatively reconstructed based on a handful of word sets. Second, it is possible that in both Manep and Barem o is a loanword, coming from Tok Pisin o 'or'.

8.6 Proto-Manep-Barem vocabulary lexical reconstructions

*-aw 'NMLZ'
   Barem: -av
   Manep: -ap, -ep

*abar- 'come'
   Bar: ambar-
   Man: ambar-

*ad- 'go' (<PNA *ad)
*an- 'eat' (<PNA *an-)
*ag- 'see' (<PNA *ag-)
*ain 'foot'
   Bar: ain
   Man: ain

May be related to Gavak angin 'foot'.

*ain *gaman 'sole of foot'
   Bar: ain gaman
   Man: aingaman

*ain *kit 'calf'
   Bar: ain kit
   Man: ain kitu
*ain *yaw 'footprint' (<PNA *yap)
*amin 'mind'
  Bar: amin
  Man: amin

*amun 'house'
  Bar: amun
  Man: amun

*amun *mar 'awning'
  Bar: amun mar
  Man: amun maru

  This phrase is composed of 'house+wing'.

*ar- 'become' (PNA *ar-)
*arer 'two'
  Bar: arer
  Man: arer

*arer *arer 'four'
  Bar: ararer ararer
  Man: arer arer

*a-ri 'later' (<PNA *ari)
*arub- 'fall'
  Bar: arumb-
  Man: arumb-

*-aw NMLZ (<PNA *-aw)
*auw- 'burn' (<PNA *auw-)
*aw- 'do, get, take (<PNA *aw-)
*ayak 'paternal aunt'
  Bar: ayak
  Man: ayak

*babad- 'cut up, break up' (<PNA *babad-)
*baram 'hornbill' (<PNA *baram)
*barek 'nephew, uncle'
  Bar: barek
  Man: barek

  This resembles Pamosu melek 'sister's child', but the initial consonants do not correspond.

*baren 'road' (<PNA *beren)
*begen 'light' (<PNA *begen)
*bim-, *yam *bim- 'lie, cheat, trick
  Bar: bim-, yam bim-
*bin 'heavy' (<PNA *bin)
*bok 'grandfather'
   Bar: bok
   Man: bok

This resembles Gavak bekom 'grandfather', which is probably bimorphemic (nakom grandmother' wom 'ancestor).

*bug-, *bugum- 'sit' (<PNA *bug-)
*buruk 'pig' (<PNA *buruk)
*degen 'straight' (<PNA *degen)
*din 'brain'
   Bar: jin
   Man: jinu, kumu jinu

*duag 'snake' (<PNA *duag)
*dur 'tail' (<PNA *dur)
*gadaw 'strong' (<PNA *gedaw)
*gaid 'sky'
   Bar: gaid
   Man: gaid

*gaman 'liver' (<PNA *geman)
*garem 'bone' (<PNA *garem)
*ge 'one'
   Bar (QK): ge
   Bar (Bun.) gie
   Man: ge

*ge *ar- 'change'
   Bar (QK): ge ar-
   Bar (Bun.): gie ar-
   Man: ge ar-

This verb is composed of *ge 'one' + *ar- 'become'.

*girik- 'turn' (<PNA *girik-)
*gun 'louse' (<PNA *gun)
*gur- 'bite'
   Bar: gur-
   Man: gur-, gurew-

*gurum 'thick' (<PNA *gurum)
*ib 'feces' (<PNA *ib)
*id- 'give to PL' (<PNA *id-)
*iduw- 'go' (<PNA *iduw-)
*ig-, *igid- 'to be' (<PNA *ig-)
*iguar 'penis' (<PNA *iguar)
*ikus- 'shoot'
   Bar: ikuw-
   Man: ukus-

*in- 'sleep' (<PNA *in-)
*iner 1SG.POSS (<PNA *ye-ner)
*ir- 'go up' (<PNA *ir-)
*irin 'all, everyone' (<PNA *irin)
*iruar 'aibika greens, megapode fowl' (<PNA *iruar)
*irub- 'come up' (<PNA *irub-)
*irub- 'fill' (<PNA *irub)
*is- 'give to 1SG' (<PNA *yis-)
*ituw- 'take out, take off' (<PNA *ituw-)
*iw- '1SG.OBJ' (<PNA *ip-)
*iw 'leaf' (<PNA *ip)
*iwer 'salt, ocean' (<PNA *iper)

*kabem 'knowledge'
   Bar: kambem
   Man: kambem

*kab(u)ar 'mouth' (<PNA *kebuar)
*kadat 'red' (<PNA *ked-at)
*kakas 'dog'
   Bar: qka
   Man: kakas

*kam 'sunlight, day, daytime' (<PNA *kam)
*kanam 'base, source' (<PNA *kenam)
*kar 'skin' (PNA *kar)
*karen 'new garden'
   Bar: karen
   Man: karen

*karim- 'swell' (<PNA *karim-)
*kasik 'wild' (<PNA *kasik)
*kaun 'rambutan'
   Bar: kaun
   Man: kaun

*kawet 'fishing net'
   Bar: kawet
   Man: kawet
*kawil 'fat, grease' (<PNA *kapil)
*kawur 'lime' (PNA<*kapur)
*kibem 'hand drum' (<PNA *kibem)
*kit 'meat' (<PNA *kit)
*kuken 'old' (<PNA *kuaken)
*kubum 'stinging nettle' (<PNA *kubum)
*kudek 'earthworm'
  Bar (QK): kundek
  Bar (Bun.): kundiek
  Man: kundekunu

The extra material at the right edge of Manep kundekunu is unexplained, but this is almost certainly a separate morpheme, as monomorphemic words are rarely longer than three syllables in Manep.

*kudi 'banana' (<PNA *kudi)
*kuduruk 'fly' (<PNA *kuduruk)
*kuman 'nape' (< PNA *kumaŋ)
*kumerum 'heron'
  Bar: kumerum
  Man: kumerum

*kurum 'valley' (<PNA *kurum)
*kurun 'black' (<PNA *kurun)
*kuwi 'betelpepper vine' (PNA *kupi)
*magam 'firefly' (<PNA *megam)
*mak 'later, after' (<PNA *mak)
*main 'weak, soft (<PNA *maiŋ)
*maiw 'abika greens' (<PNA *maiw)
*mam 'taro' (<PNA *mam)
*mar 'wing' (<PNA *mar)
*mekiw 'ground' (<PNA *mekiw)
*mil- 'vomit' (<PNA *meril-)
*mos '3SG.COM'
  Bar: mo
  Man: mos

*mud 'firewood' (<PNA *mud)
*mudir 'ant' (<PNA *mudir)
*mun 'husband' (<PNA *mun)
*munugur 'nose'
  Bar (QK): mununggur
  Bar (Bun.): (mun-gun)
  Man: mununggur

The final r on the Bunabun form does not correspond.
*mur 'short'
  Bar: mur
  Man: muru

*mur *wiek 'long'
  Bar: muriek
  Man: muruwek

In both languages, 'long' is derived from 'short+NEG'. Barem also has dirur 'long' (for spatial or temporal length) but muriek is more frequent.

*murin 'veins, root's
  Bar (QK): mamurin 'roots'
  Bar (Bun.): mumurin 'roots'
  Man: mururin, muririn

This resembles Gavak mumurem-am 'vein-PL', as well as the terms for 'vein' in Numugen, Kaukombar, and Kumil-Tibor languages, but there are problems with the sound correspondences.

*musag 'eye' (<PNA *mudag)
*musag *iw 'eyebrow'
  Bar: muang iv
  Man: musang iwu

*musag *tuk 'blind'
  Bar: muang tuk
  Man: musang tiku

*na 'egg'
  Bar: na
  Man: nau

This resembles Proto-Numugen *niaw 'egg'.

*nanag 'tooth' (<PNA *nanag)
*nanag *kanam 'molar'
  Bar: nanang kanam
  Man: nanakanam

*naner 2SG.POSS (<PNA *ne-ner)
*nas- 'give to 2SG' (<PNA *nat-)
*naw- '2SG.OBJ' (<PNA *nap-)
*pai 'mango' (PNA *pai)
*panar 'village'
  Bar: fanar 'village area'
  Man: punar 'village'
*par- 'call' (<PNA *par-)
*pataw- 'raise, lift'
   Bar: ptaw-
   Man: pataw-

   The expected Manep reflex is **putaw-. This looks similar to Maia patak 'lift up'.

*perem 'bamboo sp, bamboo floor' (<PNA *perem)
*pis- 'blow' (<PNA *pit-)
*pitiw 'sago'
   Bar: ptiv
   Man: pitip

*sinem 'green, unripe'
   Bar (QK): inem
   Bar (Bun.): iniem
   Man: sinem

*tabem 'brown crow'
   Bar: tambem
   Man: tambem

*taiwer 'cassowary'
   Bar (QK): taiwor
   Bar (Bun.): taiwer
   Man: taiwer

   This may be related to Proto-Kaukombar *kusuar.

*tak 'leaf' (<PNA *tak)
*takaw 'grasshopper' (<PNA *takaw)
*tar-
   Bar: tar-
   Man: tar-

   This is a light verb whose meaning is unclear.

*taw 'some'
   Bar: tav
   Man: tawu

*taw 'piece of wood' (<PNA *taw)
*tawal 'island'
   Bar: tawar
   Man: tawal
*te LOC, INST (<PNA *te)
*teber 'mangas plant' (<PNA *teber)
*tuar 'crocodile' (<PNA *tuar)
*ub- 'plant' (<PNA *ub-)
*um- 'die' (<PNA *um-)
*umo 'coastward'
  Bar (Bun.): umuo
  Man: umo

Probably related to Usan umo 'down there'. However, a correspondence between Manep-Barem *o and Usan o is not seen in other word sets.

*un- 'draw water' (<PNA *un-)
*uner 3SG.POSS (<PNA *we-ner)
*unim 'name' (<PNA *unim)
*unin 'bee' (<PNA *unin)
*urik 'digging stick'
  Bar: urik
  Man (Sim.): urik

This is gardening tool used for planting root crops.

*urir 'belly'
  Bar: urir
  Man: urir

*urite 'inside'
  Bar: urite
  Man: urite

Likely derived from *urir 'belly' + *te LOC.

*us- 'give to 3SG' (<PNA *ut-)
*usir 'centipede' (<PNA *wisir)
*tuw- 'dance' (<PNA *up-)
*tuwik 'flood' (<PNA *wawik)
*uyaw 'spearp' (<PNA *uyaw)
*waben 'arm, hand. (<PNA *waben)
*waben gaman 'palm of hand'
  Bar: omben gaman
  Man: imbengaman

*waben kuman 'wrist' (<PNA *waben kumaŋ)
*wabek- 'hold'
  Bar: ombek-
  Man (Sim): imbeg-
Man (Mal): *imbe-}

*wak- 'break' (<PNA *wak-)
*wayan 'white' (<PNA *wayañ)
*wiek NEG (<PNA *wayek)
*windar- 'draw bow'
   Bar: windar-
   Man: windar-

*ya 'uncle'
   Bar: ya
   Man: yaya

*yag 'water' (<PNA*yag)
*yag *is- 'bathe' (<PNA *it-)
*yam 'nothing'
   Bar: yam
   Man: yam

*yawar 'wind'
   Bar: yawar
   Man: yawar

*yawaraw 'again'
   Bar: yawarav
   Man: yawarap

*yatar- 'hunt, look for'
   Bar: yatar-
   Man: yatar-

*yik 'sore'
   Bar: yik, ik
   Man: iku

   Possibly related to Proto-Numugen *ikamag.

*yu 'who' (<PNA *yau)
9. Proto-Kumil-Tibor

This chapter outlines the sound changes which have taken place in the Kumil-Tibor languages, and presents reconstructed Proto-Kumil, Proto-Tibor, and Proto-Kumil-Tibor vocabulary. Section 9.1 discusses the sound changes which took place in Proto-Kumil-Tibor relative to Proto-Northern Adelbert. Section 9.2 outlines the sound changes which took place in the Tibor languages after the break up of Kumil-Tibor, including the shared innovations which define the subgroup, as well as innovations in individual languages. This section also presents the Proto-Tibor reconstructed vocabulary. Section 9.3 does the same for the Kumil languages. Section 9.4 presents the reconstructed Proto-Kumil-Tibor vocabulary.

9.1 Kumil-Tibor

9.1.1 Proto-Kumil-Tibor phonemes

Tables 9.2a-b show the reconstructed Proto-Kumilt-Tibor (PKT) phoneme inventory. Two changes from PNA are the loss of *ŋ and a shift of *p to *f.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>*i</td>
<td>*u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>*e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td>*a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.2a: PKT vowel phonemes
Table 9.2b: PKT consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>*b</td>
<td>*t, *d</td>
<td></td>
<td>*k, *g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>*m</td>
<td>*n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>affricate</td>
<td>*f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td></td>
<td>*s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trill</td>
<td></td>
<td>*r, *l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td></td>
<td>*w</td>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sound correspondences for the Tibor and Kumil groups are presented in sections 9.2 and 9.3

9.1.2 Sound changes in Proto-Kumil-Tibor

The Kumil-Tibor subgroup of Northern Adelbert consists of eight languages, three in the Kumil branch, and five in the Tibor branch. These languages share two phonological innovations. The first of these is the shift of PNA *p to [ɸ]. This can be seen in the reflexes of PNA *par- 'to call', and *pis- 'to blow', which are widespread throughout the subgroup.

Table 9.1.2a: Kumil-Tibor reflexes of PNA *p

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Hember Avu</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*par- 'to call'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>fel-</td>
<td>fal-</td>
<td>far-</td>
<td>far-</td>
<td>far-</td>
<td>far-</td>
<td>far-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*pis- 'to blow'</td>
<td>fi-</td>
<td>fi-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>fit-</td>
<td>fi-</td>
<td>fi-</td>
<td>fi-</td>
<td>far-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second change defining Kumil-Tibor is the loss of final *ŋ, as illustrated in Table 9.1.2b. As there are few PNA reconstructions with final *ŋ, the evidence for this change is limited, especially for the Kumil languages, which lack reflexes of *mugaŋ 'bird', and *kumaŋ 'nape'.
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The Tibor languages Mokati, Hember Avu, and Kowaki have a final nasal in reflexes of *bugan'. As discussed below, other nasals deleted in Proto-Tibor as well. While the deletion of final *n is regular in Tibor, the deletion of final *m did not apply consistently to all lexical items. The final n in the Tibor reflexes of *bugan 'house post' suggests that deletion of final *ŋ may be inconsistent as well. In this case, final *ŋ may have applied separately in Kumil and Tibor, and this should not be considered a shared change.

### 9.2 Proto-Tibor

In this section, I first present the reconstructed Proto-Tibor phoneme inventory and outline the sound changes which took place in Proto-Tibor respective to Proto-Kumil-Tibor. Following this are sections discussing the sound changes that took place in individual Tibor languages. Following this, I present the Proto-Tibor reconstructions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Hember Avu</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>gamanŋ 'liver'</em></td>
<td>(gumanin g)</td>
<td>kema</td>
<td>gema</td>
<td>kema fiu 'lungs'</td>
<td>'ema</td>
<td>ema</td>
<td>kema</td>
<td>kema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>kumanŋ 'nape'</em></td>
<td>kuma</td>
<td>uma</td>
<td>huma</td>
<td>uma</td>
<td>huma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>bugan - 'post'</em></td>
<td>bokan</td>
<td>poka</td>
<td>pokan</td>
<td>po'an</td>
<td>pu'a</td>
<td>poka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>mugang 'bird'</em></td>
<td>mungga</td>
<td>munga</td>
<td>mungga</td>
<td>mungga</td>
<td>mu'a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 9.2.1 Proto-Tibor phonemes

Table 9.2a presents the Proto-Tibor consonant phoneme inventory. The only change from the Proto-Kumil-Tibor consonant phoneme inventory is the loss of *l. The reconstructed vowel phoneme inventory is the same as Proto-Kumil-Tibor (*i, *u, *e, *o, and *a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 9.2.1a: Reconstructed Proto-Tibor consonant phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>affricate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.2.1b-f show the sound correspondences used to reconstruct Proto-Tibor phonemes along with the environment that conditions each correspondence.

### Vowels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 9.2.1b: Tibor vowel correspondences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTibor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/#_</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stops

Table 9.2.1c: Tibor stop correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PTibor</th>
<th>environment</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>HA</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*b</td>
<td>/#_</td>
<td>b~p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>b~p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/#V_</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>mb</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/CV_</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>mb</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*d</td>
<td>/#_</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/#V_</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#CV_V</td>
<td>t~d</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/ #</td>
<td>n~t</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>nt</td>
<td>nt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*t</td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*k</td>
<td>/#_</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/#V_</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/ #</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*g</td>
<td>/#_</td>
<td>g~k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>g~k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/#V_</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>ngg</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/D_</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/CV_V</td>
<td>ngg~k</td>
<td>ngg</td>
<td>ngg</td>
<td>ngg</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/ #</td>
<td>ng~k</td>
<td>ng</td>
<td>ng</td>
<td>ng</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fricatives

Table 9.2.1d: Tibor fricative correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PTibor</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>HA</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*f</td>
<td>/#_</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>~f</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/#V_</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Nasals

Table 9.2.1e: Tibor nasal and sonorant correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PTibor</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>HA</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glides

Table 9.2.1f: Tibor vowel correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PTibor</th>
<th>environment</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>HA</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*w</td>
<td>/#_</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w~∅</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V_V</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/ #</td>
<td>∅~w</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>v~b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*y</td>
<td>/#_</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>∅, y</td>
<td>∅, y</td>
<td>∅, y</td>
<td>∅, y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2.2 Proto-Tibor Innovations

Below, I outline the sound changes shared by all Tibor languages. These are changes which took place in Proto-Tibor after it split from Proto-Kumil-Tibor, and before it broke up into individual Tibor languages.

1) Epenthetic -u on monosyllabic words
2) *a > e/#_ in verb stems
3) *t > s/#_
4) *N > ∅/#_
5) *f > w/#_
6) *r, *l > r

Epenthetic -u on monosyllabic words

Proto-Tibor added a final u to the end of monosyllabic content words which end in a consonant. This final u is present in all Tibor languages. Table 9.2.2a illustrates this change with PNA reconstructions of monosyllabic words, the Proto-Tibor form, and the reflexes in Tibor languages.
Table 9.2.2a: addition of final *u to Proto-Tibor monosyllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Tibor</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Hember Avu</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*tak 'leaf'</td>
<td>*saku</td>
<td>sakú</td>
<td>sakú</td>
<td>sakú</td>
<td>sa'u</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ib 'feces'</td>
<td>*ibu</td>
<td>ipu</td>
<td>ipu</td>
<td>imbu</td>
<td>ipu</td>
<td>ipu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*mud 'fire'</td>
<td>*mudu</td>
<td>mundu</td>
<td>mundu</td>
<td>mundu</td>
<td>mundu</td>
<td>muntu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*puk 'skin'</td>
<td>*fuku</td>
<td>uku</td>
<td>fuku</td>
<td>fuku</td>
<td>fuku</td>
<td>fu'u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kam 'sun'</td>
<td>*kamu</td>
<td>kambeki</td>
<td>pekinamu</td>
<td>hamu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ud 'song'</td>
<td>*udu</td>
<td>utu</td>
<td>itu</td>
<td>indu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*yap 'footprint'</td>
<td>*yafu</td>
<td>yau</td>
<td>avu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*k(e/a)d 'blood'</td>
<td>*ketu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although the addition of final *u is shared by all Tibor languages, and can therefore be reconstructed for Proto-Tibor, it seems that Proto-Tibor still considered these words to be phonologically monosyllabic. Later changes in individual Tibor languages 'ignore' the final *u added to monosyllables in this way. For example, in Pamosu, *k lenited to h intervocalically, while the reflex of word-final *k is k. Pamosu treats *k as if it were word-final in words that added final *u in Proto-Tibor, as in *saku 'leaf' > sakú, and *fuku 'skin' fuku, and not *sahu and *fu hu, which are the expected reflexes for intervocalic *k. Later Mawak and Kowaki also treat the *k in *fuku and *saku as word-final. Mawak has the same reflexes of intervocalic and word-final *k as Pamosu, with k word-finally and h intervocalically. The Mawak reflex of *fuku 'skin' is fuku 'skin', which has the usual reflex of final *k. In Mawak, final *k became a glottal stop, nad intervocalic *k lenited to h. In Kowaki sa'u and fu'u, we again see the reflexes of *k behaving as if they were word-final.

A possible explanation is that all the individual Tibor languages added final *u to monosyllables individually. It is also possible that final *u was added at the Proto-Tibor stage, but only at the phonetic level. For example, 'skin' would have the underlying form */fuk/ and the surface form *[fuku] in Proto-Tiber. At the time of the breakup of Proto-Tibor, these were phonologically monosyllabic words, and
were treated as such by later changes in individual languages. I nonetheless include this final *u in Proto-Tibor reconstructions.

*\( a > e/\_\_ \) in verbs

PNA initial *\( a \) raised to *\( e \) in verb stems in the Tibor languages, as illustrated in Table 9.2.2.b. Note that this change also took place in the Kumil languages Bepour and Moere. Since it did not take place in the third Kumil language, Mauwake, it does not date to Proto-Kumil-Tibor. It is not clear why this change is restricted to verb stems, but it likely is related to the vowel assimilation rules that are found in many Tibor languages. Furthermore, the change did not apply uniformly to all verb stems, as some verbs retain initial *\( a \) in one or more of their stem variants (see Chapter 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Tibor</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Hember Avu</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>ag-</em></td>
<td><em>eg-</em></td>
<td>ek-</td>
<td>ek-</td>
<td>engg-</td>
<td>ek-</td>
<td>e’-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>an-</em></td>
<td><em>en-</em></td>
<td>en-</td>
<td>en-</td>
<td>en-</td>
<td>(enem-)</td>
<td>en-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ar-</em></td>
<td><em>er-</em></td>
<td>el-</td>
<td>el-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>aw-</em></td>
<td><em>ew-</em></td>
<td>ev-</td>
<td>ew-</td>
<td>(w-)</td>
<td>ev-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\( t > s/\_\_ \)

Word-initial PNA *\( t \) lenited to *\( s \) in several words in Proto-Tibor, as illustrated in Table 9.2.2c. This resulted in a merger of PNA *\( t \) and *\( s \) word-initially.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Tibor</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Hember Avu</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*tak 'leaf' *saku</td>
<td>sakuk</td>
<td>sakuk</td>
<td>sakuk</td>
<td>sakuk</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>sa'u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*tabir 'plate' *sabir</td>
<td>savil</td>
<td>sapil</td>
<td>sambir</td>
<td>sapir</td>
<td>sapir</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*t(e/i)bik 'rain' *sibik</td>
<td>sivik</td>
<td>sepik</td>
<td>simbik</td>
<td>sepi'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*t(a/e)men 'now' *seme</td>
<td>seme</td>
<td>seme</td>
<td>seme</td>
<td>seme</td>
<td>seme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are also a number of word sets, illustrated in Table 9.2.2d, where some Tibor languages have initial \( t \), while others have initial \( s \), although there is no regular correspondence. In the words sets in Table 9.2.2c, where PNA \(^t\) became \( s \) in all Tibor languages, it is before a non-back vowel, while in Table 9.2.2d, all of the word sets but one, have \( o \) or \( u \) as the following vowel. It is therefore possible that the lenition of PNA \(^t\) \( > \) \( s \) depends on the quality of the following vowel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Tibor</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Hember Avu</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*tukuw</td>
<td>'short'</td>
<td>tuku</td>
<td>tuhuv</td>
<td>sukuw</td>
<td>tuhuw</td>
<td>tuhuw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'night'</td>
<td>ka tulu</td>
<td>sulu</td>
<td>(ha uru)</td>
<td>ha turu</td>
<td>turu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*tukum</td>
<td>'stick'</td>
<td>nanduhum(^{112})</td>
<td>(tukum)(^{113})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'forehead'</td>
<td>tumunggena</td>
<td>somungenav</td>
<td>somangenav</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'lightning'</td>
<td>tewelak</td>
<td>sepelak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'crossbeam'</td>
<td>tomal</td>
<td>somal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the word sets in Table 2.2d, however, only 'short' is without other complicating factors. The terms for 'night' are possibly related to Proto-Tibor *uru 'dark' with an added element, as 'night' is often related to 'dark' in Northern Adelbert languages. The Hember Avu term ha uru, for example, is 'place' + 'dark'. For 'stick', Pamosu nanduhum is a compound with na 'tree', and could potentially be directly inherited. The Mawak term tukum is likely a borrowing, as the usual reflex of intervocalic \(^k\) is \( h \). For 'forehead', the Pamosu term is a compound of somu 'mountain' and kenav 'bone', but cognate terms for 'mountain' are not attested for Mokati and Hember Avu. For 'lightning', similarly-shaped words are found in other Northern Adelbert languages, but they often do not follow the regular sound correspondences, and no specific form can be reconstructed for PNA. Finally 'crossbeam' in Mokati and Pamosu are potentially related to each other, but this term could have easily been borrowed in one language from the other.

\(^{112}\) This is a compound na+tuhum 'tree+stick'. In Pamos, \( t > nd \) is a regular morphophonological process in this environment (Tupper).

\(^{113}\) This is likely a borrowing, as the usual reflex of intervocalic \(^k\) is \( h \) in Mawak
Final nasal deletion

As mentioned above, final *ŋ deleted in Proto-Tibor, although there may be exceptions. Final *n also deleted, as illustrated by the reflexes of PNA reconstructions in Table 9.2.2e.

Table 9.2.2e: deletion of final *n in Tibor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Tibor</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Humber Avu</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*begen 'light'</td>
<td>*beki</td>
<td>beki</td>
<td>peki</td>
<td>peki</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*beren 'road'</td>
<td>*bere</td>
<td>bele</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bere</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*iben 'vagina'</td>
<td>*ibe</td>
<td>upe</td>
<td>upe</td>
<td>imbe</td>
<td></td>
<td>ipa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*madeŋ 'man'</td>
<td>*made</td>
<td>mande</td>
<td>mande</td>
<td>mante</td>
<td>mante</td>
<td>mante</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*men 'breast'</td>
<td>*me</td>
<td>me</td>
<td>me</td>
<td>me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*temen 'now'</td>
<td></td>
<td>seme</td>
<td>seme</td>
<td>seme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*unik 'bee'</td>
<td>*uni</td>
<td>uni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*waben 'arm, hand'</td>
<td>*abe</td>
<td>ape</td>
<td>ape</td>
<td>embé</td>
<td>ape</td>
<td>ape</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final *n was retained in suffixed forms, for example the reflexes of Tibor *bin-at 'heavy' from PNA *bin 'heavy, weight. Another example is Mokati degenat 'straight', from PNA *degen 'straight', plus the adjective forming suffix *-at. Compare this with Pamsou teke 'straight', which doesn't include the adjective-forming suffix, and has lost the final *n.

PNA final *m did not usually delete in the Tibor languages. However, in a handful of words, final *m was lost. Compare the word sets of Table 9.2.2f, which have lost final *m, with the remaining word sets, in which final *m is retained. There is no obvious conditioning phonological environment for why it should have been lost in the first four word sets. These reconstructions end in *-am, but other reconstructions ending in *-am have retained final *m. One commonality between the words which have lost final *m is that they are all highly frequent words in the Tibor languages. Trees and taro are items that dealt with on a daily basis, while 'base' and 'belly' are used metaphorically in many
expressions. Numerous studies have suggested that high frequency words lead certain types of changes, particularly in processes of lenition, reduction and deletion (Phillips 1984, 2006, Bybee 2002, 2002, Pierrehumbert 2002). It would be worthwhile to investigate whether the words which have lost final *m are indeed used more frequently than those that haven't as this could be a potential explanation for the variation in the deletion of *m.

Table 9.2.2f: Reflexes of final *m in Tibor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Tibor</th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Hember Avu</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*kanam 'base'</td>
<td>*kena</td>
<td>kena</td>
<td>ena</td>
<td>hena</td>
<td>ena</td>
<td>hena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*mam 'taro'</td>
<td>*ma</td>
<td>ma</td>
<td>ma</td>
<td>ma</td>
<td>ma</td>
<td>ma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nam 'tree'</td>
<td>*na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*uram 'belly'</td>
<td>*ura</td>
<td>ula</td>
<td>ula</td>
<td>ula</td>
<td>ula</td>
<td>ura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*baram 'hornbill'</td>
<td>*baram</td>
<td>balom</td>
<td>kumbalom</td>
<td>param</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kam 'sun'</td>
<td>*kamu</td>
<td>kambeki</td>
<td>pekinamu</td>
<td>hamu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kurum 'valley'</td>
<td>*kurum</td>
<td>kulum</td>
<td></td>
<td>krum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*gurum 'thick'</td>
<td>*gurum</td>
<td>gulum</td>
<td>kulum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*megam 'star, year'</td>
<td>*megam</td>
<td>menggam</td>
<td>menggam</td>
<td>menggam me'am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*unim 'name'</td>
<td>*unim</td>
<td>unim</td>
<td>unim</td>
<td>unim</td>
<td>unim</td>
<td>unim</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reflexes of Proto-Tibor *ma 'taro' are perhaps not directly inherited from PNA *mam, since 'taro' in the Kumil languages Bepour and Moere is also ma, suggesting Proto-Kumil-Tibor *ma. Final *m otherwise never deleted in Kumil languages.

*f > w/_{#}

Proto-Tibor merged Proto-Kumil-Tibor *f and *w word-finally as w. In Mokati, Hember Avu, Mawak, and Kowaki, word-final w can be realized as a glide, a voiced fricative, or a voiced or voiceless stop ([w~β~p~b]). In Mokati, word-final *f and *w are sometimes deleted entirely. This

114 In Mauwake, the remaining Kumil language, 'taro' is moma, with m followed by final a which was added by a regular sound change.
variation in the phonetic realizatoin of word-final w does not seem to be predictable, but this is hard to
determine based on the limited data available. In Pamosu, word-final *f and *w are always reflected as
v, and w does not occur word-finally (Tupper 2012).

All Tibor languages except Mokati have also merged *f and *w intervocally. In Mokati, however, they have distinct reflexes, as *f has deleted intervocally, as in *ifer 'salt' > yel, but *w has
not, as in *sewaw 'sword grass' > sowav.

*l > r

As with most Northern Adelbert languages, PNA *r and *l have merged in Tibor. Tupper (2012) describes this sound in Pamosu as a lateral flap, and writes it as <l>. Z’graggen typically
transcribes it as either as trill or a lateral in the other languages, although the former is a bit more
common. In my own work with Mokati speakers, I found the sound to be consistently a lateral. I use
*r to represent the Proto-Tibor phoneme that is resulted from the merger of PNA *r and *
l.

In the remainder of the section, I outline the sound changes which took place in individual
languages after the breakup of Proto-Tibor.

9.2.3 Sound changes in Mokati

Stop devoicing

Stops lost prenasalization and devoiced when they were the first consonant in a vowel-initial
word (*D > T/#V_), as in Proto-Tibor *abe 'hand' > ape, *ibu 'feces' ipu, and *idiw- 'to go' > ituw-.
This rule applied differently in the Wanambre and Tinami dialects with respect to *g. In the Wanambre
dialect, devoicing applied to *g in the same way it did to *b and *d: *g devoiced as well as lost
prenasalization, as in *eg- 'to see' > ek- and *ig- 'to be' > ik-. In the Tinami dialect, *g lost
prenasalization, but did not devoice, as in *eg- 'to see' > eg- and *ig- 'to be' > ig-. That the Tinami dialect retained voicing in *g, but not *b or *d, is somewhat surprising, given that it is physiologically more difficult to maintain voicing in velar stops than labials or alveolars (Ohala, 1983). A result of this change is that Wanambre has merged *d and *g with *t and *k in the environment #V_.

Two exceptions to this devoicing rule are *ub- to plant' > uv-, and *wabina 'cordyline' > uvina, in which *b became w rather than expected p. These are the only Mokati reflexes of reconstructions beginning with *ub-, so it may that the quality of the vowel conditioned these exceptions.

*d > t/NV_, *g > k/NV_ (Tinami only)

In the Tinami dialect, *d and *g devoiced to t and k when the preceding consonant was a nasal, as in *made 'man' > mate, *mudu 'fire' > mutu, and *muga 'bird' > muka.117 This change applied to word-final stops as well, as in *munag 'egg' > munak, and *uned 'female' > unet. In all Proto-Tibor reconstructions with a word-final voiced stop, the preceding consonant is a nasal. It may therefore be the case that devoicing of final voiced stops was a separate change, but without reconstructions with a final voiced stop that doesn't follow a nasal, there is no way determine this.

*g > ng/_# (Wanambre only)

In the Wanambre dialect, voiced stops following a nasal didn't undergo any change following a nasal, maintaining both prenasalization and voicing, as in mande 'man', and mungga 'bird'. However, word-final *g lost the voiced portion of the stop and became nasals, as is *munag 'egg' > munang, and

115 Z'graggen (1980b) in fact records up- for 'to plant'. However, the Mokati speakers I worked with consistently pronounced it with a bilabial fricative.
116 Proto-Tibor *wabina would have become pre-Mokati *ubina.
117 This change may have applied to all voiced stops following a nasal, but there are no reconstructed words with *b in this position, so there is no evidence for this.
*ineg 'yesterday > inong. The velar nasal in this position is the word-final allophone of /g/. There are not many reconstructions with word-final *d, but it also sometimes loses the stop portion, as in and *bin-ad 'heavy' > binan. However, there does not seem to be a complete merger of word-final *d and *n, as there is variation between n and nd in the reflex of the 3PL.PST affix *-mid > -mind~min.

**N D > D/DV**

Prenasalized stops following another voiced stop lost nasalization. In the Wanambre dialect, they also devoiced, as illustrated in Table 9.2.3a. In Wanambre, this has resulted in the merger of voiced and voiceless stops in this environment. For example, compare the Wanambre reflexes of *bug- 'sit' > buk- and *bik- 'put' bik-.

Table 9.2.3a: Loss of prenasalization in Mokati voiced stops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Tibor</th>
<th>Tinami</th>
<th>Wanambre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*bug- 'to sit'</td>
<td>bug-</td>
<td>buk-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*begi 'light'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>beki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*dagul 'snake'</td>
<td>dagul</td>
<td>dakul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*gedaw 'strong'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>getav</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Vgeba 'pot'</td>
<td>igoba</td>
<td>ikopa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*b > w/V_V*

Where intervocalic *b* was not subject to any of the changes described above, it lenited to w, in both dialects, as in Proto-Tibor *sibik > sivik, *tabir > savil, and *irub- 'come up' > ilov-. When *d and *g where not subject to any of the above changes, they are reflected as nd and ngg.

*f > Ø /V_

In Mokati, *f* deleted after a vowel, as in Proto-Tibor *ifer 'salt' > yel, *ifif 'dry' > yi, and *gufu 'thigh' > gu. It also deleted word initially in most instances, as in *fuku 'skin, body' > uku, *furu
'bamboo' > *ulu, and *furuk- 'hear, small' > luk-. However, in other lexical items it is either retained or there is variation between *f and *∅, as in *faya 'left' > faya and *fua 'white' > fua–wa.

*e > o/_[+labial]

*e sometimes rounded to o before the labial consonants *b, *w, or *f. This change did not apply regularly, and some words are recorded with both e and o in this environment. For example, Proto-Tibor *ketew- 'stand' > ketow-, *eba 'net bag' > opa–epa, *bewu 'pus' > bovu, and *ilew 'two' > ilo.

*e > *∅/#_r

Word-initial mid vowels sometimes delete before *r, as in Proto-Tibor *ereke 'road' > leke, and *ereker 'crayfish' > lekel. This should possibly be considered a synchronic process, as it does not apply consistently to the Proto-Tibor verb *elem- 'go down', which has three roots used for different conjugations, *el-, *elam- and *elem-. The Mokati reflexes recorded for this verb are el-, elam-, and lem-, respectively.

*e > o/ _g#

Proto-Tibor *e also rounded to o before final *g, as in *ineg 'yesterday' > inok (Tinami), inong (Wanambre), and*umeg > umok, (Tinami), umong (Wanambre).

Relative chronology of Mokati sound changes

Stop devoicing following an initial vowel (*D > T/#V_), and desnasalization of voiced stops following another voiced stop (*ND > D/DV_) took place before the change of *b > w/V_V, as the two
former rules blocked the application of the latter, as illustrated with the Mokati (Tinami dialect) reflexes in Table 9.2.3b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*abe 'arm'</th>
<th>*ibe 'vagina'</th>
<th>*bibik- 'be afraid'</th>
<th>*sabir 'plate'</th>
<th>*irub- 'come up'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) *D &gt; T/#V_</td>
<td>ape</td>
<td>ipe</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) *ND &gt; D/DV_</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bibik</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) *mb &gt; w/V_V</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>sawir</td>
<td>iruw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ape</td>
<td>ipe</td>
<td>bibik</td>
<td>sawir</td>
<td>ilov-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.2.4 Sound changes in Pamosu

***k > h/ _V**

Proto-Tibor *k lenited to h before a vowel, as in *bik- 'to put' > pih-, *bibik- 'be afraid' > pipih-, and *mekiw 'garden' > mehi. Recall that Proto-Tibor added final u to monosyllabic content words, as in PNA *tak 'leaf' > Proto-Tibor *saku, and PNA *puk 'skin, body' > Proto-Tibor *fuku. The change of *k > h_ V not apply before final u in such words, in Pamosu. Instead, they are treated the same as word-final *k, whose reflex is k in Pamosu, as in PNA *tibik 'rain' > sepik.

***k > ∅/#_**

Word-initial *k deleted entirely, as in *keta > eta, *kena 'base' > ena, and *kuma 'top' > uma. The deletion of word-initial *k was likely a two-step process, whereby it first lenited to h according to the rule *k > h/_V, and then later word-initial h deleted entirely.

**Stop devoicing**

Voiced stops devoiced in several environments in Pamosu. First, voiced stops devoiced when they were the first consonant in a word. This includes word-initial voiced stops, as well as voiced stops
immediately following a word-initial vowel. These two environments can be covered by the rule 
*D > T/#!(V)_, where D represents voiced stops, and T represents voiceless stops. Examples of a voiced stop
devoicing word-initially are Proto-Tibor *biliw 'ripe' > piliv, *buruk 'pig' > puruk, *duar 'house' > tual,
and *genaw 'bone' > kenav. A voiced stop devoicing immediately after an initial vowel can be seen in
Proto-Tibor *abe 'hand' > ape, *iguar 'penis' > ikual, *ig- 'to be' > ik-, and *idiw- 'to go' > itiv-.

Following this, a voiced stop devoiced when the preceding obstruent was voiceless. This
applied when the conditioning obstruent was historically voiceless, as in *sibik 'rain' > sepik, and *sabir
'plate' > sapil, as well as to voiced obstruents which had become devoiced, as in Proto-Tibor *gedaw
'strong' > ketav, *begi 'light' > peki, *bibik- 'be afraid' > pipih-, and *bug- 'to sit' > puk-. Tupper (2012)
notes that in Pamosu, words with two successive stops that are alike in voicing (either both voiced or
both voiceless) seem to be more common than a mixed voicing pattern. The change of voiced stops
devoicing after a preceding voiceless obstruent can help to account for this tendency. While these two
rules cover most reflexes of voiced stops in Pamosu, there are some unexplained exceptions, such as
sangi 'meat'.

*\(f > v/V_\)

Proto-Tibor *f voiced to \(v\) after a vowel, as in *ifif 'dry' > iviv, *ifer 'salt' > ivol, and *gufu
'thigh' guvu.

*\(e > o/\_r\)
Mid front vowels became o before word-final *r, as in Proto-Tibor *ifer 'salt' > *ivol and *baner 'signal drum' > *panol. A similar change is found in the Qkuan Kambuar dialect of Barem (see Chapter 8).

*e > o/Co

As discussed in Chapter 3, Pamosu has a synchronic process of vowel rounding, whereby e rounds to o when the following vowel is o, for example /ev-ot/ → ovot 'take-3.PST'. In cases where there are no alternating forms to indicate underlying e, this had led to the change *e > o/Co. This can be seen in words where a final *e became o before *r, as outlined above, and this carried over to a preceding *e as well. Examples are *memer 'sap' > *momol, *keger 'enemy, warrior' > *onggol, and *ereker 'crayfish' > *olohol.

Relative chronology of Pamosu sound changes

In order to derive the attested forms of Pamosu words, the sound changes I propose above must have applied in a particular order. This ordering also requires positing that the deletion of initial *k took part in two steps: first, word-initial *k lenited to h, then h subsequently deleted after a period of time, during which other changes took place. This assumption is warranted, since the patterning of reflexes of *k in other Tibor languages suggests that *k has changed over time in a similar way throughout the group. It is either further along the path of lenition in word-initial position than intervocalically, or the reflex is the same in both environments. Mawak shows the same pattern of Pamosu: *k deleted word-initially and is reflected as h intervocalically. In Kowaki, *k is reflected as h in all positions. In Hember Avu, it is h word-initially, and k intervocalically.
Table 9.2.4a: reflexes of Proto-Tibor *k- and *-k-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mokati</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Hember Avu</th>
<th>Mawak</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*k-</td>
<td>k-</td>
<td>∅</td>
<td>h-</td>
<td>∅</td>
<td>h-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-k-</td>
<td>-k-</td>
<td>-h-</td>
<td>-k-</td>
<td>-h-</td>
<td>-h-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.2.4b below illustrates the chronology of four sound changes related to obstruent voicing in Pamosu. The changes I suggest in Table 9.2.4b below necessitate that at the time the changes *D > T/#(V)_ and *D > T/DV_ took place, Pamosu had the same reflexes of *k that are currently found in Kowaki, with h both word-initially and word-medially. *k > h/_V must have taken place before *D > T/#(V)_-, since initial *g is reflected as k, without further lenition to h. At the time *D > T/DV_ took place, h > ∅/#_ had not yet taken place, since the presence of initial *h blocked the devoicing of the second stop in words like *kuduruk > unduruk 'fly', whereas devoicing applied in vowel-initial words, such as *idiw > itiw- 'to go'. After these changes were complete, word-initial h deleted, resulting in the forms that are seen in Pamosu today.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*kuduruk</th>
<th>*keger</th>
<th>*gugud</th>
<th>*gedaw</th>
<th>*genaw</th>
<th>*bik-</th>
<th>*bug-</th>
<th>*sabir</th>
<th>*idiw-</th>
<th>*ig-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*k &gt; h/ V</td>
<td>hunduruk</td>
<td>hangel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*D &gt; T/#(V)_-</td>
<td></td>
<td>kugud</td>
<td>kedav</td>
<td>kenaw</td>
<td>pih</td>
<td>pug-</td>
<td>itiw</td>
<td>ik-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*D &gt; T/DV_-</td>
<td></td>
<td>kukut</td>
<td>ketav</td>
<td></td>
<td>puk-</td>
<td>sapil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h &gt; ∅/#_-</td>
<td>unduruk</td>
<td>angel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>unduruk</td>
<td>ongol</td>
<td>kukut</td>
<td>ketav</td>
<td>pih-</td>
<td>puk-</td>
<td>mehiw</td>
<td>itiv-</td>
<td>ik-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First, the change of *k > h/ _V took place before *D > T/#(V)_-, in which voiced stops devoiced word-initially, or immediately following a word-initial vowel. This is clear because *k > h did not apply to word-initial k resulting from *D > T/#(V)_-. This is illustrated by the reflexes of *gugud, *gedaw, and *genaw.

Second, *D > T/#(V)_- applied before *D > T/D_-, in which voiced stops devoiced when the previous consonant was a voiceless obstruent. This is clear because *D > T/#(V)_- feeds *D > T/D_-.
Word-initial *k which came from *g triggered devoicing in a following voiced obstruent, as illustrated by *gugud and *gedav.

Third, *D > T/D_ must have taken place after word-initial *k > h, since word-initial *k did not trigger devoicing of a following voiced stop in words such as *keger and *kuduruk. At the time *D > T/D_ applied, these words had word-initial h, which is not an obstruent, and so would not trigger devoicing.

Finally, h (Proto-Tibor *k) deleted entirely in word initial position. Note that the last two rules in Table 9.2.4b, h > ∅/#_ and *D > T/D_, could have applied in any order.

One result of these changes is that Pamosu vowel-initial words with a following voiced stop (VD-) point to an earlier form with word-initial *k. This is useful for reconstructing Proto-Kumil-Tibor forms based on Pamosu and Mauwake data alone, since word-initial *k also deleted in Mauwake. For example, from Pamosu umbuk 'bird nest fern' and Mauwake upua 'bird's nest fern', it is possible to reconstruct Proto-Kumil-Tibor *kubuk, since voicing on the labial stop in Pamosu indicates initial *k.

9.2.5 Sound changes in Hember Avu

*k > h/#_

Word-initial *k lenited to h, as in *kena 'base' > hena, *keta 'coconut' > heta, and *kinam 'basket' > hinam.

*ng/ _#, *d > n/ _#
Word-final *g is realized as a velar nasal ng, as in *kenag 'tooth' > henang, and *munag 'egg' > minag. This can be analyzed synchronically as the word-final allophone of /g/.

There are no Proto-Tibor reconstructions with word-final *b, and reconstructions with word-final *d are rare. However, from the few reconstructions with word-final *d, which would have historically be realized as a prenasalized voiced stop, it seems that the stop portion of final *d was lost in Hember Avu as well. These are *uned 'female; thumb' > unen, and *bin-at 'heavy' > pinan. The latter would have become /d/ via application of nasal spreading (see Chapter 3). Since these are the only two instances of final *d that have been identified, I only tentatively propose a change of *d > n/ # for Hember Avu. Unlike the shift of word-final *g > ng, the change of Proto-Tibor word-final *d > n results in a merger of final *n and *d.

Note that Proto-Tibor *ibu 'feces' (< PNA *ib) and *mudu 'fire, firewood' could potentially be considered an instance of word-final voiced stops, since the epenthetic u added to monosyllables in Proto-Tibor is often treated as if it is not present in later changes in Tibor languages. However, this is not the case here, as the Hember Avu reflexes, imbu 'feces', and mundu 'fire', retain both the nasal and stop portions.

*f > v/V_

As with all other Tibor languages except Mokati, *f merged with *w following a vowel. This is underlying w, and is realized variously as a glide w or a voiced bilabial fricative v [β]. Examples include *ifer 'salt' > iver, *kafir 'fat' > havil, and *uf- 'dance' > uw-.

*a > e/#_
Word-initial *a merged with *e as e, as in Proto-Tibor *abe 'hand' > embe, *arek 'trunk' > erek, and *ate 'true, right' > ende. This applied to all Proto-Tibor words with initial *a, not just to verbs as in the other Tibor languages.

9.2.6 Sound changes in Mawak

*k > h/ V, *k > Ø/#_

Proto-Tibor *k became h intervocally in Mawak, as in *eleke 'road' > elehe, while word-initial *k deleted entirely, as in *kuma 'top' > uma, and *keta 'coconut' > eta. As I propose for Pamosu above, the deletion of word-initial *k probably took place in two stages: lenition of *k to h preceding a vowel, followed by deletion of h word-initially.

*b > p

Proto-Tibor *b devoiced to p in all environments, as in *bita 'buttocks' pita, *ape > ape 'hand', and *orob- 'come down' > orop-.

D > T#(V)_

As in Mokati and Pamosu, Mawak voiced stops devoiced word-initially, as well as in vowel-initial words where they were the first consonant. Examples of word-initial devoicing include *gawuk 'smoke' > kawuk, *geri 'cane' keri, *duar 'house' > tuar, and *duag 'girl' > duangg. Examples of voiced stops devoicing as the first consonant in a word include *abe 'hand' > ape, *eba 'net bag' > epa, and *iguar 'penis' > ikual. While this change can be said to have applied to all voiced stops, it is redundant in the case of *b, since *b devoiced in all environments.

*D > T/D_
Voiced stops also devoiced following a preceding voiced stop, as in *bebur 'cold' > *pepur; *begi 'light' > *peki, and *bug- 'sit' > *pok-.

*f > *v/V_

As with most other Tibor languages, *f and *w merged as *w after a vowel, as in *kafir 'fat' > *awir, and *kefak 'flying fox' > *ewak.

Relative chronology of Mawak sound changes

Deletion of word-initial *k took place before the change *D > T/#(V)_-, since word-initial *g did not delete after devoicing. This ordering is illustrated in Table 9.2.6a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*kena 'base'</th>
<th>*keta 'coconut'</th>
<th>*genaw 'bone'</th>
<th>*gema 'liver'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*k &gt; Ø/#-</td>
<td>ena</td>
<td>eta</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*D &gt; T/#(V)_-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>*kenaw</td>
<td>*kema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ena</td>
<td>eta</td>
<td>*kenav</td>
<td>*kema</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2.7 Sound changes in Kowaki

*g > ?

Proto-Tibor *g became a glottal stop in all environments in Kowaki, as in *gema 'liver' > *ema, *eg- 'to see' > *e'-, *begi 'light' > *pe'i, and *munag 'egg' > *muna'.

*k > *h/_V

Proto-Tibor *k became *h before a vowel in Kowaki, as in *kena 'base' > *hena, *keta 'coconut' > heta, and *eleke 'road' > *erihe.

*k > *?/_#
Word-final *k became a glottal stop, as in *sibik 'rain' > sepi’, and *kefak 'flying fox' > hewa’. This resulted in a merger with *g word-finally. This change also applied to monosyllables with final *k followed by epenthetic u, for example *fuku 'skin' > fu'u, and *saku 'leaf' > sa'u.

*b > p

As in Mawak, *b devoiced to p in all environments, as in *sabir 'plate' > sapir, *ub- 'to plant' > op-, and *kuba 'leg' > hupa.

*d > t/#(V)_

Proto-Tibor *d devoiced word-initially, or as the first consonant in a vowel-initial word, as in *duar 'house' > tua', *duag 'girl' > tua', *ade 'right, true' > ate, and *id- 'roast' > it-. This change may have been more general, but made redundant for *b, since *b devoiced in all environments. However, a parallel change did not take place with *g, since *g is reflected in all environments as a glottal stop. The change *d > t/#(V)_ could have been a more general devoicing rule *D > T/#(V)_, but this would have necessarily applied after *g > ?, since *g was not affected.

*f > v/V_

Proto-Tibor *f merged with *w as w after a vowel. The realization of this phoneme varies between a labiovelar glide and a voiced bilabial fricative.

### 9.2.8 Proto-Tibor reconstructions

Below I present Proto-Tibor reconstructions with their supporting word sets. First, I present the reconstructed Proto-Tibor subject/tense marking morphology, followed by other reconstructed lexical
items in alphabetical order. As discussed in Chapter 3, some verbs in Tibor languages have multiple stems which are used with different conjugational suffixes. Where more than one stem can be reconstructed for a Proto-Tibor verb, they are listed under the same entry. Proto-Tibor reconstructions which are inherited from a higher-order proto-language (either Proto-Kumil-Tibor or Proto-Northern Adelbert) are listed below, but the supporting word sets are found in the sections for the higher-order proto-language.

**Proto-Tibor subject/tense markers**

Tables 9.2.8a-b show the reconstructed Proto-Tibor subject/past tense markers and their reflexes. Mawak is not listed, since there is no data on subject and tense marking for this language.

Pamosu and Kowaki have both combined the 3SG and 3PL past tense markers as a general third person past. In both languages, the 3.PST marker is a reflex of Proto-Tibor 3SG.PST *-et This suggests that Pamosu and Kowaki perhaps form a subgroup of Tibor.

For some Pamosu and Mokati plural subjects, the same markers are used for the past tense and the hodiernal. These appear to be cognate with the hodiernal tense markers in Hember Avu and Kowaki, not the past tense markers.

Another change in Pamosu is that the vowel in the 1SG, 2SG, and 3SG past tense markers has changed from *e to o, which is nto a regular sound change. In Hember Avu and Kowaki, this suffix rounds to -ot from underlying /-et/ when attached to a verb root ending in a labial consonant. I suggest that there used to be a similar alternation in Pamosu, and allomorph -ot was reanalyzed as the general form, and then became used for all verbs, not just for labial-final verb roots. This explanation is supported by the fact that labial-final roots are especially common in Pamosu. The second-largest class of verb roots all end in v (Tupper 2012: 309), and several highly frequent verbs outside this class also end in a labial, such as itiv- 'to go', ilup- 'come up', olop- 'come down', and ev- 'to take'.
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The expected reflex 2PL.PST *-emin in Wanambre Mokati is **-emin, but instead we have -eming, perhaps on analogy with the 2PL ending.

Table 9.2.8a: Proto-Tibor subject/past tense markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mokati (Wan)</th>
<th>Mokati (Tin)</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Hember Avu</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>-em</strong> 1SG.PST</td>
<td>-em</td>
<td>-om</td>
<td>-om</td>
<td>-em</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>-en</strong> 2SG.PST</td>
<td>-en</td>
<td>-en</td>
<td>-on</td>
<td>-en</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>-et</strong> 3SG.PST</td>
<td>-et</td>
<td>-et</td>
<td>-ot '3.PST'</td>
<td>-et</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>-emin</strong> 1PL.PST</td>
<td>-eming</td>
<td>(-emik) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>(-emin) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>-emin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>-eman</strong> 2PL.PST</td>
<td>(-omang) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>(-omak) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>(-omong) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>-eman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>-emid</strong> 3PL.PST</td>
<td>-emind</td>
<td>-emit (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>(-ot '3.PST')</td>
<td>-emin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.2.8b: Proto-Tibor subject/hodiernal tense markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mokati (Wan)</th>
<th>Mokati (Tin)</th>
<th>Pamosu</th>
<th>Hember Avu</th>
<th>Kowaki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>-ekem</strong> 1SG.HOD</td>
<td>-ehem</td>
<td>-em</td>
<td>-hom</td>
<td>-ekem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>-ik</strong> 2SG.HOD</td>
<td>-ik</td>
<td>-i'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>-ak/-ek</strong> 3SG.HOD</td>
<td>-ak/-ok</td>
<td>-ek/-ak/-auk</td>
<td>-ok/-ek/-ak</td>
<td>-e', -a'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>-emig</strong> 1PL.HOD</td>
<td>-ehing</td>
<td>-emik (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>-emin (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>-ekem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>-emag</strong> 2PL.HOD</td>
<td>(-omang) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>(-omak) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>-ekoman</td>
<td>-ema'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL.HOD</td>
<td>(-ehind) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>-ekemin</td>
<td>(-e', -a')</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proto-Tibor pronouns

Table 9.2.8c shows the reconstructed Proto-Tibor pronouns. Unlike some other Northern Adelbert languages, the Tibor languages do not have multiple sets of case-marked pronouns, but use the same forms for different arguments of the verb, including subject, direct object, and possessor. The
Pamosu, Mawak, and Kowaki forms for 1PL and 2PL suggest a final *e, with a morpheme boundary indicated by nasal spreading on the 2PL forms in Pamosu and Mawak (*nik+e > ningge). I do not reconstruct a final *e for Proto-Tibor, since it is absent in Mokati and Hember Avu and also on the 3PL forms. The source of the Pamosu third person pronoun is not clear. Mawak 3PL *mande is literally 'people'.

Table 9.2.8c: Proto-Tibor pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Tibor</th>
<th>Mok</th>
<th>Pam</th>
<th>HA</th>
<th>Maw</th>
<th>Kow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ye 1SG</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>ye'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ne 2SG</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wo 3SG</td>
<td>wuk</td>
<td>(on)</td>
<td>wo</td>
<td>wo</td>
<td>wo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*yik 1PL</td>
<td>yik</td>
<td>ike</td>
<td>yik</td>
<td>ike</td>
<td>i'e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nik 2PL</td>
<td>nik</td>
<td>ninge</td>
<td>nik</td>
<td>ningge</td>
<td>ni'e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wuk 3PL</td>
<td>wuk</td>
<td>(on)</td>
<td>wuk</td>
<td>(mande)</td>
<td>wo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proto-Tibor vocabulary

In this section I present the reconstructed Proto-Tibor vocabulary. Proto-Tibor reconstructions that date to Proto-Kumil-Tibor (PKT) or PNA are listed here, but the cognates are presented in the section for the higher order proto-language.

*abe 'arm, hand' (< PKT *waben, PNA *waben)
*abe kuma 'wrist, shoulder' (< PKT *waben kuma, PNA *waben *kumaŋ)
*abe *genaw 'forearm'
  Mok: apenggena
  Pam: ape kenav
  HA: embe kenav
  Kow: ape 'enav

*abe *genaw *ilew 'forearm'
  Mok: apenggena ilov
  Pam: ape kenav ilov
  Kow: ape 'enav ireb
It is not clear if there is a difference in meaning between *abe *genaw (literally 'arm+bone') and *abe *genaw *ilew (literally 'arm+bone+two').

*abe kena 'shoulder, tricep, deltoid' (see PNA *waben kenam)
*ade 'right, true' (<PKT *aden)
*ane 'leg'
  Mok: ane
  Pam: ane
  Maw: ane

Possibly related to Proto-Manep Barem *ain and Gavak angin.

*abe 'hand, arm' (<PKT *waben, PNA *waben)
*abe kuma 'wrist (< PNA *waben kumang)
*abe saku 'palm of hand'
  Mok: ape saku, ape saku
  Pam: ape saku
  HA: embe saku
  Kow: ape sa'u

*arek 'trunk, piece' (<PKT *arek, PNA *arek)
*awurun 'always' (<PKT *awurun)
*bak- 'carve, sharpen' (<PNA *bak-)
*baner 'signal drum' (< PNA *baner)
*be 'adze/axe' (<PKT *ben, PNA *ben)
*befi 'Papuan owlet nightjar'
  Mok: bei
  Pam: kumbevi

The element ku- in Pamosu is also seen in 'hornbill' and a number of other bird names.

*begi 'light' (<PKT *begin, PNA *begen)
*bere 'road' (<PKT *beren, PNA *beren)
*betim 'beard'
  Mok: betim
  Pam: petim

*bibik- 'be afraid' (<PKT *bibik-)
*bik- 'put'
  Mok: bik-
  Pam: pih-, pi, piv-
  HA: bik-
  Maw: bih-

This is similar to Proto-Numugen *bigu-, but the velars do not match in voicing.

*binat 'heavy' (<PKT *binat, PNA *bin)
*biriw 'ripe' (<PKT *biriw)
*bita 'buttocks'
  Mok: bita
  Pam: pita
  Maw: pita

Maia (Saki) -*bida is similar in form, but the velars do not correspond in voicing.

*bokan 'post' (<PKT< *buga, PNA *bugan)
*bogom 'spirit'
  Mok: pokom 'local spirit'
  Kow: po’om

*bug-, bugum- (<PKT *bug-, PNA *bug-)
*dagul 'snake'
  Mok: dakul
  Pam: takul

May be related to PNA *duag.

*dege 'straight' (<PKT *degen, PNA *degen)
*duar 'house' (<PKT *duar)
*ekik 'palm sp.
  Mok: ekik
  HA: ehik

*eg- 'to see' (see PNA *ag-)
*en-, *enim- 'to eat' (<PKT *en- *enim-, PNA *an-)
*ena 'banana'
  Mok: ena
  Pam: ena
  Maw: ena

See also Proto-Kumil-Tibor *egi 'banana'.

*kena 'base' (<PKT *kenam, PNA *kenam)
*er- 'become' (PKT *ar-, PNA *ar-)
*ereker 'crayfish' (<PNA *areker)
*ereke 'road' (<PKT *ereke, PNA *arekai)
*erem- *eram-, *er- 'go down'
  Mok: lem-, elam-, el-
  Pam: elem-, elam, el-, ela-
  Kow: erem-, eram-

*ew- 'to do, get, take' (<PKT *aw-, PNA *aw-)
*faya 'left' (see Proto-Kumil-Tibor *fa)
*fia 'pitpit' (<PNA *pia)
*fifi 'bad' (see PNA *pi)
*fua 'white'
  Mok: wa
  Pam: fua
  HA: fua
  Maw: fua
  Pam: fua

*fuk 'skin, body' (see PNA *puk)
*furu 'bamboo'
  Mok: ulu
  Pam: fulu
  Maw: furu

*furuk 'smell, hear, perceive' (see PNA *puruk)
*fuw- 'to come'
  Mok: we-, wev-, w-
  Pam: fiu-, fuv-
  Kow: fo-, fov-

*gagi 'cassowary' (<PKT *gagi)
*gamu 'rib, side'
  Mok: gamu
  Pam: kamu
  Kow: 'amu

Resembles Mauwake kame 'side', but the final vowels do not correspond.

*gawuk 'smoke'
  Mok: gauk
  Pam: kavuk
  HA: kawuk
  Maw: kawuk
  Kow: 'avu'

*gedaw 'strong' (<PNA *gedaw)
*gema 'liver' (<PKT *gema, PNA *gemaŋ)
*genaw 'female bird of paradise'
  Mok: kakuten gena
  Pam: kenav 'female bird of paradise or bowerbird'

*genaw 'bone'
  Mok: gena
  Pam: kenav
  HA: genav
  Maw: kenab
  Kow: 'enap
*geri 'cane'
  Mok: geli
  Pam: keli
  Maw: keri

*giri, *giri *fer- 'turn' (<PKT *giri, PNA *girik)
*goru 'fence'
  Mok: golu
  Pam: kolu
  Maw: koru

*gufu 'thigh' (<PKT *guf)
*guguba 'shoulder'
  Pam: kukupa
  Maw: kukupa

*ibu 'feces' (<PKT *ib, PNA *ib)
*ibe 'vagina' (<PKT *iben, PNA *iben)
*idiw- to go' (<PKT *idiw-, PNA *iduw-)
*idu 'flower'
  Mok: itu
  Pam: itu
  HA: indu

*ifer 'salt, ocean' (<PKT *ifer, PNA *iper)
*ifif 'dry, dry coconut' (<PKT *ifif)
*ig- 'to be' (<PKT *ig-, PNA *ig-)
*iguar 'penis' (<PKT *iguar, PNA *iguar)
*ikik 'color'
  Mok: ikik
  Pam: ihik

*ikuma 'burn, cook' (<PKT *igum-, PNA *igum-)
*im- 'boil, cook in pot' (<PNA *im-)
*imi 'hair' (<PNA *im)
*in- 'sleep' (<PKT *in-, PNA *in-)
*ir- iru- 'go up' (<PKT *ir, PNA *ir-)
*irikir 'many'
  Mok: ilikil
  HA: ilikil

*iruar 'aibika greens' (<PNA *iruar)
*irub- 'come up' (see PNA *irub-)
*irum 'palm sp.'
  Mok: irum
  HA: irum
*is- 'to bathe' (<PNA *it-)
*iwu 'urine'
  Pam: ivu
  Maw: ivu
  Kow: ivu

*kafuk 'lightweight'
  Mok: kauk
  Pam: avu
  Kow: havu

*kakuten 'bird of paradise (possible PNA, see Karian)
  Mok: kakuten
  HA: mungga hakuten

This also resembles Mauwake osaiwa kokosa 'female bird of paradise' and Karian (Boia) kwagotan 'bird of paradise', but there are some problems with the correspondences. The expected Karian form would be ** kwakotan, and Mauwake should preserve the final nasal. On the other hand, Tibor languages usually lost a final nasal, but they are present in this word set.

*kamu 'day, sun' (<PNA *kam)
*kamuna 'pan' (<PNA *kamuna)
*kafir (<PKT *kafil, PNA *kapil)
*kagiw 'village area'
  Pam: akiv 'open area', fa akiv 'village'
  Kow: ha'iv 'village'

*kagur 'large bee sp.'
  Mok: kanggul
  HA: uni hanggur

*kariga 'near'
  HA: halik
  Maw: arika
  Kow: hiri'a

*kaw 'tree sp.' (Tok Pisin skin diwai)
  Mok: kav
  HA: hav

*kamu 'sun' (see PNA *kam)
*kebar 'mouth' (<PKT *kebar, PNA *kebuar)
*keg-, *kegew- 'tell'
  Pam: ek-, ekev-
  HA: hengg-, henggev-

*keg- was used with past and hodiernal tenses, and *kegew- with other conjugations.
*kena 'base' (<PKT *kenam, PNA *kenam)
*kenag 'tooth' (<PKT *kenag, see also PNA *nenag)
*ket- *ketew- 'to stand'
   Mok: kete-, ketow-
   Pam: ete-, etev-
   Kow: hete-, hetev-

   Reflexes of *ketew- are used with present progressive and irrealis conjugations, while reflexes of *kete- are used with other conjugations.

*keta 'coconut' (<PNA *keta)
*ketat 'red' (<PKT *kedat, PNA *ked-at)
*ketu 'blood' (<PKT *ked, PNA *ked_)
*kewak 'flying fox' (<PKT *kefak, PNA *kepak)
*kinam 'basket'
   Pam: inam
   HA: hinam
   Maw: inam
   Kow: hinam

*kiram- 'laugh'
   Mok: kilam-
   Pam: ilame-, ilames-, ilamet-, ilama-
   Maw: irami-
   Kow: hiram-

*kirim- 'swell' (<PNA *karim-)
*kofu 'child'
   Pam: kou
   HA: hovu
   Kow: hovu

   Resembles Moere kofa, but initial *k deleted in Kumil.

*komar 'day before yesterday'
   Mok: komal
   Pam: omal
   Maw: omar
   Kow: homar

*kopu 'word, speech' (<PNA *keb)
*kowa 'loincloth'
   Pam: ova
   Maw: howa
   Kow: hova

*kuduruk 'fly' (<PNA *kuduruk)
*kuma 'top'  
Mok: kuma  
Pam: uma  
HA: huma  
Maw: uma  
Kow: huma  

*kuma 'banana bunch' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *kuma)  
*kumag 'testicles'(< PKT *kumag, <PNA *kumag)  
*kumanum 'palm sp.' (TP: kuranga, Eng: fish-tail palm)  
Mok: kumanum  
HA: humanum  

*kupa 'leg'  
HA: humba  
Kow: hupa  

*kurum 'valley' (< PNA *kurum)  
*kutak 'crab' (see PNA *ku?ak)  
*ma 'small flying insect' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *ma)  
*ma-bubu 'butterfly'  
Pam: mambupu  
Kow: mapupu  

*made 'person' (PKT *made, PNA *madeŋ )  
*masen 'mosquito' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *sen)  
*mambusen 'mosquito'  
Mok: mambusen  
HA: mambusen  

This is a compound, with the first syllable a reflex of *ma 'small flying insect'. See also Proto-Kumil-Tibor *ma and *masen, and PNA *sisimur.  

*masisimur 'sunfly' (< PNA *masisimur)  
*me NEG (<PKT *me, PNA *me)  
*mekak 'bean'  
HA: mekak  
Kow: me'a'  

Although Z'graggen (1980b) transcribed the Kowaki word as ne'a, I transcribed me'a' from his recording. This reconstruction is similar in shape to Proto-Kumil *mese', but not cognate.  

*meki 'garden' (<PKT *mekiw <PNA *mekiw)  
*megam 'star, year'  
Mok: menggam 'year'  
HA: menggam 'star'  
Maw: menggam 'star'
Kow: me'am 'star'

*merek 'male bird of paradise'
Mok: kakuwen melek
Pam: melek 'male bird of paradise or bowerbird'

*merire-, *merirew- 'vomit' (<PKT *melil-, *melilew-, <PNA *melil-, *melilew-)
*memer 'sap'
Mok: memel
Pam: momol
Maw: memer
Kow: memer

*mimir 'grass'
Mok: mimil
HA: mimir

*molokon 'male (of animal)'
Mok: molokon
Pam: molohon

*mud- 'pierce, shoot' (<PKT *mid-, PNA *mid-, *midet-)
*mud[u] 'fire, firewood' (see PNA *mud)
*mudu ketat 'firelight'
Mok: mundu ketat 'firelight'
Pam: mundu etat 'burning coal'
HA: mundu hetar 'firelight'
Kow: muntu etat 'fire'

*muga 'bird' (< PNA *mugan)
*munag 'egg' (<PKT *munag, < PNA *munag)
*na 'tree' (<PKT *nam, PNA *ŋam)
*nina 'stinging plant' (Tok Pisin salat)
Mok: nina
Pam: nina
HA: nina

*om- *omum- 'cry' (<PNA *am-, *amum-)
*orob- 'come down' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *ereb-)
*oru 'palm sp.'
Mok: olu
HA: olu

*oruw, 'pool, lake'
Mok: yapot olu
HA: opet oluv
The first element in these terms is 'water'.

*sabir 'plate' (< PKT *tabir, < PNA *tabir)
*saku 'leaf' (< PNA *tak)
*sarem 'pandanus' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *sarem)
*sawua 'bush fowl'
  Mok: savua
  Pam: savua
  Maw: savua

*sekat 'long'
  Pam: sekat
  Maw: sekat
  Kow: se'at

*seba 'big'
  Mok: (somba)
  Pam: sepa
  Maw: sepa
  Kow: sepa

Prenasalization not expected in Mokati.

*sebik 'rain' (<PNA *t(e/i)bik)
*seme 'today' (<PNA *temen)
*simu 'tree sp.' (Tok Pisin nar)
  Mok: simu
  HA: simu

*sisik 'vine tupe'
  Mok: sisik
  HA: sisik

*suman 'vine'
  Mok: suman
  Pam: suman
  Maw: suman
  Kow: suman

*suw 'push' (< PNA *suw)
*ub- 'to plant'(<PKT *ub-, PNA *ub-)
*ubin 'mountain' (<PKT *ubin)
*udu 'song' (<PNA *wud)
*um- 'to die' (<PKT *um-, PNA *um-)
*ume 'knife'
  Mok: ume
  Pam: ume
*un- 'to draw water' (<PKT *un-, PNA *un-)
*unak- 'to dig'
  Mok: unake-, unaket-
  Pam: unat-, unah-, una-
  Maw: uno-

*uni 'bee' (< PNA *unin)
*unim 'name' (<PKT *unim, PNA *unim)
*ura 'belly' (<PKT *uram, PNA *uram)
*us- 'give to 3SG' (<PNA *ut-)
*uw- dance' (<PKT *uf-, PNA *up-)
*uyaw 'spear' (<PKT *uyaw, PNA *uyaw)
*wagim 'lime'
  Mok: wanggim
  Pam: wanggim
  HA: anggim
  Maw: wanggim
  Kow: a'im

*war- 'hit, fight' (<PNA *war-)
*yak- *ya- 'to go'
  Mok: yak-, ya-, yaka-
  Pam: ah- a-, av-
  HA: ak-, aka-, akev-
  Maw: ah-

*yagew 'cockatoo'
  Mok: yengge, yainge
  Pam: enggev
  HA: anggiav

*yaniw 'yellow' (<PKT *yaniw)
*yaw 'footprint' (<PNA *yaw)
9.3 Proto-Kumil

The Kumil languages Bepour, Moere, and Mauwake clearly form a subgroup. They share several phonological innovations, and there are many Proto-Kumil reconstructions which do not have cognates in other Northern Adelbert languages.

9.3.1 Proto-Kumil phonemes

Table 9.3.1a shows the Proto-Kumil consonant phoneme inventory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
<th>glottal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>*p</td>
<td>*t</td>
<td></td>
<td>*k, *g</td>
<td>(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>*m</td>
<td>*n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>affricate</td>
<td>*f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricative</td>
<td>*s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trill</td>
<td>*r, *l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>*w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vowels and glides

Like most other Northern Adelbert subgroups, Proto-Kumil had five vowels and two glides.

The correspondences for these phonemes are shown in Tables 9.3.1b-c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PKumil</th>
<th>environment</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*e</td>
<td>e, Θ</td>
<td>e, Θ</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>Θ</td>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a, Θ</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 9.3.1c: Proto-Kumil glide correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PKumil</th>
<th>environment</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*w</td>
<td>#_</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*y</td>
<td>#_</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y~∅</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stops

The correspondences for the reconstructed Proto-Kumil stops are shown in Table 9.3.1d. The glottal stop can be considered an allophone of word-final *k, as they are in complementary distribution in Proto-Kumil, and both are reflexes of PNA *k and *t (which merged in Proto-Kumil). Proto-Kumil *t is found in few reconstructions, as it is the conditioned reflex of PNA *d in a restricted environment (/i_i). PNA *d otherwise merged with *g.

Table 9.3.1d: Proto-Kumil stop correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PKumil</th>
<th>environment</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*g</td>
<td>#_</td>
<td>?~∅</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V_V</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>ngk</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*k</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>∅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(*?)</td>
<td>∅</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>∅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>nt</td>
<td>t~k</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*p</td>
<td>#_</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V_V</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>mp</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fricatives

Two fricatives are reconstructed for Proto-Kumil. Proto-Kumil *f [ɸ] is from PNA *p.

Table 9.3.1e: Proto-Kumil fricative correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PKumil</th>
<th>environment</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*f</td>
<td>#_</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V_</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nasals and liquids

The correspondences of nasals and liquids are quite regular in the Kumil languages. The most important change relative to PNA is the loss of the velar nasal.

Table 9.3.1f: Proto-Kumil nasal correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PKumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.3.1g: Proto-Kumil liquid correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PKumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*l</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3.2 Proto-Kumil innovations

Below I discuss the sound changes shared by the three Kumil languages, but not by the Tibor languages. In other words, these are changes that took place after the Kumil branch split from Proto-Kumil-Tibor, but before Proto-Kumil broke up into Bepour, Moere, and Mauwake. The sound changes shared by the Kumil languages are as follows:

1) addition of word-final *a to monosyllables
2) *a > *e/ _C#
3) *t > *k
4) *d > *g
5) *k *t, > Ø/#_
6) *k > */_#_
7) *b > *p
8) *ua > *o

The change of PNA *t to Proto-Kumil *k likely happened before deletion of PNA *k word-initially, since *t also deletes in this position. Another possibility is that the deletion of *t and *k word-initially took place as separate changes, followed by the change of *t > *k.
Addition of final *a monosyllables

Proto-Kumil added a final *a to all monosyllabic content words, as illustrated in Table 9.3.2a.

Mauwake later added final a to all content words, not just monosyllabic ones.

Table 9.3.2a: addition of final a to Proto-Kumil monosyllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'sun'</td>
<td>*kam</td>
<td>*ama</td>
<td>ama</td>
<td>mua</td>
<td>ama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'water'</td>
<td>*yag</td>
<td>*yaga</td>
<td>ya'a</td>
<td>engka</td>
<td>eka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'feces'</td>
<td>*ib</td>
<td>*iba</td>
<td>ipa</td>
<td>mpuu</td>
<td>ipa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'tree'</td>
<td>*ŋam</td>
<td>*nama</td>
<td>nama</td>
<td>nama</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'louse'</td>
<td>*gun</td>
<td>*guna</td>
<td>una</td>
<td>kuna</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'hand drum'</td>
<td>*wag</td>
<td>*waga</td>
<td>wa'a</td>
<td>(ngku mpem)</td>
<td>oka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'bamboo'</td>
<td>*bik</td>
<td>*pika</td>
<td>pia</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>pia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As discussed above, Tibor languages also added a final vowel to monosyllabic words, though it was u, not a. In the Tibor languages, consonants preceding this final vowel still behave as if they are word-final for some changes. This is not the case in Kumil, however, as the reflexes of consonants preceding the added final a pattern in the same way as other intervocalic consonants.

*a > *e/_C#

PNA *a raised to *e in final closed syllables (which only occur word-finally in Proto-Kumil). This is illustrated with the reflexes in Table 9.3.2b. Note that in the Mauwake reflexes, some words underwent a later change of PKT *e > o (see section 9.3.5).
Table 9.3.2b: *a > e/ C#

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'tooth'</td>
<td>PKT *kenag</td>
<td>*ene'</td>
<td>ene</td>
<td>nek-ere'</td>
<td>eneka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'bird'</td>
<td>*munag</td>
<td>*mune'</td>
<td>mune'</td>
<td>monong</td>
<td>muneka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'testicles'</td>
<td>*kumag</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>imeka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'flying fox'</td>
<td>*kepak</td>
<td>*efe'</td>
<td>efe</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>afa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'spear'</td>
<td>*uyaw</td>
<td>*uyew</td>
<td>uyew</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>wiowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'base'</td>
<td>*kenam</td>
<td>*enem</td>
<td>enem</td>
<td>nem</td>
<td>onoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'star, year'</td>
<td>*megam</td>
<td>*megem</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>mengkem</td>
<td>mokoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'belly'</td>
<td>*uram</td>
<td>*urem</td>
<td>urem</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>uroma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**k > @/#**

PNA word-initial *k was deleted in Proto-Kumil, as illustrated in Table 9.3.2c.

Table 9.3.2c: *Reflexes of PNA initial *k in Kumil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'lime'</td>
<td>*kafur</td>
<td>*afur</td>
<td>afur</td>
<td>fur</td>
<td>afura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'fat'</td>
<td>*kafil</td>
<td>*afir</td>
<td>afir</td>
<td>wisawir</td>
<td>afila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'base'</td>
<td>*kanam</td>
<td>*enem</td>
<td>enem</td>
<td>nem</td>
<td>onoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'sun'</td>
<td>*kam</td>
<td>*ama</td>
<td>ama</td>
<td>mua</td>
<td>ama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'betelpepper vine'</td>
<td>*kufi</td>
<td>*ufi</td>
<td>ufi</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>ufi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'bow'</td>
<td>*kVmi</td>
<td>*emi</td>
<td>omi</td>
<td>mui</td>
<td>amia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'pot'</td>
<td>*kamun</td>
<td>*emin</td>
<td>omin</td>
<td>mui</td>
<td>amia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'tail'</td>
<td>PKT *kelew</td>
<td>*elew</td>
<td>erew</td>
<td>erpop</td>
<td>alewa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'flying fox'</td>
<td>PKT *kewak</td>
<td>*efe'</td>
<td>efe</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>afa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although PNA word-initial *k was lost in Proto-Kumil, a small number of Proto-Kumil words with initial *k have been reconstructed, based on the correspondence of Bepour h, Moere k, and Mauwake Ø, illustrated in Table 9.3.2d.
9.3.2d: *Reflexes of Proto-Kumil initial *k

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'dry'</td>
<td>*kerere-</td>
<td>*herere-</td>
<td>kerere</td>
<td>(eres-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'cough'</td>
<td>*kwamer fup-</td>
<td>hamer fup-</td>
<td>kwamer fump-</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'stone'</td>
<td>*kawen</td>
<td>hawen</td>
<td>kawen</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'stand'</td>
<td>*kimar-</td>
<td>himar-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>iimar-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although *k-initial vocabulary has been reconstructed for Proto-Kumil, this does not invalidate the claim that PNA *k was lost in Proto-Kumil. None of the reconstructions with initial *k are inherited from Proto-Northern Adelbert, and therefore could have entered Proto-Kumil after the loss of initial *k.

Although PNA *k was lost word-initially in Proto-Kumil, it is preserved intervocally, as some Proto-Kumil reconstructions with intervocalic *k are inherited from PNA, for example PNA *bik 'bamboo' > Proto-Kumil *pika > Bepour piha, Mauwake pia, and PNA *mekiw 'land' : Proto-Kumil *mekiw > Bepour mehiw, Mauwake miiwa.

*k > *ʔ/__#

Word-final *k became a glottal stop in Proto-Kumil. It is still reflected as a glottal stop in Moere, but has deleted entirely in Bepour and Mauwake, as illustrated in Table 9.3.2e.

Table 9.3.2e: Reflexes of PNA final *k in Kumil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'trunk, piece'</td>
<td>*arek</td>
<td>*are'</td>
<td>are</td>
<td>are'</td>
<td>ara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'2PL'</td>
<td>*nik</td>
<td>*ni'</td>
<td>ni</td>
<td>ni'</td>
<td>ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'flying fox'</td>
<td>*kepak</td>
<td>*efe'</td>
<td>efe</td>
<td></td>
<td>afa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'rain'</td>
<td>*tibik</td>
<td>*mpi'</td>
<td>ipi</td>
<td>mpi'</td>
<td>ipia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'tongue'</td>
<td>PKT *nawiak</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>nawe'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note that even without a reflex in Moere, which is the only language to retain Proto-Kumil *ʔ, it is still possible to reconstruct a final glottal based on the correspondence of Bepour final e with Mauwake final a, as final e does not otherwise occur in Bepour content words (it does occur on some pronouns).

It seems to be the case that Proto-Kumil-Tibor *t merged with final *k to become Proto-Kumil *ʔ. Final *ʔ in Proto-Kumil *pinaʔ 'heavy' (Bepour pine, Moere pine', Mauwake pina), corresponds final *t in Proto-Tibor *binat. These are derived from PNA *bin 'heavy, weight' plus the PNA adjective-forming suffix *-at. Unfortunately, there are no other clear cases of PNA final *t in Kumil, although there are other word sets that are probably derived from PNA *-at that also show Kumil *ʔ, such as Bepour tane and Moere tane' 'full', from Proto-Kumil-Tibor *dan- 'to be full'.

*b > *p

PNA *b became Proto-Kumil *p, as illustrated in Table 9.3.2f. While Moere retains prenasalization on reflexes of intervocalic *b (as well as *d and *g), the stop portion has devoiced. In Bepour and Mauwake, prenasalization has been lost. Phonetically, Proto-Kumil *p was probably a prenasalized voiceless stop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'heavy'</td>
<td>*bin</td>
<td>*pinet</td>
<td>pine</td>
<td>p'</td>
<td>pina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'bamboo'</td>
<td>*bik</td>
<td>*pika</td>
<td>pih</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>pia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'sit'</td>
<td>*bug-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>pok-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'plate'</td>
<td>*tabir</td>
<td>*epir</td>
<td>epir</td>
<td>mpir</td>
<td>epira</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'vagina'</td>
<td>*iben</td>
<td>*ipen</td>
<td>ipen</td>
<td>impen</td>
<td>ipena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'rain'</td>
<td>*tibik</td>
<td>*ipi'</td>
<td>ipi</td>
<td>impi'</td>
<td>ipia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'to plant'</td>
<td>*ub-</td>
<td>*up-</td>
<td>ip-</td>
<td>mpu-</td>
<td>up-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'feces'</td>
<td>*ib</td>
<td>*ipa</td>
<td>ipa</td>
<td>mpua</td>
<td>ipa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is clear that the change of PNA *b to Proto-Kumil *p took place after the shift of PNA *p to Proto-Kumil-Tibor *f. Had the shift of *b > *p applied first, then it would have fed the shift of *p to *f.

*t > *k

As with *k, word-initial *t deleted in the Kumil languages, as illustrated in Table 9.3.2g

Table 9.3.2g: Reflexes of PNA initial *t in Kumil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'plate'</td>
<td>*tabir</td>
<td>*epir</td>
<td>epir</td>
<td>mpir</td>
<td>epira</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'rain'</td>
<td>*tibik</td>
<td>*ipi'</td>
<td>ipi</td>
<td>mpi'</td>
<td>ipia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'grasshopper'</td>
<td>*takaw</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>aawa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although there are fewer PNA reconstructions with medial *t, those with Kumil reflexes indicate that PNA medial *t has also merged with *k, as shown in 9.3.2h.

Table 9.3.2h: Reflexes of PNA medial *t in Kumil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'coconut'</td>
<td>PNA *keta</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>ka</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'chicken'</td>
<td>PNA *teteri</td>
<td>*ekeri</td>
<td>eheri</td>
<td>keri</td>
<td>(aara)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'sky, cloud, lightning'</td>
<td>?atebarek</td>
<td>?kapere</td>
<td>hapere</td>
<td>kemperem</td>
<td>aapereka</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The only PNA form with final *t that is reflected in Kumil is *bin-at 'heavy'. Final *t in this word became a glottal stop in PKT *pine', which also the reflex of PNA final *k. Although there are few forms which reflect PNA *t in the Kumil languages, the evidence points to a merger with PNA *k.

118 A precise form for 'sky, cloud, 'lightning' can not be reconstructed, as most languages have irregularities in the correspondences, especially at the right edge of the word (for example m in Moere and k in Mauwake). However, the correspondences for the alveolar consonant are mostly regular (PNA *t > s in Pamosu sepelak, > t in Manep atembarek).
*d > *g

PNA *d merged with *g in Kumil in the reflexes shown in Table 9.3.2i.

### Table 9.3.2i: PNA *d > *g in Proto-Kumil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'straight'</td>
<td>*degen</td>
<td>*gegen</td>
<td>'e'en</td>
<td>kengan</td>
<td>kaken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'house'</td>
<td>PKT *duar</td>
<td>*gor</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>kor</td>
<td>koora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'blood'</td>
<td>*ked</td>
<td>*ega</td>
<td>e'a</td>
<td>engka</td>
<td>aka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'shoot, pierce'</td>
<td>*mid-</td>
<td>*mig-</td>
<td>mi'-</td>
<td>mingk-</td>
<td>mik-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'man'</td>
<td>*made</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>(mua)</td>
<td>mangke</td>
<td>(mua)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'to sing'</td>
<td>*ud</td>
<td>*war-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>ngkua wa</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'breadfruit'</td>
<td>*kidar</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>ikera</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'true'</td>
<td>PKT *aden</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>akena</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG.PST</td>
<td>*-ad, *-ed</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-ek, -ak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, in some word sets, PNA *d is reflected as *t in Bepour and (*n)t in Moere. The Mauwake reflexes vary from *t~k.

### Table 9.3.2j: Reflexes of PNA *d in Kumil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>PKT</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'to go'</td>
<td>*iduw-</td>
<td>*itiw-</td>
<td>itiw-</td>
<td>ntiw-</td>
<td>itiw-, ikiw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'roast'</td>
<td>*id-</td>
<td>*it-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>nt-</td>
<td>iki-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'smell'</td>
<td>*idin</td>
<td>*itin</td>
<td>itinew-</td>
<td>intinew-</td>
<td>ikina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'frog'</td>
<td>Manep injik</td>
<td>*iti</td>
<td>iti</td>
<td>iti</td>
<td>ikia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'dirty'</td>
<td>*debik</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>tempi</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'neck'</td>
<td>Proto-Tibor *dager</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>tangker</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most of the word sets in Table 9.3.2j have in common that the reflexes of *d is found between two high front vowels. This suggests that PNA *d regularly became Proto-Kumil *g, but was retained

---

119 In addition to the word sets in Table 9.3.2j, there are some Mauwake words with *t which resemble terms in other Northern Adelbert languages, but can be discounted as borrowings. Mauwake tapu 'audience, crowd' resembles Waskia daup 'audience, crowd', but the placement of the vowels is problematic, and final -a is expected on all Mauwake content words inherited from PNA. Mauwake top 'pig trap' resembles Waskia dop, as well as similar terms in Amako, Barem, and Manep, but again lacks the expected final -a. In form, Mauwake tuula 'top end' matches well with PNA *dur 'tail', but would require positing a change in meaning.
as an alveolar under the influence of the front vowels. The exception is Mauwake where *d merged with *g even in the environment of *i, although there is variation in ikiw~itiw 'to go'. Moere tempi 'dirty' and tangker 'neck' do not have i following *d, but these could very well be borrowings.

*ua > o

Proto-Kumil-Tibor *ua became *o in Proto-Kumil, as illustrated by the reflexes in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>PNA/PKT</th>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'penis'</td>
<td>PNA/PKT *iguar</td>
<td>*igor</td>
<td>i'or</td>
<td>ingkor</td>
<td>ikora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'house'</td>
<td>PKT *duar</td>
<td>*gor</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>kor</td>
<td>koora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'mouth'</td>
<td>PNA *kebuur</td>
<td>*opor 'speech'</td>
<td>opor</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>opora</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.3.3 Sound changes in Bepour

In this section I outline the sound changes which took place in Bepour after the break up of Proto-Kumil.

* k > h

As discussed above, word-initial PNA *k deleted in all Kumil languages, as did word-final *k in Bepour and Mauwake. Intervocalic Proto-Kumil *k became h in Bepour, as in PNA *mekiw 'land' > mehiw, and *bik 'bamboo' > piha. In Proto-Kumil words with initial *k (not derived from PNA *k), this also became h in Bepour, as in *kawen 'stone' > hawen, and *kimar- 'stand' > himar-.

---

120 Z'graggen did not transcribe a final glottal stop on Moere tempi 'dirty', but one is expected if this is a directly inherited word. However, this should not rule it out as a possibly legitimate cognate, as Z'graggen did not reliably transcribe glottal stops.
Proto-Kumil final glottals deleted in Bepour, as in *pine' 'heavy' > *pine, and *ni' '2pl' > *ni, and *wi' '3pl' > *wi.

*ʔ > Ø

Proto-Kumil final glottals deleted in Bepour, as in *pine' 'heavy' > *pine, and *ni' '2pl' > *ni, and *wi' '3pl' > *wi.

*g > ?, *g > Ø

Proto-Kumil *g became a glottal stop intervocically and word-finally in Bepour, as in *yag 'water' > *ya'a, *iguar 'penis' > *i'or, *ig- 'to be' > *i'-, and Proto-Kumil-Tibor *munag 'egg' > *mune'. Word-initially, PNA *g sometimes deletes entirely, as in *gema 'liver' > *ema, *gir- 'turn' > *ir- and *gun 'louse' > *una. However, there are a few words which appear with an initial glottal in Z'graggen's transcriptions, such as Proto-Kumil-Tibor *gagi 'cassowary' > *ahi.

*f > w/_

Proto-Kumil *f appears to have merged with *w as w in word final position. Only two Proto-Kumil words with final *f have been reconstructed, *ifif 'dry (of coconut)' > Bepour ifiw, and *efef 'lightweight' > *few.

*l > r

Proto-Kumil *l merged with *r to become Bepour r, as in *afil 'fat' > *afir, and *elew 'tail' > *erew.

*u > i/#_+/labial

Word-initial *u fronted to i when followed by a labial consonant, as in PNA *um 'die' > *im-, *ub- 'to plant' > *ip-, and *up- 'sing and dance' to *if- . This change also applied to both vowels in *urup-
'see' > *irif-. This change seems to only have applied to verbs, as it did not apply to Proto-Kumil *ufi 'betelpepper vine' > ufi, or to Proto-Kumil *ufu 'cane' > ufu.

* *e > a, *e > o

In some words, word-initial *a has become e or o. It is not clear what has conditioned this change. Examples are PNA *aw-' to do, and take' > *ew-.*an- > *en-. In other words, Bepour e corresponds with Mauwake a, for which I have reconstructed Proto-Kumil *e or *a, through comparison with languages outside Kumil. It is not clear what has conditioned the change of vowel in these Kumil words. Examples are PNA *kamun > Proto-Kumil *amun 'pan' > omin, and PNA *kemi > Proto-Kumil *emi 'bow' > omi. 121

**Relative chronology of Bepour sound changes**

For some of the changes which applied to velars, it is possible to determine the order in which they take place. The deletion of Proto-Kumil *ʔ took place before the shift of Proto-Kumil *g > ? as the glottals derived from *g did not subsequently delete, as illustrated in Table 9.3.3a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 9.3.3a: relative chronology of Bepour sound changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*irirag 'sweat'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) *ʔ &gt; ∅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) *g &gt; ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although there is no direct evidence, it is possible that the change of *g > ? went through a medial stage of where *g first devoiced to k before shifting to a glottal. If so, then this change must have taken place after the shift of *k to h, since *g and *k did not merge, as illustrated in Table 9.3.3b.

121 Similar irregular changes also applied in Maia, where to PNA *a > ua/#k_ in some words.
Table 9.3.3b: relative chronology of Bepour sound changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*mekiw 'land'</th>
<th>*pika 'bamboo'</th>
<th>*igor 'penis'</th>
<th>*pug- 'break'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) *k &gt; h</td>
<td>mehiw</td>
<td>piha</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) *g &gt; ?</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>iʔor</td>
<td>puʔ-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mehiw</td>
<td>piha</td>
<td>i'or</td>
<td>pu'-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3.4 Sound changes in Moere

*a, *e > Ø/#_

Word-initial *a and *e deleted in most instances in Moere, as illustrated in Table 9.3.4a. There are a few words where initial *e, *a did not delete, as in *ega 'blood' > engka, and *aw- 'to take' > ew-.

Table 9.3.4a: Deletion of initial *a, *e in Moere

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*awon 'old'</td>
<td>awon</td>
<td>won</td>
<td>awona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*afur 'lime'</td>
<td>afur</td>
<td>fur</td>
<td>afura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ama 'sun'</td>
<td>ama</td>
<td>mua</td>
<td>ama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*epir 'plate'</td>
<td>epir</td>
<td>mpir</td>
<td>epira</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*epi 'fire'</td>
<td>epi</td>
<td>mpi</td>
<td>epia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*eger 'sago'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>ngker</td>
<td>ekera</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although initial vowel deletion did not typically apply to *i, *u, or *o, it also applied to these vowels in a few cases, as in *itiw- 'to go' > ntiw-, and *okon 'leg' > kon. Although there is no clear condition for why it applied in these words, the deletion of initial high vowels is common in conjunction with u-insertion (described below), as in *ipa 'feces' > mpu, *um- 'die' > mu-, and *up- 'to plant' > mpu-.
**u-insertion**

In many words beginning with a vowel followed by a labial consonant, *u* was inserted after the labial (*\#VC[+labial] > VCu*). Word sets illustrating this change are shown in Table 9.3.4b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ipa 'feces'</td>
<td>ipa</td>
<td>mpuu</td>
<td>ipa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ifa 'snake'</td>
<td>ifa</td>
<td>iwua</td>
<td>ifa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ama 'sun'</td>
<td>ama</td>
<td>mua</td>
<td>ama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*um- 'die'</td>
<td>im-</td>
<td>mu-</td>
<td>um-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*up 'to plant'</td>
<td>ip-</td>
<td>mpu-</td>
<td>up-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ami 'bow'</td>
<td>omi</td>
<td>mui</td>
<td>amia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*amin 'pot'</td>
<td>omin</td>
<td>muin</td>
<td>amina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are, however, a number of words in which this change apparently did not apply, such as *ipen 'vagina' > impen, *ifan 'ear' > iwan, and *epir 'plate' > mpire. It is not clear if there is a conditioning factor which determined when the change applied.

*\f > w/V_

Proto-Kumil *f and *w merged as w following a vowel, as in *ifan 'ear' > iwan, *nefe '2SG.OBJ' > newe, and *ifif 'dry (of coconut)' > iwiw.

*\l > r

As in Bepour, Proto-Kumil *l merged with *r to become r in Moere. However, this change is less clear in Moere, as the reflexes of the only two Proto-Kumil reconstructions with *l are compounds. Proto-Kumil *afil 'fat' is reflected in Moere wisawir, a compound whose first element is *wisa 'fat'. The second Proto-Kumil reconstruction with *l is *erew 'tail', for which Z'graggen transcribes *erpop. The origin of the additional final syllable is not clear.
Changes to Proto-Kumil *g

Proto-Kumil *g merged with *k in word-initial position in Moere, where both are reflected as *k, as in Proto-Kumil *gema 'liver' > *kema, *gir- 'turn' > *kir- and *guna 'louse' > *kuna. Intervocalic PNA *g became ngk in Moere, as in PNA *yag 'water' > Proto-Kumil *yaga > *engka, PNA *iguar 'penis' > *ingkor, and Proto-Kumil-Tibor *egi 'banana' > *ngki. This contrasts with reflexes of medial *k, which do not have prenasalization, as in the medial consonant in *gaki 'cassowary' > *kaki. Word-finally, *g is reflected as a nasal ng, as in Proto-Kumil-Tibor *muneg 'egg' > *munong.

Relative chronology of Moere sound changes

The Moere reflex of *afur 'lime' indicates that the deletion of initial *a took place before the change of *f > w/V_. The ordering of these changes is illustrated in Table 9.3.4c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*afur 'lime'</th>
<th>*ifif 'dry'</th>
<th>*ifan 'ear'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) *a &gt; Ø/#_</td>
<td>fur</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) *f &gt; w/V</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>iwiw</td>
<td>iwan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*afur 'lime'</th>
<th>*ifif 'dry'</th>
<th>*ifan 'ear'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) *a &gt; Ø/#_</td>
<td>fur</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) *f &gt; w/V</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>iwiw</td>
<td>iwan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3.5 Sound changes in Mauwake

Addition of final a to content words

A notable change in Mauwake is that final a has been added to the end of all open-class words, for example Proto-Kumil *ifan 'ear' > *ifana, *ufi 'betelpepper vine' > *ufia. Although open-class words without final a exist in Mauwake, these are recent innovations. Lack of final a can help to identify loanwords. For example, Maia lol 'sand, shore' and Gavak lul 'shore' can be used to reconstruct PNA *lul. Mauwake luul 'black sand' should not be taken as evidence supporting this reconstruction, as
there is no clear reason why final \( a \) would not have been added if it were directly inherited. Instead, it can be identified as a recent innovation, likely a borrowing from Maia.

According to Berghäll (2015: 44), final -\( a \) is often dropped in fast speech, especially when unstressed and followed by a vowel-initial word. It is also never found on the first constituent of a compound. However, final -\( a \) cannot be considered synchronically to be an epenthetic vowel, as there are a number of content words which do not have final -\( a \). Lack of final -\( a \) is therefore a reliable diagnostic for identifying loanwords.

\[ *e > o/\_m \]

Proto-Kumil *e rounded to \( o \) before *\( m \), as illustrated in Table 9.3.5a. When the vowel in the preceding syllable was also *e, this rounded to \( o \) as well, as in *enem 'base' > onoma, and *megem 'star' > mokoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>semar- 'walk'</em></td>
<td>semar-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>soomar-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>urem 'stomach'</em></td>
<td>urem</td>
<td>urem</td>
<td>uroma, iroma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>emem- 'cry'</em></td>
<td>emem-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>omom-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>enem 'base'</em></td>
<td>enem</td>
<td>nem</td>
<td>onoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>megem 'star'</em></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>mengkem</td>
<td>mokoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This change did not apply in *gema 'liver' > kema. It is not clear why this is an exception, but it is notable that in most nouns in which *e did round, it is before coda *\( m \) (*urem, *enem, *megem), while in *gema, *\( m \) is the onset of the following syllable.
*e > a/

Word-final *e lowered to a in Mauwake, as in Proto-Kumil *are' 'trunk' > ara, *pine' 'heavy' > pina, *mese' 'wing bean' > mesa, and *nefe '2SG.OBJ' > nefa.

*k > Ø

Proto-Kumil *k deleted in all environments in Mauwake, as in *kimar- 'stand' > iimar-, *mekiw 'land' > miiwa, and *muk- 'swallow' > mu-.

*g > k

Proto-Kumil *g devoiced to k, as in *gir- 'turn' > kir-, *yaga 'water' > eka, and *pug- 'cut, break' > puuk-.

*ʔ > Ø

Proto-Kumil final glottal stops deleted in Mauwake, as in *pineʔ 'heavy' 'pine, and *niʔ '2PL' > ni, and *wiʔ '3PL' > wi.

**Vowel assimilation V₁V₂ > V₂:**

Although there are few Proto-Kumil words to which this rule could have applied, it seems that if the deletion of an intervocalic *k (see above) resulted in a sequence of two different vowels in Mauwake, then the first vowel assimilated in quality to the second vowel, as in *mekiw 'land' > miiwa, and *gaki 'cassowary' > kiiya.
Relative chronology of Mauwake sound changes

It is possible to determine the order in which some sound changes applied in Mauwake. The deletion of Proto-Kumil *k took place before the change of *g > k, since the latter rule did not feed the former, as illustrated in Table 9.3.5b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*kimar- 'stand'</th>
<th>*mekiw 'land'</th>
<th>*gema 'liver'</th>
<th>*igor 'penis'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) *k &gt; ∅</td>
<td>imar-</td>
<td>meiw</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) *g &gt; k</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>kema</td>
<td>ikor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The deletion of *k also clearly took place before vowel assimilation (V₁V₂ > V₂), since the deletion of *k created the conditions necessary for vowel assimilation to apply.

The deletion of final glottal stop feeds the lowering of word-final *e to a, as illustrated in Table 9.3.5c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*are' - 'trunk'</th>
<th>*pine' 'heavy'</th>
<th>*mese' 'bean'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) *ʔ &gt; ∅</td>
<td>are</td>
<td>pine</td>
<td>mese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) *e &gt; a/ _#</td>
<td>ara</td>
<td>pina</td>
<td>mesa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.4 Proto-Kumil reconstructions

Table 9.4a compares the Kumil free pronouns, and shows the Proto-Kumil forms I have reconstructed. The reflexes of the Proto-Kumil forms are completely regular in Bepour. Moere adds nV to the end of the singular forms, where the vowel copies the quality of the preceding vowel. Moere also adds additional material in ikie 1PL. In Mauwake, 1SG *ye and 2SG *ne have changed their vowels to o on analogy with 3SG *wo.
Table 9.4a: Proto-Kumil free pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kumil</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ye 1SG</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>ene</td>
<td>yo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ne 2SG</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>nene</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wo 3SG</td>
<td>wo</td>
<td>ono</td>
<td>wo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ik 1PL</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>ikie</td>
<td>yi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nik 2PL</td>
<td>ni</td>
<td>ni'</td>
<td>ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wik 3PL</td>
<td>wi</td>
<td>wi'</td>
<td>wi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Kumil direct object pronouns (which are independent words in Mauwake, but considered prefixes for Bepour and Moere by Z'graggen) are shown in Table 9.4b. The singular pronouns are formed with the addition of *-fe to the free pronouns, except for the 3SG, which is a null form. Bepour and Mauwake form the plural direct object pronouns by suffixing -a to the free pronouns. Moere adds -iwi, which is perhaps all from *-fe, but with assimilation to the preceding vowel.

Table 9.4b: Proto-Kumil object pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Tibor</th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ye-fe 1SG</td>
<td>efe-</td>
<td>ewe</td>
<td>efa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ne-fe 2SG</td>
<td>nefe-</td>
<td>newe</td>
<td>nefa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø 3SG</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*yik-a 1PL</td>
<td>ya-</td>
<td>iki--wi</td>
<td>yia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nik-a 2PL</td>
<td>nia-</td>
<td>nik-iwi-</td>
<td>nia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wik-a 3PL</td>
<td>wia-</td>
<td>wik-i-</td>
<td>wia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Kumil possessive pronouns are shown in Table 9.4c. Possessive pronouns are not reconstructed for Proto-Kumil, since each language forms them using a different strategy. Mauwake adds -ena to the free pronoun forms. Bepour adds -em to the plurals and -Cem to the singulars, where
C is a copy of the preceding consonant. Moere adds the suffix -ner, which is inherited from PNA *-ner.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bepour</th>
<th>Moere</th>
<th>Mauwake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>yiyem</td>
<td>ener</td>
<td>yena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>nenem</td>
<td>nener</td>
<td>nena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>wuwem</td>
<td>onor</td>
<td>ona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>ihem</td>
<td>ikier</td>
<td>yiena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>ninihem</td>
<td>nikiner</td>
<td>niena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>wiwihem</td>
<td>wikiner</td>
<td>wiena</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proto-Kumil reconstructed vocabulary**

Below I present the Proto-Kumil vocabulary. Cognate sets for reconstructions dating to Proto-Kumil-Tibor or PNA are listed in the sections for those proto-languages.

*afil 'fat, grease' (< PNA *kapil)
*afur 'lime' (< PNA *kapur)
*ama 'day, sun' (< PNA *kam)
*aw- 'to get, take' (< PNA *aw-)
*awon 'old'

Bep: awon
Moe: won
Mau: awona

*arek 'trunk, piece' (< PNA *arek)
*efe 'flying fox' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *kepak)
*egi 'banana' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *egi)
*ega 'blood' (< PNA *ked)
*ema 'mountain'

Bep: amere
Moe: mare'
Mau: ema

Bepour and Moere are assumed to be compounds of *ema + *are' 'piece'. The reflex of *arek is also used to refer to landscape in Barem, for examples biga arek 'a piece of ocean'.

*emi 'bow' (< PNA *kemi)
*ekeri 'chicken' (<PNA *teteri)
*eneg (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *kenag)
*ema 'mountain'
*emem- 'cry' (<PNA *am-, *amum-)
*enow 'food'
  Беп: enow
  Мав: enowa

*enum 'green'
  Беп: enum
  Мав: enuma

*epep 'fire, firewood'
  Беп: epi 'fire'
  Мав: mpi 'firewood'
  Мав: epia 'firewood, fire'

*epi 'plate' (< PNA *tabir)
*epere- 'fall' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *ereb-)
*eyep 'new'
  Беп: eyep
  Мав: yemp

*fa 'left' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *fa)
*fere 'few'
  Беп: fere
  Мав: fere

*fugum 'hair'
  Беп: fu'um
  Мав: fungum

  The usual reflex of intervocalic *g is a prenasalized stop in Moere, but the speaker in
Z'graggen's recordings pronounces only a velar nasal in all tokens of this word. A velar nasal ng [ŋ] is
possibly a conditioned reflex of *g when the following consonant is nasal (see section 3.10).

*fura 'knife'
  Беп: fura
  Мав: fura

*gagen 'straight' (<PNA *degen)
*gaki 'cassowary'(<PNA *gaki)
*gema 'liver' (< PNA *gemaŋ)
*gemin 'how many' (<PNA *demin)
*gor 'house' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *duar)
*gufa 'thigh' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor*guf)
*guna 'louse' (< PNA *gun)
*ifan 'ear'
   Bep: ifan
   Moe: iwan
   Mau: ifana

*ifan- 'to listen'
   Bep: ifan-
   Moe: iwan-

*ifer 'salt, ocean' (< PNA *iper)
*ifif 'dry, dry coconut' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *ifif)
*ig- 'to be' (<PNA *ig-)
*igor 'penis' (< PNA *iguar)
*ipa 'feces' (< PNA *ib)
*ipen 'vagina (< PNA *iben)
*ipi 'rain' (< PNA *tibik)
*ineg 'back'
   Moe: ineng
   Mau: ineka

*iruw 'loincloth'
   Bep: iruw
   Moe: iruw
   Mau: uruwa

   May be related to PNA *siruw.

*isiwe' 'black (of skin)'
   Bep: isiwe
   Moe: isiwe'

*kawen 'stone'
   Bep: hawen
   Moe: kawen

*kenem 'base' (<PNA *kenam)
*kerere 'dry'
   Bep: herere-
   Moe: kerere-

*ma 'small flying insect' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *ma)
*mekiw 'land' (< PNA *mekiw)
*megem 'woman' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *megem)
*megem 'star, year' (PNA *megem)
*mena 'breast' (< PNA *men)
*mera 'fish'
*merir- 'vomit' (<PNA *merir-)
*mese 'wing bean'
  Bep: mese
  Moe: mese'
  Mau: mesa

*mosa 'saliva'
  Bep: mosa
  Moe: mosa
  Mau: mosa

*mua 'man' (<PNA *muŋ 'husband)
*muneg 'egg' (< PNA *munag)
*nama 'tree' (<PNA *ŋam)
*nebe' 'bird' (<PNA *nebek)
*okon 'leg'
  Bep: ohon 'leg'
  Moe: kon 'bone, leg'
  Mau: oona 'bone'

Polysemy between 'bone' and 'leg' is also found in Numugen *guaten. Proto-Kumil *okon may be cognate with Proto-Numugen *gwaten. This would suggest PNA *(g/k)uaten, with one group having an irregular change in the initial voicing of the velar.

*opor 'speech' (< PNA kebuar 'mouth')
*oram 'nothing'
  Bep: oram
  Mau: oram

*oriw 'vine'
  Bep: oriw
  Moe: oriw

*owow 'village' (< PNA *kuwaw)
*perper 'bush fowl'
  Bep: perper
  Moe: perper

*pika 'bamboo' (< PNA *bik)
*pine 'heavy' (< PNA *bin)
*piriw 'ripe' (< PKT *biriw
*pug- 'break'
  Bep: pu-
Mau: *puuk-
*saiwa 'moon'
Bep: saiwa
Mau: *siiwa

*sarem 'pandanus' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *sarem)
*sen 'mosquito' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *sen)
*sisi 'fruit'
Bep: sisi
Moe: sisi

This resembles Barem sik 'seed', but *s usually deleted in Barem.

*tebik 'rain' (<PNA *t(e/i)bik)
*uf- 'dance' (< PNA *up-)
*ufi 'betelpepper vine' (< PNA *kupi)
*ufu 'cane'
Bep: ufu
Mau: ufua

Resembles Pamosu fuvuk 'cane' but missing initial f.

*um- 'to die' (< PNA *um-)
*un- 'to draw water' (< PNA *un-)
*unim 'name' (< PNA *unim)
*(u/i)r(u/i)p- 'to see'
Bep: irif-
Mau: uruf-

*up- 'to plant' (< PNA *ub-)
*urem 'belly' (< PNA *uram)

*wa 'pig, game animal' (< PNA *wa)
*waga 'hand drum' (<PNA *wag)
*wagen 'arm, hand' (< PNA *wagen)
*wu- 'put' (<PNA *iw)
*yaga 'water' (< PNA *yag)
*yaniw 'yellow' (< Proto-Kumil-Tibor *yaniw)

9.4 Proto-Kumil-Tibor reconstructions

Table 9.4a shows the reconstructed Proto-Kumil-Tibor pronouns and their reflexes. The Tibor reflexes are used for subjects, objects, and possessors, while the Kumil reflexes are typically used for
subjects, and have different forms for those used with other kinds of arguments. The reconstructed Proto-Tibor and Proto-Kumil forms have only two small differences. First, the lack of *y in the 1PL in Kumil, and a difference in the vowel in the 3PL Proto-Tibor *wuk and corresponding Proto-Kumil *wik. I reconstruct *wik for Proto-Kumil-Tibor.

Table 9.4a: Proto-Kumil-Tibor pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ye 1SG</td>
<td>*ye</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>*ye</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>ene</td>
<td>yo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ne 2SG</td>
<td>*ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>*ne</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>nene</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wo 3SG</td>
<td>*wo</td>
<td>wuk</td>
<td>on</td>
<td>wo</td>
<td>wo</td>
<td>wo</td>
<td>*wo</td>
<td>wo</td>
<td>ono</td>
<td>wo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*yik 1PL</td>
<td>*yik</td>
<td>yik</td>
<td>ike</td>
<td>yik</td>
<td>i'eko</td>
<td>*ik</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>ikie</td>
<td>yi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nik 2PL</td>
<td>*nik</td>
<td>nik</td>
<td>ningge</td>
<td>nik</td>
<td>ninge</td>
<td>n'eko</td>
<td>*nik</td>
<td>ni</td>
<td>n'i</td>
<td>ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wik 3PL</td>
<td>*wuk</td>
<td>wuk</td>
<td>on</td>
<td>wuk</td>
<td>(mande)</td>
<td>wo</td>
<td>*wik</td>
<td>wi</td>
<td>wi'</td>
<td>wi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.4b shows the Tibor past tense markers, along side the Mauwake past tense markers (not enough is known about Bepour and Moere to include them). The Mauwake past tense markers begin with e, or a, depending on the class of verb they are suffixed on (see Chapter 3). The correspondences between the final consonants of the Proto-Tibor past tense markers and the Mauwake past tense endings are regular, with two exceptions. First, the correspondence with between Proto-Tibor final *t and Mauwake final k in the 3SG.PST forms is not regular. However, from other Northern Adelbert languages, it is clear that the 3SG past tense marker was *-Vd. It is likely that *-Vd was found in Proto-Kumil-Tibor as well, and that it devoiced to t in Proto-Tibor.

The second set marker which does not correspond is the 1PL.PST, since Mauwake 3PL -(e/a)mik extended to cover the 1PL as well.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*-Vm</td>
<td>*-em 1SG.PST</td>
<td>-em</td>
<td>-om</td>
<td>-om</td>
<td>-em</td>
<td>-om</td>
<td>-(e/a)m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-Vn</td>
<td>*-en 2SG.PST</td>
<td>-en</td>
<td>-en</td>
<td>-on</td>
<td>-en</td>
<td>-uan</td>
<td>-(e/a)n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-Vd</td>
<td>*-et 3SG.PST</td>
<td>-et</td>
<td>-et</td>
<td>-ot 3.PST'</td>
<td>-et</td>
<td>-(e/a)k</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*-emin 1PL.PST</td>
<td>-eming</td>
<td>(-emin) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>(-emin) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>-emin</td>
<td>-emin</td>
<td>-(e/a)mik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-Vman</td>
<td>*-eman 2PL.PST</td>
<td>(-omang) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>(-omak) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>(-omong) (PST/HOD)</td>
<td>-eman</td>
<td>-eman</td>
<td>-(e/a)man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-Vmid</td>
<td>*-emid 3PL.PST</td>
<td>-emind</td>
<td>-emit</td>
<td>(-ot 3.PST')</td>
<td>-emin</td>
<td>(-et)</td>
<td>-(e/a)mik</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are no obvious cognates between the Tibor hodiernal markers and the Mauwake present tense endings. First, hodiernal tense is not exactly the equivalent of Mauwake present tense. Second, the Mauwake forms are more clearly segmentable into a subject marker and a present tense marker -i. This is clearest in the plurals, since the subject markers are the same as those for the past tense. In Proto-Tibor, some languages form the hodiernal through the addition of reflexes of *k to the beginning of the past tense stem. However, this is now fossilized in all languages, and the Tibor endings are not segmentable into separate subject-marking and tense-marking morphemes.

Proto-Tibor 2SG.HOD *-ik and Mauwake 2SG -i-n do not correspond, and it is not possible to reconstruct the plural endings either. For the 1SG present/hodieranl, I reconstruct Proto-Kumil-Tibor *ikem, which has fairly regular reflexes in all the languages. From Proto-Tibor *a/ek and Mauwake -ya, it is possible to reconstruct Proto-Kumil-Tibor 3SG *-Vk. At first glance Proto-Tibor 3SG.HOD *-a/ek would appear to be cognate with Mauwake 3SG.PST -(e/a)k instead. However, although these forms are identical, including the vowel alternation, they do not fit the regular sound correspondences.
Table 9.2.8c: Proto-Tibor subject/hodiernal tense markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*-ikem</td>
<td>*-ekem</td>
<td>*-ehem</td>
<td>*-em</td>
<td>*-hom</td>
<td>*-ekem</td>
<td>*-ehem</td>
<td>*-i-yem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>*-ik</td>
<td>*-ik</td>
<td>*-ik</td>
<td>*-ik</td>
<td>*-ik</td>
<td>*-i'</td>
<td>*-i-n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-Vk</td>
<td>*-ak/*ek</td>
<td>*-ak/*ok</td>
<td>*-ek/*ak/*auk</td>
<td>*-ek/*ek/*ak</td>
<td>/-ek/*ak</td>
<td>/-e', /-a'</td>
<td>*-i-ya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>*-emig</td>
<td>*-ehing</td>
<td>*-emik</td>
<td>*-emik</td>
<td>*-ekemin</td>
<td>*-emi'</td>
<td>*-i-mik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>*-emag</td>
<td>*-omang</td>
<td>*-omak</td>
<td>*-omong</td>
<td>*-ekoman</td>
<td>*-ema'</td>
<td>*-i-man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL.HOD</td>
<td>*-ehind</td>
<td>*-(emit)</td>
<td>*-ok/*ek/*ak</td>
<td>*-ekemin</td>
<td>*(-e', /-a')</td>
<td>*-i-mik</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prot Kumil-Tibor reconstructed vocabulary

Below I list the reconstructed Proto-Kumil-Tibor vocabulary. The supporting cognate sets for reconstructions that date to PNA are found in Chapter 7.

*aden 'true, real, right'

Tibor *ade
Mok: ate
Pam: ate
HA: ende
Maw: ate
Kow: ate
Kumil
Mau: akena

This is possibly cognate with Gavak areng 'true', which would suggest PNA *aden. However, final *ŋ usually deleted in Mauwake, whereas final *n was retained.

*ag- 'to see' (<PNA *ag-)
*ar- 'become' (<PNA *ar-)
*arek 'trunk, piece' (< PNA *arek)
*ari 'later' (< PNA *ari)
*ariwak 'arrow'
*aw- 'to do, get, take' (see PNA *aw-)
*awurun 'always'

Tibor *awurun
Mok: owurun
Pam: avulun
HA: awurun
Kow: awurun’a
Kumil *awurun
Bep: awurun
Mau: aawurun

*a > o in Mokati unexplained. Kowaki has suffixed unknown element.

*begin (<PNA *begen)
*ben 'adze/axe' (< PNA *ben)
*beren 'road' (<PNA *beren)

*bew 'pus' (see PNA *bew)
*bibik- 'be afraid'

Tibor *bibik-
Mok: bibik-, bewik-
Pam: pipiha 'fear'
HA: bimbik-
Maw: pipi-

Kumil *pipe-
Bep: pep-
Moe: pimpei-

*binat 'heavy' (<PNA *bin)
*biriw 'ripe'

Tibor *biriw 'ripe'
Mok: biliv
Pam: piliv
HA: biliv
Kow: piriv

Kumil *piriw
Bep: piriv
Moe: piruv
Mau: (biliwa, buliwa)

Mauwake terms are borrowings, as voiced stops are not found in directly inherited Mauwake words.

*bug- 'to sit' (<PNA *bug-)
*degen (<PNA *degen)
*duar 'house'

Tibor *duar
Mok: dual
Pam: tual
Maw: tuar
Kow: toar
**Kumil *gor**

Moe: *kor*
Mau: *koora*

This may be related to Proto-Kaukombar *dawa.*

*eba 'net bag (< PNA *eba)*
*ebak 'underneath*

Kow: *empa'*
Bep: *epa'*

**egi**

**Tibor *egi**

HA: *enggi*
Kow: *e'i, e'i*

**Kumil *egi 'banana'*

Bep: *e'i*
Moe: *nkgi*
Mau: *akia*

See also Proto-Tibor *ena 'banana'*

**eg- 'to see' (see PNA *ag-)**

**emawe 'namesake'**

Pam: *emawe*
Mau: *-mawa*

**en-*, *enim- 'to eat' (see PNA *an-)**
**ereb- 'come down'**

**Tibor *orob- 'descend'**

Mok: *lev- 'come down'*
PAM: *olop- 'come down'*
HA: *olomb- 'go down'*
Maw: *orop- 'go down'*

**Kumil *erep- 'fall, drop'**

Bep: *erep-
Moe: *erp-
Mau: *(orowow-)*

Mauwake **orop-*. PKT *ereb- resembles Manep-Barem *arub- 'fall', but the vowels aren't cognate.

**ereke 'road' (<PNA *arake)**

*fa, *ape *fa, 'left'*

**Tibor *faya, *ape *faya**

Mok: *ape faya*
Pam: ape faiya
HA: faya
Maw: feya
Kow: faya

Kumil
Bep: fa
Moe: ampe fa

*ape *fa is 'hand+left'.

gagi 'cassowary'
Tibor *gagi
HA: kaki
Maw: kaki
Kow: 'a'i

Kumil *gaki
Bep: 'ahi
Moe: kaki
Mau: kiiya

*ganua 'fern type' (edible)
Tibor
HA: ganeng ganua

Kumil
Mau: kanua

*gema 'liver' (<PNA *gemaŋ)
giri 'turn' (<PNA *girik-)
g(a/e)mug 'string'
Tibor
Pam: kamung

Kumil
Mau: kemuka

gogor 'gorge, valley'
Tibor
Pam: fa kokol 'valley'

Kumil
Mau: kokora 'ravine, gorge'

According to Tupper (2012: 194) kokol also has the extended metaphorical meaning of 'space between conversation partners'. Berghäll's Mauwake dictionary does not list a similar meaning for kokora, but does have an entry kokoreriya (root: kokorer-) which means 'to become stuck in a narrow place' which may be derived from the addition of *ar- 'become'. PKT *gogor may therefore also have had an extended meaning of 'space between'.
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*gub 'plant shoot'
  Tibor
    Pam: kupu 'shoot, any protrusion'
  Kumil
    Mau: kupa 'seedling'

*guf 'thigh'
  Tibor *gufu
    Mok: gu, anenggu
    Pam: gguvu, anenguvu
    HA: humba nanggovu
    Maw: nggubu
    Kow: hupan'uvu
  Kumil *gufa
    Bep: uf enem
    Moe: kuwere'
    Mau: kufa

Mokati and Pamosu have compounds with reflexes of Proto-Tibor *ane 'leg' as the first element. Hember Avu and Kowaki include reflexes of *kupa 'leg', and reflexes of *ane as well. Bepour is a compound with enem < PNA *kanam 'base, source' as the second element. Moere is a compound with are' < PNA *arek 'trunk, piece' as the second element.

*ib 'feces' (< PNA *ib)
*iben 'vagina' (< PNA *iben)
*idiw- 'go' (PNA *iduw-)
*ifa 'snake'
  Tibor
    Maw: iwa
  Kumil
    Bep: ifa
    Moe: iwua
    Mau: ifa

*ifer 'salt, ocean' (< PNA *iper)
*ifif 'dry, dry coconut'
  Tibor *ifif
    Mok: yi
    Pam: iviv
    Maw: iwib
    Kow: iwib
  Kumil *ifif
    Bep: ifiw
    Moe: iwiw
    Mau: ififa
*ig- 'to be' (<PNA *ig-)
*iguar 'penis'(<PNA *iguar)
*igum- 'burn, cook' (<PNA *igum-)
*igum 'theft'

**Tibor**

Pam: ikum ela 'steal', mande ikum 'thief'

**Kumil**

Mau: ikuma 'theft', ikum 'illicitly', ikum aaw-. steal, ikumar'-steal'

*igum *ar- 'steal'

**Tibor**

Pam: ikum ela 'steal'

**Kumil**

Mau: ikumar'-steal'

*imena *ar- 'appear'

**Tibor**

Pam: imena el-

**Kumil**

Mau: imenar-

*in- 'sleep' (<PNA *in-)
*ir- 'go up' (<PNA *ir-)
*irin 'all' (< PNA *irin)
*irub- 'come up' (< PNA *irub-)
*kafil 'fat, grease' (<PNA *kapil)
*k(a/o)guwa 'knot'

**Tibor**

Pam: ongguv

**Kumil**

Mau: akuwa

This reconstruction may not be valid, as the vowels in the first syllable don't correspond.

*kam 'sun, day'(<PNA *kam)
*kamun 'pan' (<PNA *kamun)
*karim- 'swell' (<PNA *karim-)
*kebar 'mouth' (<PNA *kebuar)
*ked 'blood' (<PNA *ked)
*kedat 'red' (<PNA *ked-at)
*kefak 'flying fox' (<PNA *kepak)
*kelew 'tail'

**Tibor **kerew

Mok: kele

Pam: elev

HA: helep

Maw: erep

Kow: hereb
Kumil *erew  
Bep: erew  
Mau: alewa

kenag 'tooth' (< PNA *-nag, *nenag)  
kenam 'base' (< PNA *kenam)  
kenag 'tooth'  
kubuk 'bird's nest fern'

Tibor  
Pam: umbuk

Kumil  
Mau: upua

Prenasalization in Pamosu indicates an initial *k. If the PKT form were *ubuk then the expected Pamosu reflex would be upuk.

kuma 'banana bunch'

Tibor  
Mok: kuma  
Pam: uma

Kumil  
Mau: uma

kumag 'testicles' (< PNA *kumag)  
kurum 'valley' (< PNA *kurum)  
ol 'thin'

Tibor  
Pam: olol

Kumil  
Mau: ola

It is not uncommon in Pamosu for words for qualities to be reduplications, with no equivalent unreduplicated base, as in emem 'sourness' okok 'state of being cold' (Tupper 2012: 197). This is seen also in Proto-Tibor *fivi 'bad' (Pamosu fivi), from reduplicated PNA *pi.

*omad (distributive suffix)

Tibor  
Pam: -omand

Kumil  
Mau: -omak

ma 'small flying insect'

Tibor  
Mok: mambusen 'mosquito', misisimur, mususumur 'sunfly', masen 'mosquito'
Reflexes of PKT *ma are found in the names of several small flying insects, especially in the Tibor languages. Nasalization of *b in Mokati and Hember Avu mambusen ‘mosquito’ and Pamosu mambupu ‘butterfly’ indicates that ma- is a separate morpheme in this words, which triggers nasalization on the stop (see Chapter 3 for an explanation of nasal spreading in Tibor languages).

Reflexes of *ma are not as apparent in the Kumil languages. It is probably seen in Moere masen ‘mosquito’, since the corresponding Bepour form is simply sen. Bepour mu'uru and Moere mukuru ‘fly’ probably reflect *ma plus *kuduruk 'fly'.

*made 'person' (<PNA *madeŋ)
*me NEG (<PNA *me)
*mekiw 'land, ground' (<PNA (mekiw)
*men 'breast' (<PNA *men)
*megam 'star, year' (<PNA *megam)
*megem 'woman'

Tibor *made *megem
HA: mande menggem
Kow: mande me'em

Kumil *megem
Bep: me'em
Moe: mengkem

*merew NEG

Tibor
HA: melep

Kumil *merew
Bep: merev
Moe: merev

*merir-, *merirew- 'vomit' (<PNA *melil-, *melilew-)
*mid- 'shoot, pierce' (<PNA *mid-, *midet-)
*munag 'bird' (< PNA *munag)
*nam 'tree' (<PNA *ŋam)
*namenam 'wind'

Tibor *namenam
HA: namenam
Kow: namenam

Kumil *namenam
*nawiak 'tongue'
  Tibor *nawiak
  HA: nawiak
  Maw: nawiak
  Kow: nawia'
  Kumil *nawe'
  Moe: nawe'

*sarem 'pandanus'
  Tibor 'pandanus'
  HA: sarem
  Kow: sarem
  Kumil
  Bep: sarem
  Moe: sarem
  Mau: (sarema) 'grass'

The expected Moere reflex is **sarem. Mauwake sarema 'grass' is similar in form, but the meaning is different.

*selew 'sand, shore' (<PNA *selew)
*sen 'mosquito', *ma-sen
  Tibor
  Mok: masen
  Pam: masen
  HA: masen
  Maw: masen
  Kow: masen
  Kumil
  Bep: sen
  Moe: masen

In every language but Bepour, *sen has combined with *ma 'small flying insect'. It is not clear if Bepour sen is an independent word, but we would expect addition of final -a if it is.

*susur 'ant sp.' (< PNA *tutur)
*tabir 'plate' (<PNA *tabir)
*tebik 'rain' (<PNA *t(e/i)bik)
*ub- 'to plant' (<PNA *ub-)

*ubin 'mountain'
  Tibor *ka upin
  Mok: kambin
Tibor is a compound with *ka 'place'.

*uf- 'dance' (< PNA *up-)
*um- 'to die' (< PNA *um-)
*un- 'to draw water' (< PNA *un-)
*unim 'name' (< PNA *unim)
*ur 'black, night'
Tibor 'black, dark'
  Mok: ulu
  Pam: ulu
  HA: uru

Kumil
  Mau: uura 'night'

*usaiw 'bird of paradise'
Tibor
  Pam: usaiv
Kumil
  Mau: osaiwa

*wa 'pig, game animal' (< PNA *wa)*uyaw 'spear (<PNA *uyaw)
*waben 'arm, hand' (< PNA *waben)
*waben kuma 'wrist, shoulder' (< PNA *waben *kumaŋ)
*wis 'hawk'
Tibor
  Mok: wis
Kumil
  Mau: maa wiisa

*yaniw 'yellow'
Tibor *yaniw
  Pam: aniv
  Maw: aniw
  Kow: aniw
Kumil *yaniw
  Bep: yaniw
  Moe: aniw
10. Proto-Numugen

In this Chapter, I outline sound correspondences between the Numugen languages, and reconstruct Proto-Numugen phonemes and lexical items. I also discuss the sound changes that took place in Proto-Numugen relative to Proto-Northern Adelbert, and later in individual Numugen languages.

As noted in Chapter 5, there are some indications of a closer relationship between Usan, Yaben, and Karian, on one hand, and Parawen, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata, on the other. Usan, Yaben, and Karian share the innovation of *k > s/i, and Ukurgiuma, and Yarawata all share the loss of final *w.\(^{122}\) Additionally, there are numerous word sets which have cognates only in one group or the other. Cognates shared exclusively between Parawen, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata may be due to close contact rather than shared inheritance, since their territories are contiguous. This explanation is less applicable to Karian, Usan and Yaben, since Karian territory is not near Usan and Yaben. Although Karian, Usan, and Yaben may be more closely related, the number of exclusively shared cognates could also be due to the fact that these three language have the most lexical data available. Cognates shared only by these three languages could very well be found in other Numugen languages as well, but are not found in Z'graggen's wordlists. For the purposes of reconstruction, I treat a comparison of any two Numugen languages as reflecting Proto-Numugen, so that a reconstruction based on Usan and Karian, for example, is reconstructed to Proto-Numugen, not Proto-Usan-Karian-Yaben.

\(^{122}\) A possible exception is the Yarawata reflex of *niaw 'egg, breast', which Z'graggen records as nia for 'egg' and niaw for 'breast'.
10.1 Proto-Numugen phonemes

Tables 10.1a-b show the reconstructed Proto-Numugen phonemes. Proto-Numugen is the only branch of PNA which retains the four-vowel system found in PNA, although the vowel systems of the individual languages all underwent further changes which depart from this. Proto-Numugen *s and *t are in complementary distribution, and can be considered as belonging to the same proto-phoneme.

Table 10.1a: Proto-Numugen vowel phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>*i</td>
<td>*u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>*e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lwo</td>
<td></td>
<td>*a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10.1b: Proto-Numugen consonant phonemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
<td>*b</td>
<td>*d, *t</td>
<td>*k, *g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>*m</td>
<td>*n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td>*r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
<td>*w</td>
<td>*y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numugen sound correspondences

Tables 10.1c-f illustrate the sound correspondences used to reconstruct Proto-Numugen phonemes.

Table 10.1c: Numugen vowel correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*e</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[+labial]</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_C#</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a, â</td>
<td>a, ø</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 10.1d: Numugen glide correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Proto-Numugen reconstructions, *t and *s are in complementary distribution, with *s found adjacent to *i, and *t elsewhere.

### Table 10.1e: Numugen obstruent correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*b</td>
<td>V_V</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*d</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*t</td>
<td>i, i_</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*s</td>
<td><em>i, i</em></td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*g</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*k</td>
<td>_i</td>
<td>k~?</td>
<td>?~∅</td>
<td>k~?</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_#</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>∅</td>
<td>∅</td>
<td>∅~k</td>
<td>∅</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>∅</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 10.1f: Numugen nasal and liquid correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>*m</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>ny</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r~l</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10.2 Proto-Numugen innovations

In this section, I outline the changes which took place in Proto-Numugen relative to Proto-Northern Adelbert. The Numugen languages share four changes:

---

123 A probable fifth change is the merger of PNA *r and *l. All Numugen languages only have one liquid in their phoneme inventories, and its realization varies as r~l. However, the only PNA reconstruction with *l that has reflexes in
1) $^\eta > n$
2) $^p > \emptyset/#_$
3) $^p > w/V_V$
4) $^s > \emptyset$

*$^\eta > ^n$

PNA *guaŋ 'skin' and *kumaŋ 'nape' reconstructions with final $^\eta$ show have widespread reflexes in the Numugen languages, which show that $^\eta$ merged with $^n$ in Numugen. Isolated Ukuriguma and Usan reflexes of other PNA reconstructions also show this merger.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*guaŋ 'skin'</td>
<td>*guan</td>
<td>gwanu</td>
<td>goan</td>
<td>guən</td>
<td>goana</td>
<td>guan</td>
<td>guana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kumaŋ 'nape'</td>
<td>*kuman</td>
<td>'umanu</td>
<td>uman</td>
<td>kuman</td>
<td>kumana</td>
<td>kuman</td>
<td>kuman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*madeŋ 'man'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>madon</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*muŋ 'husband'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>mun</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*maiŋ 'weak'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>mein</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*^p > ^\emptyset/#_*

There are few Proto-Numugen words with reflex of PNA initial $^p$. However, they all show that $^p$- deleted in all Numugen languages, as illustrated in Table 10.2b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*par- 'call'</td>
<td>*ar-</td>
<td>al-</td>
<td>ar-</td>
<td>al-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>ar-</td>
<td>ar-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*perem 'floor'</td>
<td>*erem</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>orom</td>
<td>alam</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*piruw 'hole'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>ilu</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*^p > ^w/V_V*

Intervocally, PNA $^p$ merged with $^w$ as Proto-Numugen $^w$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*iper 'salt, ocean'</td>
<td>*iwer</td>
<td>iwalu</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>yuar</td>
<td>iwar</td>
<td>iwol</td>
<td>iwała</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*up- 'dance'</td>
<td>*uw</td>
<td>uya-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>uy-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>uw-</td>
<td>w-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numugen languages is *selew 'sand'. These liquids in these reflexes have the same correspondence as for $^r$. 418
*s > ∅

Only two PNA reconstructions with *s have reflexes in Numugen languages, but these indicate that *s deleted in Proto-Numugen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*selew 'sand'</td>
<td>*elew</td>
<td>alo</td>
<td>oro sow\textsuperscript{124}</td>
<td>araw</td>
<td>arorowa</td>
<td>ole</td>
<td>alowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kasin 'mosquito'</td>
<td>*kain</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>kaina</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>kaina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.3 Sound changes in Usan

\*k > ?/#\_  

Proto-Numugen \*k has become a glottal stop (<q > in Usan orthography) word-initially, as in *kwedi 'banana' > qori, *kuda 'belly' > qur, and *kemi 'bow' > qemi.

\*k > ∅/V\_  

\*k deleted in non-initial position, as in *ikaw 'dog' > iau, and *dukun 'cough' tuun, and *gutuk 'vagina' > kut.

\*k > s/\_i  

\*k became s before a high front vowel, as in *kibem 'hand drum' > sibem, and *kikwa 'chop' > su-\textsuperscript{125}.

\textsuperscript{124} The meaning of the element sow in Usan oro sow is not known.

\textsuperscript{125} su- 'chop' also entail a subsequent change of *i > u, also seen in Yaben and Karian.
Final *\( \bar{g} \)/ deleted, as in *nanag 'tooth' > nân, and *ikamag 'sore' > iâm 'wound'.

*d > r/V_

*d lenited to \( r \) after a vowel, as in Proto-Numugen *kuduruk 'fly' > urur, *kudag 'fruit' > qur, and *kugud 'shadow' > ugur. Since *r is not found word-initially, the result of this change is that *d and *r are now in complementary distribution, with d word-initially, and r post-vocalically. This has resulted in a merger of *d and *r as /d/.

*d > nd/NV_

A possible exception to the change of *d > r is that in some word sets, *d, as well as *r, appears to have become prenasalized nd when the preceding consonant was a nasal, as in *merir- 'vomit' > mendir-, *mudiram 'vein' > mindiram, and *madilam 'neck' > mindiram. However, *r is reflected as r, not nd, in *maragwan > morogoan 'bird'.

*e > o, *a > o

Proto-Numugen *e and *a have rounded to o in some words, for example, *memer 'cordyline' > momor, *igem 'green, new' > igom, and *kagam 'smell' > qogom. Although this rounding always occurs in the environment of a labial consonant, it is not clear if it is sporadic, or triggered by a particular condition, as *a and *e have not rounded in similar words, such as *kemi 'bow' > qemi, and *mamur 'frog' > mamur.
Final vowel deletion

Word-final *u and *a deleted, as in Proto-Numugen *ununu 'dirty' > unun, *barima 'tomorrow' > barim, and *kuda 'belly' > qur. Word-final *i did not delete: *ibi 'feces' > ibi, and *tadi 'head' > tari.

Word-final *e is only found in some pronouns and other function words. It did not delete in Usan pronouns, such as *ye '1SG' > ye-yâ, but did in the locative adposition *te, which became an affix -t.

Development of â

It is not clear how Usan developed â. In some cases, it seems to have developed from word-final *e as in the pronouns *ye '1SG' > ye-yâ, and *ne '2SG' > ne-nâ, or from *e which became word final in Usan, as in *imek 'younger brother' > imâ. In other cases, it has developed from *a in a closed syllable, as in *nanag 'tooth' > nân and *ikamag 'sore' > iam 'wound'. However, *a is reflected as a in closed syllables in other words, such as *ginam 'village' > ginam. Stress, which is phonemic in Usan, may play a role, and this possibility warrants further investigation.

Relative chronology of Usan sound changes

Deletion of final *k and *g feed final vowel deletion. This is clear because words with final *k and *g deleted the previous vowel as well (unless it was *i, which didn't delete word finally). This is illustrated in Table 10.3a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*gutuk</th>
<th>*buruk</th>
<th>*nanag</th>
<th>*ikamag</th>
<th>*kuduruk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*k &gt; Ø/V</td>
<td>gutu</td>
<td>buru</td>
<td>nana</td>
<td>iama</td>
<td>urur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*g &gt; Ø/#</td>
<td>gut</td>
<td>bur</td>
<td>nan</td>
<td>iâm</td>
<td>urur</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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10.4 Sound changes in Karian

*<i>k</i> > 0/_{#}

Word-final *k deleted, as in *kuduruk 'fly' > Boia kururu, Barto ururu, *buruk 'pig' > buru, and *gutuk 'vagina' > gutu.

*<i>k</i> > s/_{i}

As in Usan, *k became s before *i, as in *kibem 'hand drum' > sivam, and *kikwa- 'chop' > sukwa.

*<i>g</i> > k/_{#} (Barto)

Word-final *g devoiced to <i>k</i> in the Barto dialect, as in *duag 'snake' > Barto: duək, and *yag 'water' > Barto: yuək. Voicing was retained in the Boia dialect (duəg 'snake', yuəg 'water')

*d > r/V_{

Proto-Numugen *d became <i>r</i> after a vowel, as in *ked 'blood' > kar and *kuduruk 'fly' > Boia kururu, Barto ururu. Since *r is not found word-finally, the result is that *d and *r have merged in nearly every environment. The exception is before *i, where *d is became <i>j</i>, as in *kuadi 'banana' > Boia kwaji, and *r is reflected as r, as in *karim- 'swell' > Boia karim-.

*<i>e</i> > a/_{+}

Proto-Numugen *e became a morpheme-finally. Final *e occurs only in pronouns in other function words. This applied in the independent pronouns *ye '1SG' > yə, *ne '2SG' > nə, and *we
'3SG' > ə, as well as the object marking prefixes *ye- '1SG.OBJ' > yə and *ne- '2SG.OBJ' > nə-. It also applied in the locative *te > tə and negator *me > mə.

*e > a/ C

Non-final *e became a, as in Proto-Numugen *kemi > kami, *uben > uvan, and *ked 'blood' > kar. An exception to this rule is the 3PL pronoun wər < *wer, which irregularly has a schwa, developed on analogy with the other pronouns.

*a > ə/ C.

Proto-Numugen *a became ə in closed syllables, as in *ginam 'village' > ginəm and *kudag 'fruit' > Barto urək. In monosyllabes, *a is sometimes reflected as a diphthong urə, such as *nam 'tree' > nuəm, and *yag 'water' > yuəg.

**Relative chronology of Karian sound changes**

In the Barto dialect, which underwent devoicing of final *g, this happened after the deletion of final *k. *g devoicing must have been complete by the time *k deletion took place. Otherwise, we would find **dua 'snake', and **nana 'tooth', with a reflex of ə for final *g, rather than the attested ∅ reflex of k.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 10.4a: relative chronology of Karian (Barto) sound changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*gutuk 'vagina'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*k &gt; ∅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*g &gt; k/ #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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10.5 Sound changes in Yaben

*\( k, \ g \rightarrow \emptyset / \_\# \)

Word-final *\( k \) deleted, as in *\( \text{buruk} \) 'pig' \( \rightarrow \) \( bulu \) and *\( \text{gutuk} \) 'vagina' \( \rightarrow \) \( gutu \). As mentioned in Chapter 5, Proto-Numugen *\( k \) is optionally realized as a glottal stop word-initially and intervocally, suggesting that there is a change in progress to prevocalic *\( k \) as well.

Word-final *\( g \) also deleted, as in *\( \text{nanag} \) 'tooth' \( \rightarrow \) \( nana \), and *\( \text{kudag} \) 'fruit' \( \rightarrow \) \( kuda \). Two exceptions to this rule are *\( \text{yag} \) 'water' \( \rightarrow \) \( yagu \) and *\( \text{yeg} \) 'this' \( \rightarrow \) \( yegu \). These are the only monosyllabic reconstructions ending in *\( g \), so this may have been a conditioned change that did not apply to monosyllables.

*\( k \rightarrow s/\_i \)

*k palatalized to \( s \) before *\( i \) in *\( \text{kibem} \) 'hand drum' \( \rightarrow \) \( siwamu \), and *\( \text{kikwa} \) 'chop' \( \rightarrow \) \( so'o- \).\(^{126}\)

*\( e \rightarrow \partial/\_\# \)

Word-final *\( e \) shifted to \( \partial \), as in *\( \text{ye} \) '1SG' \( \rightarrow \) \( yə \), *\( \text{ne} \) '2SG', and *\( \text{weyek NEG} \) \( \rightarrow \) \( uwə \).

*\( e \rightarrow a/\_C \)

Proto-Numugen *\( e \) merged with *\( a \) as \( a \), as in *\( \text{ked} \) 'blood' \( \rightarrow \) \( 'adu \), and *\( \text{iwer salt} \) \( \rightarrow \) \( iwalu \).

However, as mentioned in Chapter 5, there is variation between \( a \) and \( \partial \) in some words, possibly indicating that this change is still in progress. Additionally, the 3PL pronoun \( \text{wed} \) retains \( \partial \), likely influenced by 3SG \( \text{wə} \).

\(^{126}\) Like in Usan and Karian *\( \text{kikwa} \) also underwent a vowel change which changed the conditioning *\( i \) to a back vowel.
Proto-Numugen *a followed by a back vowel *u or a glide *w sometimes coalesced into o, as in *ikaw 'dog' > i'o, and and *kurunaw 'liver' > kuluno. However, coalescence is not seen in some other words, such as *niau 'breast' > nyau. It is not clear whether au and o are in free variation synchronically.

10.6 Sound changes in Parawen

*\( k, *g > \emptyset /_\# \)

Word-final *k deleted, as in *buruk 'pig' > bulu, and *ibituk 'tail feathers' > ibita. Word-final *g also deleted, as in *ikamag 'sore' > ikama, and *kudag 'fruit' > kura. As in Yaben, this did not apply to monosyllabic *yag 'water' > yaga.

*\( w > \emptyset /_\# \)

Parawen deleted word-final *w, as in *umaw 'black' > uma, *niaw 'breast' > nia, and *ikaw 'dog' > ika.

*\( e > a \)

Proto-Numugen *e became a, as in *kemi 'bow' > kami, *iwer 'salt' > iwara, and the pronouns *ye > '1SG' > ya-na, *ne '2sg' > na-na.
10.7 Sound changes in Ukuriguma

Ukuriguma has undergone fewer sound changes than the other Numugen languages. It is an important language for reconstructing Proto-Numugen for two reasons. First, it is the only language to retain final *k, as in *buruk 'pig' > buruk, and *kuduruk 'fly' > kuduruk. It is also, along with Usan, one of the two Numugen languages which did not merge *e and *a.

*w > Ø/ #

Like Parawen, Ukuriguma has lost word-final *w, as in *ikaw 'dog' > ika, and *nanaw 'hot' > nana.

*e > o

Proto-Numugen *e has shifted to o, as in *uben 'hand, arm' > ubon, *iwer 'salt' > iwol, and *ked 'blood' > kod.

10.8 Sound changes in Yarawata

*k, *g > Ø/ #

Word-final velar stops *k and *g deleted, as in *buruk 'pig' > bulua and *kuduruk 'fly' > kulu, *ikamag 'sore' > ikama, and *kudag 'fruit' > kuda. As with other languages, monosyllabic *yag 'water' > yaga is an exception.
*w > Ø/ #

Word-final *w deleted, as in *ikaw 'dog' > ika, *umaw 'black' > uma, and *nanaw 'hot' > nana. There are a couple of words were final *w is retained, with no apparent conditioning factor. For example, *waw 'child' > waw, and *niaw 'breast, egg' has the reflexes nia 'egg' and niaw 'breast'.

*e > a

Yarawata merged *e and *a as a, as in *iwer 'salt' > iwala, *kesi 'sap' > kasia, and *kemi 'bow' > kamia.

10.9 Proto-Numugen Reconstructions

In this section, I list the cognate sets supporting my Proto-Numugen reconstructions. Proto-Numugen reconstructions which have cognates outside of Numugen are listed here, but the cognate sets are only presented in Chapter 7 under the relevant PNA reconstruction. Before presenting the cognate sets, I first discuss the reconstruction of Proto-Numugen pronouns and verb suffixes, as well as kinship terms with multiple different stems.

Tables 10.9a-b present free pronouns and possessive pronouns in the Numugen languages, alongside the Proto-Numugen pronoun reconstructions. The free pronouns can be used for both subjects and objects in Yaben and Karian. The correspondences between the free pronouns are straightforward, with only a few irregular changes. Parawen, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata have suffixed the base forms with -na.
Proto-Numugen pronouns

Table 10.9a: Proto-Numugen free pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Num.</th>
<th>Yaben</th>
<th>Usan</th>
<th>Karian</th>
<th>Parawen</th>
<th>Ukuriguma</th>
<th>Yarawata</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ye 1SG</td>
<td>ye ~ yə</td>
<td>ye</td>
<td>yə</td>
<td>ya-na</td>
<td>e-na</td>
<td>ya-na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ne 2SG</td>
<td>ne ~ yə</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>nə</td>
<td>na-na</td>
<td>ne-na</td>
<td>na-na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*we 3SG</td>
<td>wo ~ wo</td>
<td>wo</td>
<td>wə</td>
<td>wa-na</td>
<td>wo-na</td>
<td>wa-na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*in 1PL</td>
<td>in</td>
<td>in</td>
<td>in</td>
<td>ina-na</td>
<td>ino</td>
<td>in-ana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*an 2PL</td>
<td>an</td>
<td>an</td>
<td>an</td>
<td>aina</td>
<td>ani</td>
<td>an-ana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wed 3PL</td>
<td>wər ~ wər</td>
<td>wuri</td>
<td>wər</td>
<td>warina</td>
<td>wodor</td>
<td>wada-ana</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Yaben, Parawen, Ukuriguma and Yarawata possessive pronouns are formed by suffixing the reflex of PNA *-ner to the free pronouns. The addition of epenthetic u or a in Yaben, Parawen, and Yarawata is a regular sound change, as is the change of Ukuriguma *e to o. The change retention of *e as e in Ukuriguma 1SG.POSS enor is irregular, but is found also in the 1SG free pronoun and object suffix.

Table 109.b: Proto-Numugen Possessive pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Numugen</th>
<th>Yaben</th>
<th>Usan</th>
<th>Karian</th>
<th>Parawen</th>
<th>Ukuriguma</th>
<th>Yarawata</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*yener 1SG</td>
<td>yɔnału</td>
<td>yonou</td>
<td>yəna</td>
<td>enara</td>
<td>enor</td>
<td>enara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nener2SG</td>
<td>nonalu</td>
<td>ninou</td>
<td>nəna</td>
<td>nanara</td>
<td>nonor</td>
<td>nanara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wener3SG</td>
<td>wonalu</td>
<td>nonou</td>
<td>wəna</td>
<td>wanara</td>
<td>wonor</td>
<td>wanara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*iner 1PL</td>
<td>inyinalu</td>
<td>aninou</td>
<td>inyina</td>
<td>inara</td>
<td>inor</td>
<td>inara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*aner 2PL</td>
<td>anyinalu</td>
<td>wonou</td>
<td>anyina</td>
<td>ainara</td>
<td>anor</td>
<td>anara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*wediner 3PL</td>
<td>wajinalu</td>
<td>wurinou</td>
<td>wəjina</td>
<td>warinara</td>
<td>wodor</td>
<td>wada-ara</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yaben and Karian do not mark direct objects on most verbs, but have a special set of object-marking pronouns used only on the verb 'see'. The 1SG and 2SG forms resemble the free pronouns, but are distinct. Free pronouns occur before the negator nə, while the object-marking prefix attaches directly to the verb.
Furthermore, 3SG objects are not marked, and the plural object prefixes are derived from the free forms plus the additional element *ibə-, which has cognates in other Northern Adelbert languages (PNA *ib-).

Table 10.9c: Proto-Numugen object prefixes for *g- 'see'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yaben</th>
<th>Karian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ye- 1SG</td>
<td>yə-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ne- 2SG</td>
<td>nə-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*∅- 3SG</td>
<td>∅-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*inibe- 1PL</td>
<td>inibə-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*anibe- 2PL</td>
<td>anjibə-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ibe- 3PL</td>
<td>ibə-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proto-Numugen subject/tense markers

Table 10.9d compares the Yaben and Karien non-future/subject markers with the Usan remote past markers, which can be segmented into a subject marker, followed by the past tense marker -ei. When the Yaben and Karian non-future markers are used alone, they indicate present tense. If they are followed by the past tense marker -i, they indicate past tense. The Usan, Yaben, and Karian forms are used to reconstruct Proto-Numugen past tense/subject markers.

Based on Usan -ei and Yaben and Karien -i, it is also possible to reconstruct a Proto-Numugen past tense marker *-(e)i.

---

127 Usan also has present and near past markers, whose forms are related to the remote past markers in most cases. I compare the remote past markers here because they are most clearly cognate with Yaben and Karien (as well as other Northern Adelbert languages).

128 Although none of these three languages uses these markers alone to indicate past tense, strictly speaking, but since they are cognate with past tense markers in other Northern Adelbert languages, I assign this meaning to the Proto-Numugen markers as well.
Table 10.9d: Proto-Numugen tense/subject markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Num.</th>
<th>Usan (REM)</th>
<th>Yaben (NFUT)</th>
<th>Karian (NFUT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG.PST *-Vm</td>
<td>-Vm-ei</td>
<td>-m</td>
<td>-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG.PST *Vn</td>
<td>-Vn-ei</td>
<td>-an</td>
<td>-an, -ən</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG.PST *-Vr</td>
<td>-Vr-ei</td>
<td>-ad</td>
<td>-ar, -ər</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL.PST *-min</td>
<td>-Vmin-ei</td>
<td>-min</td>
<td>-min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL.PST *-man</td>
<td>-Vman-ei</td>
<td>-man</td>
<td>-man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL.PST *-mid</td>
<td>-Vmir-ei</td>
<td>-mid</td>
<td>-mir</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Future Tense

Two sets of future tense/subject markers can be reconstructed for Proto-Numugen, based on the future tense endings in Usan, Yaben and Karian. As discussed in Chapter 4, both Usan and Karian have two sets of markers for future tense. In Usan, the distinction is between future and uncertain future. The semantic distinction between the two sets in Karian is not clear. The first set of Proto-Numugen future tense markers, illustrated in Table 10.9e, is reconstructed based on the Usan future tense markers and the Karian Future I markers. Reflexes are not attested in the Yaben data. There are only two forms reconstructed for this set, *-ib 'SG.FUT', and *-ub 'PL.FUT'.

Table 10.9e: Proto-Numugen future tense/subject markers (set I)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ProtoNum</th>
<th>Usan (Fut)</th>
<th>Karian (Fut I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>*-ib 'SG.FUT'</td>
<td>-ib-âm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>-ib-ân</td>
<td>-ivin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>-ib-â</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>*-ub 'PL.FUT'</td>
<td>-ub-oun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>-ub-ounon</td>
<td>-uvun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>-ub-our</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Usan (regular) future tense markers shown in Table 10.9e are clearly related to the Karian Future I markers, although the paradigms in the two languages have two large differences. First, the
Usan future tense has a different marker for every person/number combination, while Karian Future I only distinguishes singular and plural. Second, the Usan future tense markers -ib and -ub are followed by the present tense endings, which together form the future tense conjugation. Karian -ivin and -ivun are formed from the elements -iv and -uv, followed by Future II markers '1SG.FUT' -in, and '1PL.FUT' -un, respectively. It is not clear whether a Karian speaker would consider -ivin and -uvun to be composed of two morphemes, but this was clearly the case historically, as the first syllables correspond perfectly with Usan -ib and -ub.

Table 10.9f shows the second set of reconstructed Proto-Numugen future tense markers, based on the Yaben future markers, the Usan uncertain future markers, and the Karian future II markers. Two irregularities in the correspondence are the loss of the Usan initial vowel, and the change of *e to o in Usan -non '2PL.FUT', and -nor '3PL.FUT'. This rounding was likely influenced by the preceding *u, which has now been lost in Usan. The Proto-Numugen forms (as well as the Yaben and Karian forms) can be further segmented into an initial vowel *-i for singular and *-u for plural (resembling the reconstructed forms in Table 10.9e), plus the elements *-n, *-nen, and *-ner, indicating first, second, and third person, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ProtoNum</th>
<th>Yaben (Fut)</th>
<th>Usan (UF)</th>
<th>Karian (Fut II)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG *-i-n</td>
<td>-in</td>
<td>-n</td>
<td>-in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG *-i-nen</td>
<td>-inan</td>
<td>-nen</td>
<td>-inan~inən</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG *-i-ner</td>
<td>-inəd</td>
<td>-ner</td>
<td>-inar~inər</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL *-u-n</td>
<td>-un</td>
<td>-n</td>
<td>-un</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL *-u-nen</td>
<td>-unan</td>
<td>-non</td>
<td>-unan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL *-u-ner</td>
<td>-unəd</td>
<td>-nor</td>
<td>-unar~unər</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Usan, negated future events use the uncertain future markers, followed by the suffix -ei, as in the sentence in (1002). In Karian, negated future events use the cognate Future I markers, followed the suffix -i, illustrated in (1003). Reflexes of the usual negator *me also precede the verb.

(1002) ya dâr-a qomboni me yar-iner-ei (Usan)
water come_down-3SG.DS airplane NEG come-3SG.UF-NEG
'When it rains, the airplane will (=can) not come.'
(Reesink 1987: 107)

(1003) yə nənab mə sikar-iny-i (Karian)
1SG 2SG.COM NEG come_up-1SG.FUT-NEG
'I won't come up with you.'

Reesink (1987) writes, "This construction has overtones of ontological impossibility or moral prohibition". It is by no means clear that the equivalent construction in Karian has the same implications, although it is compatible with the Karian data. From the Usan and Karian constructions for negated future events, I reconstruct Proto-Numugen *-(e)i. This suffix happens to be identical in form with the reconstructed past tense suffix *-(e)i.

Kinship terms

Some kinship terms in Numugen languages have multiple different forms depending on the person and number of the possessor. For example, Usan nani 'mother' is used for first and second person possessors, and unor 'mother' is used for third person possessors. Table 10.9g shows Proto-Numugen reconstructions and supporting word sets for different forms for 'mother', 'father' and 'older brother'.

---

129 It is not clear if *e should be included in the reconstruction. Word final *-ai is usually reflected as -ai in Usan and -ei in Karian (phonemically /-ai/ with raising of a before i). However, there are no other reconstructed words which give an indication what the reflex of *-ei would be in the Numugen languages.
Table 10.9g: Proto-Numugen kinship terms with multiple forms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Proto-Numugen</th>
<th>Yaben</th>
<th>Usan</th>
<th>Karian</th>
<th>Parawen</th>
<th>Ukuriguma</th>
<th>Yarawata</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mother (1)</td>
<td>*na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>nan</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mother (2)</td>
<td>*nain</td>
<td>ninya</td>
<td>nani</td>
<td>ninya</td>
<td>nain</td>
<td>nanine</td>
<td>nai, nain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mother (3)</td>
<td>*unad</td>
<td>unadu</td>
<td>unor</td>
<td>unar</td>
<td>unara</td>
<td>unara</td>
<td>unad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>father (1)</td>
<td>*ta</td>
<td>ta</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>ta</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>ta</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>father (2)</td>
<td>*tain</td>
<td>tinya</td>
<td>tain</td>
<td>tinya</td>
<td>tain, teinie</td>
<td>taino</td>
<td>tai, teini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>father (3)</td>
<td>*ud</td>
<td>uda</td>
<td>ur</td>
<td>uda</td>
<td>uru</td>
<td>ude</td>
<td>uda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>older bro (1)</td>
<td>*bab</td>
<td>bavu</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>babu</td>
<td>bab</td>
<td>pabe</td>
<td>babo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>older bro (2)</td>
<td>*bain</td>
<td>bainya</td>
<td>bain</td>
<td>bainya</td>
<td>baini</td>
<td>paina</td>
<td>baini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>older bro (3)</td>
<td>*umam</td>
<td>umam</td>
<td>umom</td>
<td>umam</td>
<td>uman</td>
<td>umam</td>
<td>umom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sets labeled 'mother/father/older brother' (2) are used for first and second person possessors, while the (3) sets are for third person possessors. Z'graggen does not explicitly label the three forms for Parawen, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata, but I assume that they correspond to first, second, and third person possessors. It is not entirely clear what the distinction between the forms in the (1) and (2) sets are, as the forms in the (1) set are also used with first and second persons in Yaben and Karian.

There are a number of irregularities in the correspondences for *naina 'mother' and *taina 'father'. The Yaben, Usan, and Karian reflexes suggest final segments of the Parawen, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata forms do not fit any regular sound correspondence. Additionally, medial *a has been lost in Yaben and Karian reflexes, and Usan has metathesize *-in to -ni in *nain > nani.\textsuperscript{130} Since there is less information on Parawen, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata, the Proto-Numugen forms are based on Yaben, Usan, and Karian, where the correspondences are more regular.

\textsuperscript{130} Metathesis of the same segments is seen in Usan inaw < *niaw 'egg, breast'
Proto-Numugen vocabulary

Below I present the reconstructed Proto-Numugen vocabulary and supporting cognate sets. For those Proto-Numugen reconstructions which are inherited from PNA, I list the form of the reconstruction below, but the cognate sets are not shown. They can be under the corresponding reconstructed PNA item in Chapter 7. For some body parts and other nouns, Numugen languages have inalienably possessed stem that require a possessor prefix. Thos forms are indicated with a dash before the stem.

*abaw 'net bag' (<PNA *eba)
*ar- 'call' (PNA < *par-)
*arakai 'road' (<PNA *arake)
*awan 'white'
  Yab: awanu
  Usan: oan
  Kar:awan

*bab 'older brother' (<PNA *bab)
*bak-, *bakat- 'carve, sharpen' (<PNA *bak-)
*baina 'older brother (1/2.POSS) (see also *bab, *umam)
  Yab: bainya
  Usan: bain
  Kar: bainya
  Yar: baini
  Uku: baina
  Yar: baini

*barima 'tomorrow, yesterday'
  Yaben: balima
  Usan: barim
  Kar: balima
  Par: barimu 'tomorrow'
  Uku: barima 'tomorrow'
  Yar: balimu balimo 'tomorrow'

*beber 'star'
  Par: babara
  Uku: bobor
  Yar: babala
Possibly related to PNA *barat 'star, year'

*begen 'light' (<PNA *begen)
*ben 'axe' (<PNA *ben)
*bigu- 'put inside'
  Yab: bigw-  
  Usan: big-  
  Kar. (Boia): bigu-  
  Par: bigi-  
  Uku: bi-  
  Yar: bigi-

  Resembles Proto-Tibor *bik- 'put' but the velars don't match in voicing.

*binat (<PNA *bin-at)
*bu 'wing'
  Yab: bu  
  Usan: bu  
  Kar. (Boia): bu  
  Par: bua  
  Uku: bu  
  Yar: bua

*buruk 'pig' (PNA *buruk)
*degen 'long'; right (hand)' (<PNA *degen)
*dibur 'calf'
  Yab: jibulu  
  Usan: dibur  
  Kar. juwur

*dukun 'cough'
  Yab: du'un  
  Usan: duun  
  Kar. (Boia): dukun  
  Par: dukun  
  Uku: dukun  
  Yar: dukun

  The change of *u > a in Parawen is unexplained. Resembles Gavak dangun 'cough'.

*egi , *igi 'pot'
  Usan: egi  
  Kar: agi  
  Par: igi  
  Uku: igi  
  Yar: igia
Usan and Karian reflect *egi, while Parawen, Ukuriguma and Yarawata reflect igi.

*erew 'sand' (<PNA *selew)
*git 'body'
  Usan: git
  Kar: git

*guaten 'leg, bone'
  Yab: gwatanu
  Usan: goten
  Kar: guatan
  Par: kwatə ([kwatə] 'bone', [kɔtə] 'leg')
  Uku: kwotən ([kotən] 'bone', [kwotən] 'leg')
  Yar: kota ([kwotə] 'bone', [kota] 'leg')

Loss of the final nasal in Parawen and Yarawata is irregular. This could potentially be cognate with Proto-Kumil *okon. This would suggest PNA *(g/k)uaten, with one group having an irregular change in the initial voicing of the velar.

*gugum 'all'
  Yab: gugum
  Usan: gugum
  Kar: gugum

*gutuk 'vagina'
  Yab: gutu
  Usan: kut
  Kar: gutu
  Uku: kutuk

Manep kutuk 'vagina' is similar, but the initial velars are not cognate.

*ibi 'feces' (<PNA *ib)
*ikamag 'sore'
  Yab: i'ama
  Usan: i'am
  Kar (Boia): ikaməg
  Par: ikama
  Uku: ikamak
  Yar: ikama

*igem 'green, new' (see also *temen, *gawal)
  Usan: igom nob 'green'
  Kar: nomim igam 'green'
  Par: igama 'new'
  Uku: igom 'green'
Usan igom is used in other color terms, such as mian nob 'red' (lit: ripe color)

*igw- 'to be' (<PNA *ig-)
*iguar 'penis' (<PNA *iguar)
*igum- 'burn' (<PNA *igum-)
*ikab 'smoke' (<PNA *ikaw)
*ikaw 'dog'
  Yab: i'u
  Usan: iau
  Kar. (Boia): ikuə
  Kar. (Barto) yi'uə
  Par: ika
  Uku: ika
  Yar: ika

*im 'hair' (<PNA *im)
*imeka 'younger brother' (see also *umukuda, *bab)
  Yab: ima'a 'younger brother' (all person)
  Usan: imâ 'younger brother (1/2 Poss.)'
  Kar: imaka 'same sex younger sibling'
  Par: imakini 'same sex younger sibling'
  Uku: emakina 'same sex younger sibling'

In Usan, the term for 'younger brother' is imâ for a 1/2 person possessor, and umour for a 3rd person possesor. Yaben ima'a is used for all persons in Z'graggen's (1971b) recording, but an additional term umo'oda, corresponding with Usan umour, is recorded in Z'graggen's (1981b) Yaben wordlist. It is not clear if there is a distinction for person of the possessor for this term in other languages. The Parawen and Ukuriguma terms have an added element of unknown meaning. For the terms for siblings recorded in Z'graggen (1980b), he assumes a semantic distinction between same/opposite sex and older/younger for every language. However, in some languages, such as Usan, the Ego's gender is not, in fact, a relevant factor. Usan imâ is 'younger brother', not 'opposite sex younger sibling'.

*imekami 'younger sister'
  Yab: ima'am
  Usan: imemi 'younger sister (1/2 Poss), umemi 'younger sister (3 Poss)
  Kar: imakami 'sister'

  Karian imakami is used with any person possessor. I also recorded Karian imakira 'younger sister'.

*inukwan 'yesterday'
  Par: inukun
  Uku: inukwan
  Yar: inukun
*ir- 'go up, ascend' (<PNA *ir-)
*irakai 'crayfish' (<PNA *areker)
*iram 'stomach' (<PNA *uram)
*it- 'bathe' (<PNA *it-)
*itum 'night'
  Yab: itumu
  Usan: itum
  Kar: itum
  Par: itumu
  Uku: itum
  Yar: itumo

*iwan 'lime'
  Yab: iwanu
  Usan: uy an
  Kar: yuənivi
  Par: iwana
  Uku: iwan
  Yar: iwana

This is likely cognate with PNA *wayaŋ. If so, then Karian is 'white'+'feces'.

*iwer 'salt' (<PNA *iper, see also *yer 'ocean')
*kagam 'smell', *kagam *ig- 'to smell (trs)'
  Yab: agamu igu-
  Usan: qogom ig-
  Uku: kagam okwa-, kagam id-
  Yar: [kagan igu-]

The final nasal in Yarawata kagan is not cognate.

*kagi 'left'
  Yab: kagiatu
  Usan: agi
  Kar. (Boia): kagi at

The Yaben and Karian terms also have reflexes of the PNA adjective-forming suffix *-at.

*kai 'sugarcane' (<PNA *kai)
*kamar 'sago' (<PNA *kamar)
*kanam 'sky, cloud'
  Yab: kanamu 'sky, cloud'
  Kar. (Boia): kanam 'sky, cloud'
  Kar. (Barto): 'anam
  Yar: ekanama 'cloud'

*karim- 'to swell' (<PNA *karim-)
*kaur 'top'  
Yab: kaulu  
Kar (Boia): kaul

*keb 'speech' (<PNA *keb)  
*ked 'blood' (<PNA *ked)  
*kadat 'red' (PNA *ked-at)

*kei 'some'  
Usan: qei  
Kar. (Boia): kai  
Par: merikauru  
Uku: kaur  
Yar: kauro

The meaning of the first part of Parawen merikauru is not clear.

*kemer- *kema- 'to speak'  
Yab: 'əmal-, 'əma-  
Usan: qemer-  
Kar: kəmar-, kəm-  
Par: kam-

In Yaben and Karian, reflexes of *kemer- are used with future tense and imperatives, and reflexes of *kema- are used for other conjugations.

*kemi 'bow' (<PNA *kemi)  
*ken 'trunk'  
Yab: kanu  
Usan: qen  
Kar. (Boia): nuam kan

*ken 'time'  
Yab: 'anu  
Usan: qen  
Kar. (Boia): kan 'time, day'

*ken *ken 'always'  
Yab: 'anu 'anu  
Usan: qeneu  
Uku: kaka  
Yar: kaka

In all languages but Karian, reduplicated reflexes of *kan are used to express the meaning 'always', whereas in Karian it is modified with gugum 'all'. The meaning of *kan is 'time', and reduplicating it gives the meaning 'always'. In Yaben, Ukuriguma, and Yarawata, it is clear that
reduplicated *kan is two separate words, as Yaben adds word-final u to both syllables, and Ukuriguma and Yarawata, which lost *n word-finally, lost *n in both syllables.

*ken *gugum 'always'
Yab: 'anu gugumu
Kar. Boia: kan gugum

*kereg 'bone'
Yab: 'alə
Usan: qer
Kar. (Boia): karag
Kar. (Barto): 'arak

*kesi 'sap
Yab: 'asi
Usan: esi
Kar: kasi
Par: kasi
Uku: ([kadi])
Yar: kasia

*kibem 'hand drum' (<PNA *kibem)
*kikwa- 'to chop'
Yab: su'uw- [zoʔow-]
Usan: su-
Kar. (Boia): sukwa-
Par: kikwa-
Uku: kikwa-
Yar: kikw-

Yaben, Usan, and Karian all share the sporadic change of *i > u.

*kuda, *kudawur 'belly'
Yab: 'udavulu
Usan: qur
Kar. (Barto): 'uravur
Par: kura
Uku: kuda
Yar: kuda

The meaning of the second element in *kudavur is not clear.

*kuda, *nam *kuda 'tree shoot'
Yab: namu 'uda
Kar: nam kuda

*kudag 'fruit'
Yab: *kuda
Usan: *qur
Kar. (Boia): *kurəg
Kar. (Barto): *'urək
Par: *kura
Yar: *kuda

*kuduruk 'fly' (<PNA *kuduruk)
*kuman 'nape' (<PNA *kumaŋ)
*kuraw 'loincloth'
   Usan: *qorau
   Par: *kura
   Yar: *kula

*kurum 'valley' (<PNA *kurum)
*kurun 'black' (<PNA *kurun, see also PNA *umaw)
*kurunaw 'liver'
   Yab: *'uluno
   Usan: *urunau
   Kar. (Boia): *kuruna (lungs)
   Kar. (Barto): *'urun

   It is not uncommon in Northern Adelbert languages to use the same term for 'liver' and 'lungs', although 'lungs' is sometimes qualified in some way. For example, Gavak gamemang 'liver, lungs', Moere kema 'liver', kema fon 'lungs' (lit: 'white liver').

*kwari 'tulip tree' (<PNA *kuari)
*kwedi 'banana' (< PNA *kudi)
*kwakan 'old' (<PNA *kuaken)
*kwaiter 'bean'
   Par: kwitara
   Uku: kwaitor
   Yar: kwaitala

*g(a/e)di 'one'
   Usan: ger, gari
   Kar: geji

*ginam 'village'
   Yab: ginamu
   Usan: ginam
   Kar: ginəm
   Par: ginama
   Uku: ginam
   Yar: ginama

*git 'body'
*guan 'skin' (<PNA *guaŋ)
*gwan- 'dig'
*gugum 'all'
  Yab: gugumu
  Usan: gugum
  Kar. (Boia): gugum

*gunei 'yellow'
  Yab: gune
  Usan: gunai
  Kar: gunei
  Par: gunei [gunə:]`
  Uku: gunei [gunɛ]

*mag 'eye' (<PNA *mudag, *mag)
*mam 'taro' (<PNA *mam)
*mamur 'frog'
  Usan: mamur
  Kar: mamul
  Uku: mamur

*maragwan 'bird' (see also *ibara)
  Yab: malVgwamu
  Usan: morogoan
  Kar. (Boia): maragwən
  Kar. (Barto): maragwən

*maymay 'how many'
  Yab: maymay
  Usan: maymay
  Kar. (Boia): mayəmayə

*memer 'tanket'
  Yab: mamalu
  Usan: momor
  Kar: mamal
  Uku: momor

*mugam 'forehead'
  Yab: mugamu
  Kar. Boia: mugəm
  Kar. Barto: mugam
*munen 'man''
  Yab: munanu
  Usan: munon
  Kar: munan

*nam 'tree' (<PNA *ŋam)
*nanag 'tooth'
  Yab: nana
  Usan: nân
  Kar. (Boia): nanəg
  Kar/ (Barto): nanək
  Par: nanakura
  Uku: [naːɡ]
  Yar: nenakura

Parawen and Yarawata are compounds 'tooth'+‘fruit’.  

*nanaw 'hot'
  Yab: nana
  Usan: nanaw
  Kar: nanə
  Par: nana
  Uku: nana
  Yar: nana

*niaw 'breast, egg'
  Yab: nyau
  Usan: inaw
  Kar: nyua 'breast'
  Par: nia
  Uku: na
  Yar: nia, niaw

  Usan inaw underwent metathesis of the first two segments.  Similar metathesis is seen in *nain 'mother' > nani.  The Karian speakers I worked with provided munəg (Boia) and munək (Barto) for 'egg' (from PNA *munag), and nyua for 'breast'.  Z’graggen records [ñoa] for both.

*nunai 'flying fox'
  Yab: nuni
  Usan: nunei
  Kar: nunei
  Par: nuni
  Uku: nune

  Manep nune~nuni may also be related.

*sisir 'mosquito'
Yab: 'asisilu  
Usan: misisir  
Kar. Boia: kasisil  
Kar. Barto: 'asisil

*tadi 'head'  
Yab: taji  
Kar. Boia: taji  
Kar. Barto: taji  
Usan: tari  
Par: tarikari  
Uku: tarikar

The meaning of the additional element in Parawen and Ukuriguma is unknown.

*taban 'mountain' (<PNA *taban)

*tabin 'plate'  
Yab: tawinu  
Kar: tavin

Resembles PNA *tabir, but the final consonants are not cognate.

*takwar *war- 'be sick'  
Yab: to'wal  
Kar. Boia: takwal wal-  
Par: takor war-  
Uku: tokwala war-

*tat 'sky' (see also (*kanam 'sky')  
Usan: tât  
Par: tata

*te LOC (<PNA *te)  
*temen 'now' (<temen)  
*tuduba 'old'  
Yab: tenduwa  
Kar: tänduva

*tumun 'hole'  
Usan: tumun  
Kar. Boia: tumun

*ubed , *bed 'good'  
Yar: ubada  
Usan: uber  
Kar: uvar, buør
Par: buara [boara]
Uku: bor
Yar: bala

*uben 'arm, hand' (<PNA *waben)
  Usan: uben
  Kar: uvan
  Uku: ubon

*ud *war- 'sing' (<PNA *wud, *wud *war-)
*um- 'die' (see PNA *um-)
*um(a/e)kw(a/e)n 'wind'
  Yab: uma'wan
  Usan: moon
  Kar. (Boia): umakwan
  Kar. (Barto): umo'on

*umam 'older brother (3.POSS) (see also *bab, *baina)
  Yab: umam
  Usan: umom
  Kar. (Barto): umam
  Par: (uman)
  Uku: umam
  Yar: umom

*umaw 'black'
  Usan: umaw
  Par: uma
  Uku: uma
  Yar: uma

*umukuda 'younger brother (3.POSS)
  Yab: umu'uda umoʔoda
  Usan: umour
  Kar. (Barto) umuura

*un- 'draw water' (<PNA *un-)
*unad 'female, mother' (PNA *unad)
*urukaur 'buttocks
  Yab: urukaulu
  Kar. Boia: urukaur
  Kar. Barto: uru'aur

*ut- 'give to 3SG' (<PNA *ut-)
*uyak (<PNA *wayek)
*uw- 'dance' (<PNA *up-)
*uwe NEG (<PNA *wayek)
*waber 'fog'
   Usan: waber
   Karian: wababal
   Uku: abor

*wagewa 'cockatoo'
   Maia: wageva
   Miani: wagewa

   May be related to Proto-Tibor *yaigev

*waw 'child'
   Yab: wau
   Usan: wau
   Kar: waw
   Par: wa
   Uku: wa
   Yar: waw

*wediem 'sun' (<PNA *wediem)

*wagar 'arrow'
   Usan: wagar
   Kar: wagər

*yag 'water' (<PNA *yag)

*yam- 'boil'
   Yab: yam-
   Usan: yam-
   Kar: yam-
   Par: yam-
   Uku: yemi-
   Yar: yam-

   Possibly related to PNA *im- 'boil'.

*yar- 'come'
   Yab: yad-
   Kar. (Boia): yar-

*yegu 'this'
   Yab: yagu
   Usan: enggu
   Uku: yok

*yer, *yer *yag 'ocean'
   Yab: yar
   Usan: (wieria)
Kar: yar
Par: yar yaga
Uku: yol yag
Yar: yol yaga

Most Northern Adelbert have reflexes of *iper for both 'salt' and 'ocean'. In Numugen, 'ocean' is formed with *yer plus *yag 'water'. Proto-Numugen *yer is similar to *iwer 'salt', the only difference being the lack of medial *w.

*yesi 'navel'
Yab: yaji
Usan: esi
Kar. (Barto): yasi
Par: yasi
Uku: esi
Yar: yesia
11. Proto-Kaukombar

In this chapter, I reconstruct the Proto-Kaukombar phoneme inventory and lexical items, and discuss sound changes in Kaukombar languages. In section 11.1, I outline the sound correspondences used to reconstruct Proto-Kaukombar phonemes. In section 11.2, I discuss the shared sound changes in the Kaukombar languages relative to Proto-Northern Adelbert. In sections 11.3-11.7, I discuss sound changes that took place in individual Kaukombar languages, and in section 11.8 I present the reconstructed Proto-Kaukombar vocabulary.

11.1 Proto-Kaukombar phonemes

Tables 11.1a-b show the Proto-Kaukombar phonemes. Proto-Kaukombar *t has the allophone *[s] before high front vowels, and *[t] elsewhere. In the Proto-Kaukombar reconstructions, I have used *t and to represent both these variants, but it should be kept in mind that in some items, this was a fricative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 11.1a: Proto-Kaukombar vowel phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lwo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 11.1b: Proto-Kaukombar consonant phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

131 *t also lenited to s in additional environments in some individual Kaukombar languages.
Kaukombar sound correspondences

Tables 11.c-f show the sound correspondences used to reconstruct Proto-Kaukombar phonemes.

Table 11.1c: Kaukombar vowel correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kau. environment</th>
<th>Maia</th>
<th>Maiani</th>
<th>Miani</th>
<th>Mala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_</td>
<td>a, ua</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Ca</td>
<td>a, ua</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11.1d: Kaukombar glide correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kau. environment</th>
<th>Maia</th>
<th>Maiani</th>
<th>Miani</th>
<th>Mala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_ V</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As mentioned above, *[t] and *[s] belong to the same proto-phoneme, with *[s] occurring before high front vowels. *[t] commonly lenites to *[s] in individual Kaukombar languages adjacent to *e and *u, but this does not seem to follow a strict pattern (see Chapter 5).

There is no clear correspondence for reflexes of medial *k. It tends to delete in Maiani and Miani, but in some cases it is retained. *p does not correspond with any PNA phoneme, and is only found in one form with apparent cognates outside Kaukombar (Proto-Kaukombar *tapa 'mountain', and Proto-Numugen *taban).
Table 11.1e: Kaukombar obstruent correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kau.</th>
<th>environment</th>
<th>Maia</th>
<th>Maiani</th>
<th>Miani</th>
<th>Mala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*g</td>
<td></td>
<td>g</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*k</td>
<td></td>
<td>k, Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>ng~n, Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*d</td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*t</td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*s</td>
<td></td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*b</td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*p</td>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11.1f: Kaukombar nasal and liquid correspondences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kau.</th>
<th>Maia</th>
<th>Maiani</th>
<th>Miani</th>
<th>Mala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*l</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.2 Proto-Kaukombar innovations

The Kaukombar languages form a clear subgroup, as they closely resemble each other in their lexicons and grammars. May & Loewecke (1982) state that Maiani speakers are able to understand both Miani and Mala to some degree. The Kaukombar languages share three phonological innovations with respect to Proto-Northern Adelbert:

1) PNA *n, *ŋ > Ø/_
2) *p > w/V_
3) *e > o

PNA *n, *ŋ > Ø/_

All Kaukombar languages lost *n and *ŋ in word-final position, as illustrated in Table 11.2a.
Table 11.2a: *n, *ŋ > /_#

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kaukombar</th>
<th>Maia</th>
<th>Maiani</th>
<th>Miani</th>
<th>Mala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*madeŋ 'man' *</td>
<td>*muado</td>
<td>muado</td>
<td>muato</td>
<td>muado</td>
<td>muande</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*gemaŋ 'liver' *</td>
<td>*-gema</td>
<td>-gama</td>
<td>-kema</td>
<td>-gema</td>
<td>-kama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kasin 'mosquito' *</td>
<td>*kasi</td>
<td>asi</td>
<td>asi</td>
<td>asi</td>
<td>ngasi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*iben 'vagina' *</td>
<td>*-ube</td>
<td>-be</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-ube</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*waben 'hand' *</td>
<td>*-wabe</td>
<td>-wabo</td>
<td>-wapu</td>
<td>-wabo</td>
<td>-nambe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*bin 'heavy' *</td>
<td>*ubi</td>
<td>ubi</td>
<td>upi</td>
<td>ubi</td>
<td>umbi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p > w/V_

All Kaukombar languages lenited PNA *p to w after a vowel, as illustrated by the reflexes of 'salt', 'fat', 'dance', and 'leaf, hair' in Table 11.2b. Maia lenited *p to w in word-initial position as well.

No clear reflexes of reconstructions with word-initial *p have been found Maiani, Miani, or Mala.

Table 11.2b: PNA *p > w/V_ V in Kaukombar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kaukombar</th>
<th>Maia</th>
<th>Maiani</th>
<th>Miani</th>
<th>Mala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*iper 'salt, ocean' *</td>
<td>*iwer</td>
<td>ivor</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>iwor</td>
<td>iwer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kapil 'fat' *</td>
<td>*kawil</td>
<td>wavil</td>
<td>uwavil</td>
<td>awir</td>
<td>avir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*up- 'dance' *</td>
<td>*uw-</td>
<td>uv-</td>
<td>uw-</td>
<td>uww-</td>
<td>uw-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ip 'hair, leaf' *</td>
<td>*-iw</td>
<td>wiv 'leaf'</td>
<td>wuiv 'leaf'</td>
<td>wiv 'leaf'</td>
<td>-iv 'hair'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kupi 'betelpepper vine' *</td>
<td>*kuwi</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>uwi</td>
<td>uwi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*pia 'pitpit'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>wia</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*par- 'call'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>varav 'a call'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*pi 'bad'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>wiwi</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*pai 'mango'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>vai</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* e > o
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In some words, the Kaukombar languages all underwent a change of *e > o, as seen in the reflexes of PNA *ked 'blood', 'ocean, salt', and *degen 'straight' in Table 11.2c. In reflexes of *madeŋ 'man' and *waben 'hand', Mala retains PNA *e.

Table 11.2c: PNA *e > o in Kaukombar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kaukombar</th>
<th>Maia</th>
<th>Maiani</th>
<th>Miani</th>
<th>Mala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*ked 'blood'</td>
<td>*od</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>ot</td>
<td>od</td>
<td>od</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*degen 'straight'</td>
<td>*dogo</td>
<td>dogo</td>
<td>tokon-</td>
<td>dogo</td>
<td>doko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*begen 'light'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>bogo</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*madeŋ 'man'</td>
<td>*muade</td>
<td>muado</td>
<td>muato</td>
<td>muado</td>
<td>muande</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*waben 'hand'</td>
<td>*wabe</td>
<td>-wabo</td>
<td>-wapu</td>
<td>-wabo</td>
<td>-nambe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*iper 'salt, ocean'</td>
<td>*iwor</td>
<td>ivor</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>ivor</td>
<td>iwer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The change of *e > o was not an unconditioned change, as other words retain PNA *e as e, as shown in Table 11.2d. I have not been able to find a clear conditioning factor which causes PNA *e to become o in some words, and retained as Proto-Kaukombar *e in others. However, it may be related to the quality of the following vowel. In the clear cases where PNA *e became Proto-Numugen *e, the following vowel was *a. PNA *a is not found as the following vowel in any of the words where PNA *e became Proto-Kaukombar *o. It is clear that a can have an effect on the quality of preceding vowels in Kaukombar languages, since in Maia and Mala, there was a change of *e > a/ _Ca.

Table 11.2d: PNA *e > e in Kaukombar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA</th>
<th>Proto-Kaukombar</th>
<th>Maia</th>
<th>Maiani</th>
<th>Miani</th>
<th>Mala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*kenam 'base'</td>
<td>*kenem</td>
<td>otowanam,</td>
<td>koanam</td>
<td>enam</td>
<td>od</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*gemaŋ 'liver'</td>
<td>*gema</td>
<td>-gama</td>
<td>-kema</td>
<td>-gema</td>
<td>-kama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*nenag 'tooth'</td>
<td>*-nenak</td>
<td>-nana</td>
<td>-nena</td>
<td>-nena</td>
<td>-nanak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.3 Sound changes in Maia

This section outlines the sound changes that took place in the three Maia varieties Wagedav Maia, Pila, and Saki.

**k > Ø/#_ (Wagedav)**

In the Wagedav dialect, word-initial *k deleted, as in *kasi 'mosquito' > asi, and *kai 'sugarcane' > ai. Initial *k is usually retained in Saki and Pila (kasi 'mosquito' kai 'sugarcane')

**g > Ø/VC_#**

Word-final *g deleted in polysyllabic words in all Maia varieties, as in -*mudag 'eye' > -muda (Wagedav), -mundua (Pila, Saki), and -*durag 'tail' > -dira (Wagedav, Saki), -dura (Pila). Final *g is retained in monosyllabic words\(^{132}\). In Wagedav, this is reflected as g: *yag 'water' > yag, *wag 'hand drum' > wag. In Saki and Pila, final *g has is reflected as a nasal: *yag 'water' > yang, *wag 'hand drum' > wang.

**e > a/\_Ca**

Proto-Numugen *e became a when the following vowel was *a. Examples are *rebam 'four' > rabam (Wagedav), -*gema 'liver' > -gama (Wagedav), goama (Saki, Pila), and *kenam 'base' > koanam (Saki, Pila).

**a > ua/k, g_**

Vowels following initial velars have undergone some irregular changes. Proto-Numugen *a sometimes became ua after a velar. This also applied to *e which first became a according to the

---

132 Monosyllabic words are also an exception to final *g deletion in Maiani and Miani, as well as Numugen languages.
change *e > a/Ca. As can be seen from the reflexes in Table 11.3a, this change did not apply consistently, sometimes applying in only some of the Maia varieties. There are also some words where the change did not apply in any of the three Maia varieties, such as Proto-Numugen *kata 'coconut' > Wagedav ata, Saki and Pila kata, and *karim- 'swell' > Wagedav arim-, Maia and Pila > karim-.

Table 11.3: *a > ua/#k_, #g_ in Maia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kaukombar</th>
<th>Wagedav</th>
<th>Saki</th>
<th>Pila</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*kawil</td>
<td>wavil</td>
<td>koawir</td>
<td>kuawir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-gema</td>
<td>-gama</td>
<td>goama</td>
<td>goama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kamun</td>
<td>wamun</td>
<td>kamung</td>
<td>kuamun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kenam</td>
<td>otowanam</td>
<td>koanam</td>
<td>koanam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kaw</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>koaw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*u > a/k_

In all Maia varieties, *u has become a after *k in some words. This change occurred in *kuduru 'fly' > Wagedav aduru, Saki kaduru; *kusuwar 'cassowary' > kasiwar (all varieties), *kuwun 'dog' > Wagedav awun, Pila and Saki kawun; and *lakut- 'pour' > Wagedav lakat-. However, in other forms, the change did not take place, as in *kunam 'egg' > unam (Wagedav), kunam (Saki), or *kumui 'bow' > umu (Wagedav), kumu (Saki), kumi (Pila).

11.4 Sound changes in Maiani

Stop devoicing

Maiani voiced stops devoiced in all positions, as illustrated by the reflexes in Table 11.4a.
Table 11.4a: reflexes of *b, *d, and *g in Maiani

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kaukombar</th>
<th>Maiani</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*bug- 'sit'</td>
<td>puk-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*tabir 'plate'</td>
<td>tapir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*rab 'basket'</td>
<td>rap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*dawa 'house'</td>
<td>tawa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kudi 'banana'</td>
<td>uti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*od 'blood'</td>
<td>ot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-gema 'liver'</td>
<td>-kema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*muga 'bird'</td>
<td>muka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*yag 'water'</td>
<td>yak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*<span style='font-variant: normal;'>k</span> > Ø

Proto-Numugen *<span style='font-variant: normal;'>k</span> deleted in all positions in Maiani, as in *kata 'coconut' > ata, *ikaw 'smoke' > iyav, and *-mek 'breast' > -me.

*<span style='font-variant: normal;'>g</span> > Ø/VC_

Word-final *<span style='font-variant: normal;'>g</span> deleted in polysyllabic words, as in *-nanag 'tooth' > nena, and *mudag 'eye' > muta. It was retained in monosyllabic *yag 'water' > yak.

11.5 Sound changes in Miani

*<span style='font-variant: normal;'>k</span> > Ø/#, *<span style='font-variant: normal;'>k</span> > Ø _#

*<span style='font-variant: normal;'>k</span> deleted word-initially in Miani, as in *kata 'coconut' > ata, *kasi 'mosquito' > asi, and *kamar 'sago' > amar. *<span style='font-variant: normal;'>k</span> also deleted word-finally, as in *katek 'galip nut' > ase, and *katok 'wild' > ato.

*<span style='font-variant: normal;'>g</span> > Ø/VC_

Word-final *<span style='font-variant: normal;'>g</span> deleted in monosyllabic words, as in *durag 'tail' > dura, and *-nenag 'tooth' >
-nenag, but not in monosyllabic *yag 'water' > yag.

*b > p/#

Word-final *b devoiced, as shown in *-ib 'feces' > -ip and *-eteb 'shoulder' > -otop.

*l > r

*l merged with *r as r, as in *ulum 'cloud' > urum, *malip- 'work' > marip-, and *kawil 'fat' > awir.

11.5 Sound changes in Mala

*k > ng/#_, *k > ∅/#_

Word-initially, Proto-Numugen *k became a velar nasal. In some cases, this further shifted to n, or there is variation of n−ng. In other cases, word-initial *k deleted. These different reflexes of word-initial *k do not follow a strict pattern, but there is a strong tendency for *k to be reflected as ng−n before *a. Only avir 'fat' and abu 'short' delete *k before *a. On the other hand, in all reconstructions where *k is followed by a vowel other than *a, *k deletes. Only Table 11.5a illustrates reflexes of initial *k in Mala.
Table 11.5a: reflexes of initial *k in Mala

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kau.</th>
<th>Mala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*kai 'sugar'</td>
<td>ngai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kati 'mosquito'</td>
<td>ngasi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*karim- 'swell'</td>
<td>ngarim~narim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kamun 'pan'</td>
<td>ngamun~namun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kamar 'sago'</td>
<td>namar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kata 'coconut'</td>
<td>nata~ata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kawil 'fat'</td>
<td>avir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kabu 'short'</td>
<td>abu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-kebuar 'mouth'</td>
<td>-ambar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kuaw 'village'</td>
<td>av</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kuduruk 'fly'</td>
<td>uduru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kutuar 'cassowary'</td>
<td>usuar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kumui</td>
<td>mui</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*g > k

*g devoiced to k, as in *igur 'five' > ikur, *muga 'bird' > muka, and *yag 'water' > ak. Other voiced stops retained voicing.

*y > l/V_

*y became l following a vowel, as illustrated in *maya 'what' > mala, *waya 'white' > ala, and *weyek NEG > ila.

*w, *y > Ø/#_

Word initial glides *w and *y deleted, as in *wat 'pig' > at, *waya 'white' > ala, *yag 'water', ak, and *yau 'who' > ao.
*e > a/ _Ca

As in Maia, *e became a when the next vowel was *a, as in *-nenak 'tooth' > -nanak, *-gema 'liver, inside' > akama 'inside, and *megera 'tomorrow' > makara.

*ua > a

Proto-Numugen *ua became Mala a, as in *-iguar 'penis' > -kar, *-kebuar 'mouth' > -ambar, and *muat 'snake' > mat. An unexplained exception is muande 'man' < *muade133.

11.6 Proto-Kaukombar Reconstructions

In this section, I present Proto-Numugen reconstructions and supporting cognate sets. For Proto-Numugen reconstructions which are inherited from PNA, I list the reconstruction below, but the supporting cognates are found under the entry of the corresponding PNA reconstruction in Chapter 7.

Proto-Kaukombar pronouns

Table 11.6a illustrates the form reconstructed for Proto-Kaukombar free pronouns and their reflexes in the Kaukombar languages. The free pronouns can be used for subject, objects, and possessors. There are also suffixed forms which can also be used for possessors, shown in Table 11.6b. The sound correspondences are straightforward, with the exception of whether to include *a in the 1PL and 3PL forms. Maiani has lost number distinction in the third person pronouns, and the historically 3SG forms are used for both singular and plural.

133 However, Proto-Numugen *muade 'man' is unusual, since the sequence *ua is not the expected reflex of *a in PNA *madeŋ 'man'.
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Table 11.6a: Kaukombar free pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kaukombar</th>
<th>Maia (Wagedav)</th>
<th>Maiani</th>
<th>Miani</th>
<th>Mala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG *yo</td>
<td>yo</td>
<td>yo</td>
<td>yo</td>
<td>yono</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG *no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>nino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG *o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>ono</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL *yi</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>yi</td>
<td>ino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL *ni</td>
<td>nae</td>
<td>ni</td>
<td>ni</td>
<td>naino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL *wi</td>
<td>wi</td>
<td>(o)</td>
<td>wi</td>
<td>aino</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11.6b shows the reconstructed forms for the possessive pronouns. The Maina and Mala forms are notable for having undergone an irregular change of *n > ng in these forms. Velar nasals are rare in Maiani and are usually only found as a reflex of *k in Mala.

Table 11.6b: Kaukombar possessive pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Kaukombar</th>
<th>Maia (Wagedav)</th>
<th>Maiani</th>
<th>Miani</th>
<th>Mala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG *yo-nor</td>
<td>yonor</td>
<td>yongor</td>
<td>yono</td>
<td>yongor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG *no-nor</td>
<td>nonor</td>
<td>nongor</td>
<td>nono</td>
<td>ningor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG *o-nor</td>
<td>onor</td>
<td>ongor</td>
<td>ono</td>
<td>ongor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL *yi-nor</td>
<td>inor</td>
<td>ingor</td>
<td>yino</td>
<td>ingor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL *ni-nor</td>
<td>ninor</td>
<td>ninogr</td>
<td>nino</td>
<td>ningor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL *wi-nor</td>
<td>winor</td>
<td>(ongor)</td>
<td>wino</td>
<td>aingor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Present tense/subject endings**

Table 11.6c shows the reconstructed present tense endings Proto-Kaukombar and their reflexes. In Maiani, Miani, and Mala, these forms mark subject and present tense, while the cognate forms in Maia mark subject and realis mood (see Chapter 5). The 2PL and 3PL forms do not correspond exactly, so I do not reconstruct these endings for Proto-Kaukombar.

---

134 Maia is better documented than the other Kaukombar varieties, and has been the subject of deeper analysis than the others (Hardin 2002). It may be that Hardin's analysis of a realis/irrealis distinction, rather than a tense distinction, is a better fit for the other Kaukombar languages as well.
Past tense/subject endings.

The past tense endings are formed by adding reflexes of Proto-Kaukombar *ge- preceding the present tense endings. In Maia, -gV is analyzed as a perfective marker, so these endings do not mark past tense, but rather perfective aspect and realis mood.

Proto-Kaukombar vocabulary

*bab 'older brother' (<PNA *bab)
*buai 'breadfruit'
    Maia (Wagadev): buae
    Maiani: puai
    Miani: buae

*buga 'post' (<PNA *bugaŋ)
*bum- 'to plant'
    Maia (Wagedav): bum-
    Maiani: pum-
Miani: *da 'other'
    Miani: da
    Mala: da

*dai- 'dig'
    Maia (Wagedav): daev-
    Maia (Saki): dai-
    Miani: dai-
    Mala: da-

*dam- 'listen, hear'
    Maia (Wagedav): dam-
    Maia (Saki): dam-
    Maiani: tam
    Miani: dam-

*dawa 'house'
    Maia (Wagedav): dawa
    Maia (Saki): dawa
    Maia (Pila): dawa
    Maiani: tawa
    Miani: dua

*dogo 'straight' (<PNA *degen-)
*dogon- 'stand' (<PNA *degen-)
*dudur 'hibiscus'
    Maia (Wagedav): dudur
    Mala: dudur

*-duwat 'ear'
    Maia (Waggedev): -duwat
    Maia (Saki): -ndwat
    Maia (Pila): -nduwat
    Maiani: -siwat
    Miani: -diwat
    Mala: -suat

*-emuar 'friend'
    Maia (Waggedev): -emuar
    Maiani: -emuar

*-kebuar 'mouth' (<PNA *kebuar)
*gamai 'wind'
    Miani: gamai
    Mala: kamai
*-garem 'bone' (< PNA *garem)

*gawut 'smoke'
  Maiani: kavus
  Mala: kawus

This resemble Proto-Tibor *gawuk 'smoke', but the final consonants do not correspond. Mauwake also has kawus, but this is identifiable as a borrowing based on the lack of final a.

*-gema 'liver' (<PNA *geman)
*gilagil 'cold'
  Maia (Saki): giragir
  Maia (Pila): gilanggil
  Mala: gilagil

*giligilum 'yellow'
  Maia (Wagadev): gilegelum
  Miani: girigirum

*-gu 'louse' (<PNA *gun)
*-guapa 'sore'
  Maiani: kuapa
  Mala: -kapa

*-ib 'feces' (<PNA *ib)
*idi 'mountain'
  Maiani: iti
  Miani: idi

*iduw 'go' (<PNA *iduw-)
*-iguar 'penis' (<PNA *iguar)
*in- 'sleep' (<PNA *in-)
*is-*yag *is- 'bathe' (<PNA *it-)
*-iw 'hair, leaf' (<PNA *ip)
*iwer 'salt, sea' (<PNA *iper)
*kabal 'lightweight'
  Maiani: kabal
  Mala: kambal

*kai 'sugarcane' (<PNA *kai)
*kamar 'sago' (<PNA *kamar)
*kamun 'pan' (<PNA *kamun)
*karim- 'swell'(<PNA *karim-)
*kati 'mosquito' (<PNA *kasin)
*kat 'canoe'
  Maiani: at
Miani: at
Mala: ngat, nat

This resembles Mauwake aasa, but they are not cognate in the final consonant.

*kata 'coconut' (<PNA *keta)
*katek 'galip nut'
   Maia (Wagedav): kasek
   Mala: ase

*katok 'wild' (<PNA *kasik)
*kawil 'fat' (<PNA *kapil)
*kaw 'black'
   Maia (Wagedav): koaw
   Maiani: au
   Mala: au, ngau

*-kebuar 'mouth' (<PNA *kebuar)
*kenam 'base' (<PNA *kenam)
*kudi 'banana' (<PNA *kudi)
*kuduru 'fly' (<PNA *kuduruk)
*k(a)ul 'oar'
   Maia (Wagedav): kaul
   Mala: wol

This also resembles Mauwak wool 'oar', but the lack of final a indicates that it is a loan in Mauwake.

*kumui 'bow' (<PNA *kemi)
*kunam 'egg'
   Maia (Wagadev): unam
   Maia (Saki): kunam
   Miani: unam

Similar in form to Gavak ungam 'egg', but not cognate.

*kuwun 'dog'
   Maia (Wagadev): awum
   Maia (Saki): kawung
   Maia (Pila): kawun
   Maiani: uwun
   Miani: iwun

*lakut- 'pour'
   Maia (Wagadev): lakat-
   Miani: rakut-
   Mala: lakut-
*ligam 'yellow'
    Maia (Wagadev): aligam
    Maia (Saki): karigam
    Mala: likam

    The initial syllable in Maia is unexpected.

*-made 'body, skin'
    Maia (Wagadev): -mado 'body'
    Maiani: -mado 'skin'
    Miani: -made 'body'

*magaw 'star' (<PNA *megam, *magaw)
*am 'taro' (<PNA *mam)
*may 'what' (<PNA *ma)
*me NEG (<PNA *me)
*meka 'breast, milk'
    Maia (Wagadev): mek
    Maia (Saki): -mek
    Maiani: ame
    Miani: ame
    Mala: mek

    Similar to PNA *men, but not cognate.

*-mir 'nose'
    Maia (Wagedav): -mir
    Maia (Saki): -mir
    Maini: -mir

*muade 'man' (< PNA *madeŋ)

*muager 'cane'
    Miani: moager
    Mala: maker

*muala 'wild fowl sp.'
    Maia (Pila): moalau
    Miani: moara
    Mala: mala

    There are two similar reconstructions for types of wild fowl, *muala, and *muayaw. Miani is the only language with reflexes attested for both. Miani moara is smaller, and moayaw is larger and has yellow legs.

*muat 'snake'
    Maia (Wagedave): mut
Maia (Saki): moat
Maia (Pila): moat
Maiani: muat
Miani: muat
Mala: mat

*muayaw 'wild fowl sp.' (see *muala)
  Maia (Wagedav): maiau
  Maia (Saki): moayaw
  Miani: moayaw

*mud 'fire' (<PNA *mud)
*muda 'mountain'
  Maia (Wagedav): umuda
  Maia (Saki): umunda
  Maia (Pila): mundoa
  Miani: aba muda (lit: 'place mountain')

*mudag 'eye' (<PNA *mudag)
*muga 'bird' (<PNA *mugan)
*mum 'owl' (<PNA *mum)
*nada 'child'
  Maia (Wagedav): nada
  Maiani: nata
  Miani: nada

*nam 'tree' (<PNA *ŋam)
*-nenag 'tooth' (<PNA *nenag)
*kod 'blood' (PNA *ked)
*orod 'night'
  Maiani: orot
  Miani: rod
  Mala: orod, orond

*pirigan- 'turn'
  Maia (Wagedav): pikian-
  Miani: pirigan-

*rege 'basket'
  Maia (Wagedav): rege
  Mala: reke

*tabir 'plate' (<PNA *tabir)
*tabul- 'buy'
  Maia (Wagedave): tabul-
  Maia (Saki): taboer-
  Maia (Pila): tambu-
Miani: tabur-
Mala: tabul-

*tao 'moon'
Maiani: tao
Miani: tao
Mala: tao

Possibly cognate with Barem tkun 'moon'.

*tapa 'mountain' (<PNA *taban)
*tatar 'chicken' (<PNA *teteri)
*tawa 'fence'
Maia (Wagedave): tava
Maia (Saki): tawa [tʌbʌ]
Maia (Pila): tawan
Miani: tawa [taba]

Final nasal in Pila is unexplained.

*tawur 'ashes'
Maia (Wagedav): tawur
Maia (Saki): tawur
Maia (Pila): ([ʌbur])
Maiani: tawur
Miani: tawur
Mala: (tawuna)

*-tebua 'meat, flesh'
Maia (Wagedave): -sabua
Miani: -sobua
Mala: -tamba

*-tewaw 'egg'
Maia (Pila): sabab
Maiani: utevav
Mala: otovav

*tib, *tibaur 'crayfish'
Maia (Wagadev): sibaur 'lobster'
Maiani: sipaur 'lobster'
Miani: sib 'crayfish'
Mala: simb 'crayfish'

The Maia and Maiani terms are likely bimorphemic, and refer to a specific kind of large crayfish, as they are both glossed 'lobster', while the Miani and Mala terms are glossed 'crayfish'. Mauwake sibaur can be identified as a loanward since it lacks final a and has a voiced stop.
*-tirî 'face'
    Maia (Wagedav): -siri
    Mala: -siri

*-til 'roots'
    Maia (Wagedave): usîl
    Maiani: usîr
    Miani: sir

*tiwar 'vine'
    Maia (Saki): siwar
    Maia (Pila): siwar
    Miani: sîwar
    Mala: sîwar

*turuw 'loincloth' (<PNA *siruw)
*tutul 'ant sp.'
    Maia: susul
    Maiani: tutul
    Miani: tutur

*tuvi 'female (of animal)'
    Maia (Wagedav): tuvi
    Mala: suwi

*-ube 'vagina' (<PNA *iben)
*ubi 'heavy' (<PNA *bin)
*ubueb 'conch'
    Maia (Wagedave): ubuev
    Maiani: upup
    Mala: ubub

*ulum 'cloud, dirty'
    Maia (Wagedav): ulum 'dirty' aba ulum 'cloud'
    Maia (Pila): ulum 'cloud'
    Maiani: ulum
    Miani: urum
    Mala: ulum

    Maia (Wagedav) aba ulum 'cloud' is literally 'place dirty'. The term for cloud in Barem is a similar expression, gaid unun 'sky rubbish'. Reflexes of *ulum are glossed as 'dirty' and 'cloud' in the sources. If they have the sense of 'rubbish' as well, this is not indicated in the sources.

*um- 'to die (<PNA *um-)
*un- 'fill, draw water' (<PNA *un-)
*unu 'ripe'
Maia (Wagedav): unu
Miani: unu

*utuw- 'push' (<PNA *suw-)
*uw-, *tamag *uw- 'dance' (<PNA *up-)
Maia: uv-, tamang uv-
Maiani: uw-
Miani: samag uw-
Mala: uw-

*uyaw 'spear' (<PNA uyaw)
*-wabe 'hand, arm' (PNA *waben)
*wag 'hand drum' (<PNA *wag)
*wage 'crocodile'
Maia (Saki): wake
Maia (Pila): wakei
Miani: wake

*wari 'tulip greens' (<PNA *kuari)
*wat 'pig' (<PNA *wa)
*witir 'centipede' (<PNA *wisir)
*witow 'the bush, forest'
Maia (Saki): wisov
Miani: isov 'deep in the bush'

*yabar 'the bush, forest'
Maia (Wagadev): yabar
Maia (Pila): yambar
Maiani: yapar

*yag 'water' (<PNA *yag)
*yagat 'wet'
Maiani: ykat
Miani: yagat
Mala: akat

This is a combination of *yag 'water' and the PNA adjective-forming suffix *-at.
12. Conclusion

In the preceding chapters, I have reconstructed aspects of Proto-Northern Adelbert, the ancestral language of the 21 modern Northern Adelbert languages, and have presented an internal classification of the group based on shared innovations. I have also reconstructed vocabulary for interstage proto-languages of each Northern Adelbert language subgroup.

So far, I have focused on the relationships of the Northern Adelbert languages with each other. I conclude this work by examining the possible relationships of Northern Adelbert languages with other Papuan languages.

Northern Adelbert and Trans New Guinea

I have identified regular sound correspondences between the Northern Adelbert languages that establish that they are without a doubt related to one another, and have shown that these languages share verbal morphology that is one of the defining characteristics of the group. However, it is not clear whether this morphology is an innovation of Northern Adelbert or a retention from some higher-order proto-language. Nor has it been possible to establish shared phonological innovations that unite Northern Adelbert as a group, since it does not clearly belong within any higher-order group that has been firmly reconstructed. However, it is widely assumed that the Northern Adelbert languages belong to the Madang branch of the Trans New Guinea phylum. Below I examine this assumption by comparing PNA reconstructions with some of the reconstructions Pawley (Pawley 2011, Pawley & Hammarström 2018) has proposed for TNG, as well as pronoun reconstructions for Proto-TNG and Proto-Madang proposed by Ross (2000). Table 12.a lists Proto-TNG reconstructions whose forms resemble the corresponding term in PNA.
Table 12a: Proto-TNG and PNA reconstructions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>Proto-TNG</th>
<th>PNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'arm, hand'</td>
<td>*mbena</td>
<td>*waben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'older brother'</td>
<td>*[mb]amba</td>
<td>*bab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'blood'</td>
<td>*ke(nj,s)a</td>
<td>*ked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'heart'</td>
<td>*kamu</td>
<td>*gemaŋ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'neck, nape'</td>
<td>*kuma(n, ŋ)</td>
<td>*kumaŋ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'mosquito'</td>
<td>*kasin</td>
<td>*kasin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'die'</td>
<td>*kumV-</td>
<td>*um-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'sleep'</td>
<td>*kin(i,u)[m]-</td>
<td>*in-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 'know, hear, see'| *nVŋg-    | *ag- 'see'
| NEG               | *ma- (+verb) | *me (+verb) |
| 'water'           | *ok[V]    | *yag  |
| 'leaf'            | *sasak    | *tak  |

Though this list of similar forms isn’t long, it contains promising resemblances. There is even some indication of a possible phonological change that could help define northern Adelbert. In 'sleep' and 'die', initial *k has been reconstructed for Proto-TNG, but has been lost in PNA (assuming these are true cognates). However, TNG initial *k corresponds with PNA *k or *g in other reconstructions. Without more secure TNG reconstructions based on strict sound correspondences, it is not possible to evaluate what could have conditioned the potential change of *k > Ø in these words but not others.

As mentioned above, in previous classifications which have included Northern Adelbert languages, they have all been supposed to belong to the Madang branch of Trans New Guinea. The most important innovations that have been put forward as defining the Madang group are related to pronouns, including the replacement of Proto-TNG *na '1SG', *ga '2SG', *ni '1PL', and *gi '2PL' with Proto-Madang *ya '1SG', *na '2SG', *i- '1PL' and *ni- '2PL' (Ross 2000: 4-5, Pawley & Hammarström 2018: 59). Table 12.b shows Ross's (2000) reconstructed pronouns for Proto-TNG and Proto-Madang alongside my PNA reconstructions.
Table 12.b: Reconstructed pronouns for Proto-TNG, Proto-Madang, and PNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Proto-TNG</th>
<th>Proto-Madang</th>
<th>PNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>*na</td>
<td>*ya</td>
<td>*ye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>*ga</td>
<td>*na</td>
<td>*ne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>*[y]a/*ua</td>
<td>*ua/*nu</td>
<td>*we</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>*ni</td>
<td>*i-</td>
<td>*yin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>*gi</td>
<td>*ni-</td>
<td>*nin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>*i</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>*win</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The PNA pronouns do appear to reflect the Proto-Madang innovations proposed by Ross. The PNA 1SG and 2SG pronouns closely resemble Proto-Madang *ya and *na, as do the 1PL and 2PL pronouns, which differ only in addition of final *n in PNA. Another innovation to Proto-Madang proposed by Ross is the addition of 3SG *nu alongside *ua, which dates back to TNG. PNA does not appear to reflect this innovation. If the proposed innovations to first and second pronouns in Proto-Madang are taken to be one of the defining characteristics of this group, then the case for Northern Adelbert languages’ membership in the Madang subgroup is strong.

**Northern Adelbert and Sogeram**

Next, I to compare PNA with another potentially related group whose proto-language has been reconstructed in detail. Daniels (2015, 2020) reconstructs numerous lexical items for Proto-Sogeram, based on traditional application of the comparative method. The Sogeram languages are geographically close to the Northern Adelbert languages, and also assumed to belong to the Madang branch of TNG. There is therefore good reason to be optimistic about the prospects of securely establishing a relationship between Sogeram and Northern Adelbert. However, comparison of Daniels’s Proto-Sogeram reconstructions and my own PNA reconstructions do not at first glance reveal
an overwhelmingly obvious connection. However, there are numerous similar-looking forms, some of which are shown in Table 12c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Sogeram</th>
<th>PNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*aman 'breast'</td>
<td>*men 'breast'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*imu 'put in pot'</td>
<td>*im- 'boil, cook in pot'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*iŋka 'see, perceive'</td>
<td>*ag- 'see'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ir, iri- ‘turn, spin’</td>
<td>*girik- 'turn'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kira ‘fight’</td>
<td>*war- 'hit, fight'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kimi ‘bow’</td>
<td>*kemi 'bow'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kimu ‘die’</td>
<td>*um- 'die'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kinta ‘walk’</td>
<td>*iduw- ‘go’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kintir ‘root’</td>
<td>*durun 'root'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kra ‘roast’</td>
<td>*id- 'roast'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kuman ‘arm, hand’</td>
<td>*waben 'arm, hand'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*kantar ‘centipede’</td>
<td>*wisir 'centipede'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*maŋka ‘egg’</td>
<td>*munag 'egg'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*.mum ‘husband’</td>
<td>*muŋ 'husband, man'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ña ‘eat’</td>
<td>*an- 'eat'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*pim ‘weight’</td>
<td>*bin 'heavy'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*takwi ‘snake’</td>
<td>*duag 'snake'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*umpaŋ ‘heart’</td>
<td>*gemaŋ 'liver'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ura ‘call out’</td>
<td>*par- 'call'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a potential correspondence of Proto-Sogeram initial *k and PNA Œ, similar to what is seen in the comparison of PNA and Proto-TNG. However the evidence for this correspondence is somewhat stronger between Sogeram and Northern Adelbert, as the word sets are more numerous. Of the eight *k-initial Proto-Sogeram reconstructions in Table 12c, seven of the corresponding PNA reconstructions have no initial consonant (the exception is Proto-Sogeram *kimi and PNA *kemi 'bow'). However, a good number of the forms in Table 12c are likely due to chance resemblance, and it may not be possible to establish a solid connection between these groups.
Northern Adelbert and Amako-Waskia

Now I turn to a group for which it a connection with Northern Adelbert is more secure. This is Amako-Waskia, whose two member languages are spoken on the coast, surrounded by Northern Adelbert languages. Waskia is also spoken on nearby Karkar Island, which it shares with Austronesian Takia speakers.

As mentioned in Chapter 7, I previously included Amako-Waskia as part of Northern Adelbert, as it is possible to establish regular sound correspondences between Amako-Waskia and the Northern Adelbert languages. Table 12d illustrates some stop correspondences between the two groups.

Table 12.d: Stop correspondences for Amako-Waskia and PNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gloss</th>
<th>Amako</th>
<th>Waskia</th>
<th>PNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'hornbill'</td>
<td>bar</td>
<td>baram</td>
<td>*baram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'pig'</td>
<td>bur</td>
<td>buruk</td>
<td>*buruk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'sit'</td>
<td>beng-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>*bug-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'year'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>barat</td>
<td>*barat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'skin'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>guang</td>
<td>*guaŋ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'thick'</td>
<td>unur</td>
<td>gurum</td>
<td>*gurum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'liver'</td>
<td>gom</td>
<td>gomang</td>
<td>*gemaŋ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'turn'</td>
<td>girka-</td>
<td>gira-</td>
<td>*girik-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'breadfruit'</td>
<td>kid</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>*kidar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'banana'</td>
<td>kud</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>*kudi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'lime'</td>
<td>ka</td>
<td>kaur</td>
<td>*kapur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'day, sun'</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>kam</td>
<td>*kam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'nape'</td>
<td>kumandup</td>
<td>komang</td>
<td>*kumaŋ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'plate'</td>
<td>taw</td>
<td>tawir</td>
<td>*tabir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC</td>
<td>te</td>
<td>te</td>
<td>*te</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'rain'</td>
<td>tiv</td>
<td>tiwik</td>
<td>*(e/i)ik</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the cognate sets in Table 12.d, it is possible to identify several regular sound correspondences between Amako, Waskia, and PNA. In most cases, stops have the same form across in PNA and Amako-Waskia. PNA initial *b, *g, *k, and *t correspond with b-, g-, k- and t- in both

135 Amako *kumandup 'nape' is a compound of 'nape'+'base'.
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Amako and Waskia. One correspondence where the forms differ is PNA *g to intervocalic ng in Amako. Amako has also notably lost the final syllable of most words.

For the forms in Table 12.d, it is quite straightforward to reconstruct proto-forms for the higher order proto-language that includes Amako-Waskia and PNA. In every case, these reconstructions would in fact be identical to the PNA forms. I exclude Amako-Waskia from Northern Adelbert as they do not share the reconstructed PNA subject endings. However, it may very well be the case that the PNA subject markers are not an innovation exclusive to PNA, but rather a shared retention from the higher-order proto-language which includes the Northern Adelbert languages and Amako-Waskia. In this case Amako-Waskia would be considered another primary branch of PNA. Given the current knowledge of Madang languages, it is not yet possible to determine whether the reconstructed PNA pronouns, as well as other features shared by PNA languages, are retained from a higher-order proto-language.
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